

10 December 2018

RFP - Mid-Term Evaluation of Making Access Possible (MAP)

Questions and Answers

1. *Question:* As per ToR, the net income over the past 2 years should be equal or higher than the price proposal submitted, and the current ratio should be at least 1.0 or higher.

For net income over the past 2 years do you mean the cumulative (year 2016 + year 2017), the average or for each single year the ratio should be valid?

Answer: By the phrase “net income over the past 2 years should be equal or higher than the price proposal submitted, and the current ratio should be at least 1.0 or higher”, we mean that these conditions should be met EACH year, and not the cumulative 2 years period. That is, the income in 2016 should be higher or equal than the price proposal. In 2017, the income should also be higher or equal than the price proposal. The same principle applies for the current ratio. The current ratio for each of the year 2016 and 2017 should be at least 1.0 or higher.

Already published.

2. *Follow-up question:* Would a letter of credit from a financial institution stating the possibility to draw on the amount requested in case of need (as per financial proposal) be a satisfactory substitute to the net income criterion.

Answer: A letter of credit from a financial institution stating the possibility to draw on the amount requested in case of need (as per financial proposal) for the specific use of this exercise, would be a satisfactory substitute to the net income criterion. It would be better if such letter of credit is equal to the financial proposal submitted by the bidder, and if the said document can specifically state that the credit line is intended for this possible contract with UNCDF.

3. *Question:* Is there any additional information on the maximum resource allocation/level of effort expected for this assignment?

Answer: Companies are free to propose the level of effort they feel is appropriate to conduct the Mid-term Evaluation of the MAP Programme based on the Terms References referring to the programme description and implementation, as well as scope of the evaluation including indicated number of country visits, minimum day per country, minimum team composition for each country visit, and a minimum of 130 person days to complete the evaluation.

4. *Question:* Could you give us an indication of the available budget or estimated size in working days?

Answer: Please refer to Answer 3