United Nations Development Programme



REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

Company to Design and Deliver 2 tailor-made Trainings on Post-Legislative Scrutiny for the Secretariat of the Parliament of the Republic of Moldova

RFP No.: 19/01879

Project: 00088088 Strengthening Parliamentary Governance in Moldova (SPGM)

Country: Moldova

Issued on: 25 February 2019

Contents

| SECTION ' | 1. LETT | ER OF INVITATION | 4 |
|-----------|----------------|---|----------|
| SECTION 2 | 2. INSTE | RUCTION TO BIDDERS | 5 |
| , | \ GENER | RAL PROVISIONS | ı |
| , | 1. | Introduction | |
| | 2. | Fraud & Corruption, Gifts and Hospitality | |
| | 3. | Eligibility | |
| | 4. | Conflict of Interests | |
| E | | RATION OF PROPOSALS | |
| | 5. | General Considerations | |
| | 6. | Cost of Preparation of Proposal | |
| | 7. | Language | |
| | 8. | Documents Comprising the Proposal | |
| | 9. | Documents Establishing the Eligibility and Qualifications of the Bidder | |
| | 10. | Technical Proposal Format and Content | |
| | 11. | Financial Proposals | |
| | 12. | Proposal Security | 7 |
| | 13. | Currencies | 8 |
| | 14. | Joint Venture, Consortium or Association | 8 |
| | 15. | Only One Proposal | 9 |
| | 16. | Proposal Validity Period | 9 |
| | 17. | Extension of Proposal Validity Period | 9 |
| | 18. | Clarification of Proposal | <u>c</u> |
| | 19. | Amendment of Proposals | 9 |
| | 20. | Alternative Proposals | . 10 |
| | 21. | Pre-Bid Conference | . 10 |
| (| C. SUBM | ISSION AND OPENING OF PROPOSALS | |
| | 22. | Submission | . 10 |
| | 23. | Deadline for Submission of Proposals and Late Proposals | |
| | 24. | Withdrawal, Substitution, and Modification of Proposals | |
| | 25. | Proposal Opening | |
| [| D. EVALU | ATION OF PROPOSALS | |
| | 26. | Confidentiality | |
| | 27. | Evaluation of Proposals | |
| | 28. | Preliminary Examination | |
| | 29. | Evaluation of Eligibility and Qualification | |
| | 30. | Evaluation of Technical and Financial Proposals | |
| | 31. | Due Diligence | |
| | 32. | Clarification of Proposals | |
| | 33. | Responsiveness of Proposal | |
| | 34. | Nonconformities, Reparable Errors and Omissions | |
| | . AVVAR 35. | D OF CONTRACT | |
| | 36. | Award Criteria | |
| | 30. 37. | Debriefing | |
| | 38. | Right to Vary Requirements at the Time of Award | |
| | 39. | Contract Signature | |
| | 40. | Contract Type and General Terms and Conditions | |
| | 41. | Performance Security | |
| | 42. | Bank Guarantee for Advanced Payment | |
| | 43. | Liquidated Damages | |
| | 44. | Payment Provisions | |
| | 45. | Vendor Protest | |
| | 46. | Other Provisions | |
| | _ | | |
| SECTION 3 | 3. BID D | ATA SHEET | .17 |

| SECTION 4. EVALUATION CRITERIA | 20 |
|---|----|
| SECTION 5. TERMS OF REFERENCE | 24 |
| SECTION 6: RETURNABLE BIDDING FORMS / CHECKLIST | 30 |
| FORM A: TECHNICAL PROPOSAL SUBMISSION FORM | |
| FORM B: BIDDER INFORMATION FORM | 32 |
| FORM C: JOINT VENTURE/CONSORTIUM/ASSOCIATION INFORMATION FORM | 33 |
| FORM D: QUALIFICATION FORM | 34 |
| FORM E: FORMAT OF TECHNICAL PROPOSAL | 37 |
| FORM F: FINANCIAL PROPOSAL SUBMISSION FORM | 40 |
| FORM G: FINANCIAL PROPOSAL FORM | 41 |
| FORM H: FORM OF PROPOSAL SECURITY | 43 |

Section 1. Letter of Invitation

The United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) hereby invites you to submit a Proposal to this Request for Proposal (RFP) for the above-referenced subject.

This RFP includes the following documents and the General Terms and Conditions of Contract which is inserted in the Bid Data Sheet (BDS):

Section 1: This Letter of Invitation Section 2: Instruction to Bidders Section 3: Bid Data Sheet (BDS) Section 4: Evaluation Criteria Section 5: Terms of Reference

Section 6: Returnable Bidding Forms

- o Form A: Technical Proposal Submission Form
- o Form B: Bidder Information Form
- o Form C: Joint Venture/Consortium/Association Information Form
- o Form D: Qualification Form
- o Form E: Format of Technical Proposal
- o Form F: Financial Proposal Submission Form
- o Form G: Financial Proposal Form

If you are interested in submitting a Proposal in response to this RFP, please prepare your Proposal in accordance with the requirements and procedure as set out in this RFP and submit it by the Deadline for Submission of Proposals set out in Bid Data Sheet.

Please acknowledge receipt of this RFP by sending an email to sc.md@undp.org, indicating whether you intend to submit a Proposal or otherwise. You may also utilize the "Accept Invitation" function in e-Tendering system, where applicable. This will enable you to receive amendments or updates to the RFP. Should you require further clarifications, kindly communicate with the contact person/s identified in the attached Bid Data Sheet as the focal point for queries on this RFP.

UNDP looks forward to receiving your Proposal and thank you in advance for your interest in UNDP procurement opportunities.

Issued by:

DocuSigned by:

Ivrie Tarceaco

E1282462F70C423...

Name: Iurie Tarcenco

Title: Procurement Associate

Date: February 25, 2019

Approved by:

Name: Dorin Rotaru

Title: ARR/ Head of Operations

Date: February 26, 2019

Section 2. Instruction to Bidders

| A. GENERAL PROVISIONS | | | |
|---|-----|--|--|
| 1. Introduction | 1.1 | Bidders shall adhere to all the requirements of this RFP, including any amendments in writing by UNDP. This RFP is conducted in accordance with the UNDP Programme and Operations Policies and Procedures (POPP) on Contracts and Procurement which can be accessed at https://popp.undp.org/SitePages/POPPBSUnit.aspx?TermID=254a9f96-b883-476a-8ef8-e81f93a2b38d | |
| | 1.2 | Any Proposal submitted will be regarded as an offer by the Bidder and does not constitute or imply the acceptance of the Proposal by UNDP. UNDP is under no obligation to award a contract to any Bidder as a result of this RFP. | |
| | 1.3 | As part of the bid, it is desired that the Bidder registers at the United Nations Global Marketplace (UNGM) website (www.ungm.org). The Bidder may still submit a bid even if not registered with the UNGM. However, if the Bidder is selected for contract award, the Bidder must register on the UNGM prior to contract signature. | |
| 2. Fraud & Corruption, Gifts and Hospitality | 2.1 | UNDP strictly enforces a policy of zero tolerance on proscribed practices, including fraud, corruption, collusion, unethical or unprofessional practices, and obstruction of UNDP vendors and requires all bidders/vendors observe the highest standard of ethics during the procurement process and contract implementation. UNDP's Anti-Fraud Policy can be found at http://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/operations/accountability/audit/officeof-audit andinvestigation.html#anti | |
| | 2.2 | Bidders/vendors shall not offer gifts or hospitality of any kind to UNDP staff members including recreational trips to sporting or cultural events, theme parks or offers of holidays, transportation, or invitations to extravagant lunches or dinners. | |
| | 2.3 | In pursuance of this policy, UNDP (a) Shall reject a proposal if it determines that the selected bidder has engaged in any corrupt or fraudulent practices in competing for the contract in question; (b) Shall declare a vendor ineligible, either indefinitely or for a stated period of time, to be awarded a contract if at any time it determines that the vendor has engaged in any corrupt or fraudulent practices in competing for, or in executing a UNDP contract. | |
| | 2.4 | All Bidders must adhere to the UN Supplier Code of Conduct, which may be found at http://www.un.org/depts/ptd/pdf/conduct_english.pdf | |
| 3. Eligibility | 3.1 | A vendor should not be suspended, debarred, or otherwise identified as ineligible by any UN Organization or the World Bank Group or any other international Organization. Vendors are therefore required to disclose to UNDP whether they are subject to any sanction or temporary suspension imposed by these organizations. | |
| | 3.2 | It is the Bidder's responsibility to ensure that its employees, joint venture members, sub-contractors, service providers, suppliers and/or their employees meet the eligibility requirements as established by UNDP. | |

4. Conflict of Interests

4.1

- Bidders must strictly avoid conflicts with other assignments or their own interests, and act without consideration for future work. Bidders found to have a conflict of interest shall be disqualified. Without limitation on the generality of the above, Bidders, and any of their affiliates, shall be considered to have a conflict of interest with one or more parties in this solicitation process, if they:
 - a) Are or have been associated in the past, with a firm or any of its affiliates which have been engaged by UNDP to provide services for the preparation of the design, specifications, Terms of Reference, cost analysis/estimation, and other documents to be used for the procurement of the goods and services in this selection process;
 - b) Were involved in the preparation and/or design of the programme/project related to the services requested under this RFP; or
 - c) Are found to be in conflict for any other reason, as may be established by, or at the discretion of UNDP.
- 4.2 In the event of any uncertainty in the interpretation of a potential conflict of interest, Bidders must disclose to UNDP, and seek UNDP's confirmation on whether or not such a conflict exists.
- 4.3 Similarly, the Bidders must disclose in their proposal their knowledge of the following:
 - a) If the owners, part-owners, officers, directors, controlling shareholders, of the bidding entity or key personnel are family members of UNDP staff involved in the procurement functions and/or the Government of the country or any Implementing Partner receiving services under this RFP; and
 - b) All other circumstances that could potentially lead to actual or perceived conflict of interest, collusion or unfair competition practices.

Failure to disclose such an information may result in the rejection of the proposal or proposals affected by the non-disclosure.

4.4 The eligibility of Bidders that are wholly or partly owned by the Government shall be subject to UNDP's further evaluation and review of various factors such as being registered, operated and managed as an independent business entity, the extent of Government ownership/share, receipt of subsidies, mandate and access to information in relation to this RFP, among others. Conditions that may lead to undue advantage against other Bidders may result in the eventual rejection of the Proposal.

B. PREPARATION OF PROPOSALS

5. General Considerations

- 5.1 In preparing the Proposal, the Bidder is expected to examine the RFP in detail. Material deficiencies in providing the information requested in the RFP may result in rejection of the Proposal.
- 5.2 The Bidder will not be permitted to take advantage of any errors or omissions in the RFP. Should such errors or omissions be discovered, the Bidder must notify the UNDP

6. Cost of Preparation of Proposal

6.1 The Bidder shall bear any and all costs related to the preparation and/or submission of the Proposal, regardless of whether its Proposal was selected or not. UNDP shall not be responsible or liable for those costs, regardless of the conduct or outcome of the procurement process.

7. Language

7.1 The Proposal, as well as any and all related correspondence exchanged by the Bidder and UNDP, shall be written in the language (s) specified in the BDS.

8. Documents

8.1 The Proposal shall comprise of the following documents:

| Comprising the Proposal | a) Documents Establishing the Eligibility and Qualifications of the Bidder; b) Technical Proposal; c) Financial Proposal; d) Proposal Security, if required by BDS; e) Any attachments and/or appendices to the Proposal. |
|--|--|
| 9. Documents Establishing the Eligibility and Qualifications of the Bidder | 9.1 The Bidder shall furnish documentary evidence of its status as an eligible and qualified vendor, using the Forms provided under Section 6 and providing documents required in those forms. In order to award a contract to a Bidder, its qualifications must be documented to UNDP's satisfaction. |
| 10. Technical Proposal Format and Content | 10.1 The Bidder is required to submit a Technical Proposal using the Standard Forms and templates provided in Section 6 of the RFP. |
| | 10.2 The Technical Proposal shall not include any price or financial information. A Technical Proposal containing material financial information may be declared non-responsive. |
| | 10.3 Samples of items, when required as per Section 5, shall be provided within the time specified and unless otherwise specified by UNDP, and at no expense to UNDP |
| | 10.4 When applicable and required as per Section 5, the Bidder shall describe the necessary training programme available for the maintenance and operation of the services and/or equipment offered as well as the cost to the UNDP. Unless otherwise specified, such training as well as training materials shall be provided in the language of the Bid as specified in the BDS. |
| 11. Financial Proposals | 11.1 The Financial Proposal shall be prepared using the Standard Form provided in Section 6 of the RFP. It shall list all major cost components associated with the services, and the detailed breakdown of such costs. |
| | 11.2 Any output and activities described in the Technical Proposal but not priced in the Financial Proposal, shall be assumed to be included in the prices of other activities or items, as well as in the final total price. |
| | 11.3 Prices and other financial information must not be disclosed in any other place except in the financial proposal. |
| 12. Proposal Security | 12.1 A Proposal Security, if required by BDS, shall be provided in the amount and form indicated in the BDS. The Proposal Security shall be valid up to thirty (30) days after the final date of validity of the Proposal. |
| | 12.2 The Proposal Security shall be included along with the Technical Proposal. If Proposal Security is required by the RFP but is not found along with the Technical Proposal, the Proposal shall be rejected. |
| | 12.3 If the Proposal Security amount or its validity period is found to be less than what is required by UNDP, UNDP shall reject the Proposal. |
| | 12.4 In the event an electronic submission is allowed in the BDS, Bidders shall include a copy of the Bid Security in their proposal and the original of the Proposal Security must be sent via courier or hand delivery as per the instructions in BDS. |
| | 12.5 The Proposal Security may be forfeited by UNDP, and the Proposal rejected, in the event of any one or combination, of the following conditions: |
| | a) If the Bidder withdraws its offer during the period of the Proposal Validity specified in the BDS, or;b) In the event that the successful Bidder fails: |

| | 12.6 | i. to sign the Contract after UNDP has issued an award; or to furnish the Performance Security, insurances, or other documents that UNDP may require as a condition precedent to the effectivity of the contract that may be awarded to the Bidder. |
|--|------|--|
| 13. Currencies | 13.1 | All prices shall be quoted in the currency or currencies indicated in the BDS. Where Proposals are quoted in different currencies, for the purposes of comparison of all Proposals: |
| | | a) UNDP will convert the currency quoted in the Proposal into the UNDP preferred currency, in accordance with the prevailing UN operational rate of exchange on the last day of submission of Proposals; and |
| | | b) In the event that UNDP selects a proposal for award that is quoted in a currency different from the preferred currency in the BDS, UNDP shall reserve the right to award the contract in the currency of UNDP's preference, using the conversion method specified above. |
| 14. Joint Venture, Consortium or Association | 14.1 | If the Bidder is a group of legal entities that will form or have formed a Joint Venture (JV), Consortium or Association for the Proposal, they shall confirm in their Proposal that: (i) they have designated one party to act as a lead entity, duly vested with authority to legally bind the members of the JV, Consortium or Association jointly and severally, which shall be evidenced by a duly notarized Agreement among the legal entities, and submitted with the Proposal; and (ii) if they are awarded the contract, the contract shall be entered into, by and between UNDP and the designated lead entity, who shall be acting for and on behalf of all the member entities comprising the joint venture. |
| | 14.2 | After the Deadline for Submission of Proposal, the lead entity identified to represent the JV, Consortium or Association shall not be altered without the prior written consent of UNDP. |
| | 14.3 | The lead entity and the member entities of the JV, Consortium or Association shall abide by the provisions of Clause 9 herein in respect of submitting only one proposal. |
| | 14.4 | The description of the organization of the JV, Consortium or Association must clearly define the expected role of each of the entity in the joint venture in delivering the requirements of the RFP, both in the Proposal and the JV, Consortium or Association Agreement. All entities that comprise the JV, Consortium or Association shall be subject to the eligibility and qualification assessment by UNDP. |
| | 14.5 | A JV, Consortium or Association in presenting its track record and experience should clearly differentiate between: |
| | | a) Those that were undertaken together by the JV, Consortium or Association; and |
| | | b) Those that were undertaken by the individual entities of the JV, Consortium or Association. |
| | 14.6 | Previous contracts completed by individual experts working privately but who are permanently or were temporarily associated with any of the member firms cannot be claimed as the experience of the JV, Consortium or Association or those of its members, but should only be claimed by the individual experts themselves in their presentation of their individual credentials. |
| | 14.7 | JV, Consortium or Associations are encouraged for high value, multi-sectoral requirements when the spectrum of expertise and resources required may not be available within one firm. |
| | 1 | |

| 15. Only One Proposal | 5.1 The Bidder (including the individual members of any Joint Venture) shall submit only one Proposal, either in its own name or as part of a Joint Venture. 5.2 Proposals submitted by two (2) or more Bidders shall all be rejected if they are found to have any of the following: a) they have at least one controlling partner, director or shareholder in common; or b) any one of them receive or have received any direct or indirect subsidy from the other/s; or c) they have the same legal representative for purposes of this RFP; or d) they have a relationship with each other, directly or through common third parties, that puts them in a position to have access to information about, or influence on the Proposal of, another Bidder regarding this RFP process; e) they are subcontractors to each other's Proposal, or a subcontractor to one Proposal also submits another Proposal under its name as lead Bidder; or f) some key personnel proposed to be in the team of one Bidder participates in more than one Proposal received for this RFP process. This condition relating to the personnel, does not apply to subcontractors being included in more than one Proposal. |
|--|--|
| 16. Proposal Validity Period | 6.1 Proposals shall remain valid for the period specified in the BDS, commencing on the Deadline for Submission of Proposals. A Proposal valid for a shorter period may be rejected by UNDP and rendered non-responsive. |
| | 6.2 During the Proposal validity period, the Bidder shall maintain its original Proposal without any change, including the availability of the Key Personnel, the proposed rates and the total price. |
| 17. Extension of Proposal Validity Period | 7.1 In exceptional circumstances, prior to the expiration of the proposal validity period, UNDP may request Bidders to extend the period of validity of their Proposals. The request and the responses shall be made in writing, and shall be considered integral to the Proposal. |
| | 7.2 If the Bidder agrees to extend the validity of its Proposal, it shall be done without any change in the original Proposal. |
| | 7.3 The Bidder has the right to refuse to extend the validity of its Proposal, and in which case, such Proposal will not be further evaluated. |
| 18. Clarification of Proposal | 8.1 Bidders may request clarifications on any of the RFP documents no later than the date indicated in the BDS. Any request for clarification must be sent in writing in the manner indicated in the BDS. If inquiries are sent other than specified channel, even if they are sent to a UNDP staff member, UNDP shall have no obligation to respond or confirm that the query was officially received. |
| | 8.2 UNDP will provide the responses to clarifications through the method specified in the BDS. |
| | 8.3 UNDP shall endeavor to provide responses to clarifications in an expeditious manner, but any delay in such response shall not cause an obligation on the part of UNDP to extend the submission date of the Proposals, unless UNDP deems that such an extension is justified and necessary. |
| 19. Amendment of Proposals | 9.1 At any time prior to the deadline of Proposal submission, UNDP may for any reason, such as in response to a clarification requested by a Bidder, modify the RFP in the form of an amendment to the RFP. Amendments will be made available to all prospective bidders. |

| | 19.2 | If the amendment is substantial, UNDP may extend the Deadline for submission of proposal to give the Bidders reasonable time to incorporate the amendment into their Proposals. |
|-------------------------------|------|---|
| 20. Alternative Proposals | 20.1 | Unless otherwise specified in the BDS, alternative proposals shall not be considered. If submission of alternative proposal is allowed by BDS, a Bidder may submit an alternative proposal, but only if it also submits a proposal conforming to the RFP requirements. UNDP shall only consider the alternative proposal offered by the Bidder whose conforming proposal ranked the highest as per the specified evaluation method. Where the conditions for its acceptance are met, or justifications are clearly established, UNDP reserves the right to award a contract based on an alternative proposal. |
| | 20.2 | If multiple/alternative proposals are being submitted, they must be clearly marked as "Main Proposal" and "Alternative Proposal" |
| 21. Pre-Bid Conference | 21.1 | When appropriate, a Bidder's conference will be conducted at the date, time and location specified in the BDS. All Bidders are encouraged to attend. Non-attendance, however, shall not result in disqualification of an interested Bidder. Minutes of the Bidder's conference will be disseminated on the procurement website and shared by email or on the e-Tendering platform as specified in the BDS. No verbal statement made during the conference shall modify the terms and conditions of the RFP, unless specifically incorporated in the Minutes of the Bidder's Conference or issued/posted as an amendment to RFP. |
| C. SUBMISSION AND | OPEN | ING OF PROPOSALS |
| 22.Submission | 22.1 | The Bidder shall submit a duly signed and complete Proposal comprising the documents and forms in accordance with the requirements in the BDS. The submission shall be in the manner specified in the BDS. |
| | 22.2 | The Proposal shall be signed by the Bidder or person(s) duly authorized to commit the Bidder. The authorization shall be communicated through a document evidencing such authorization issued by the legal representative of the bidding entity, or a Power of Attorney, accompanying the Proposal. |
| | 22.3 | Bidders must be aware that the mere act of submission of a Proposal, in and of itself, implies that the Bidder fully accepts the UNDP General Contract Terms and Conditions. |
| Hard copy (manual) submission | 22.4 | Hard copy (manual) submission by courier or hand delivery allowed or specified in the BDS shall be governed as follows: |
| | | a) The signed Proposal shall be marked "Original", and its copies marked "Copy" as appropriate. The number of copies is indicated in the BDS. All copies shall be made from the signed original only. If there are discrepancies between the original and the copies, the original shall prevail. |
| | | b) The Technical Proposal and the Financial Proposal envelopes MUST BE COMPLETELY SEPARATE and each of them must be submitted sealed individually and clearly marked on the outside as either "TECHNICAL PROPOSAL" or "FINANCIAL PROPOSAL", as appropriate. Each envelope SHALL clearly indicate the name of the Bidder. The outer envelopes shall: |
| | | i. Bear the name and address of the bidder; |
| | | ii. Be addressed to UNDP as specified in the BDS |
| | | |

| | iii. | Bear a warning that states "Not to be opened before the time and date for proposal opening" as specified in the BDS. |
|---|--------|--|
| | | If the envelopes and packages with the Proposal are not sealed and marked as required, UNDP shall assume no responsibility for the misplacement, loss, or premature opening of the Proposal. |
| Email Submission | 22.5 E | mail submission, if allowed or specified in the BDS, shall be governed as follows: |
| | a) | Electronic files that form part of the proposal must be in accordance with the format and requirements indicated in BDS; |
| | b |) The Technical Proposal and the Financial Proposal files MUST BE COMPLETELY SEPARATE. The financial proposal shall be encrypted with different passwords and clearly labelled. The files must be sent to the dedicated email address specified in the BDS. |
| | C) | The password for opening the Financial Proposal should be provided only upon request of UNDP. UNDP will request password only from bidders whose Technical Proposal has been found to be technically responsive. Failure to provide correct password may result in the proposal being rejected. |
| eTendering submission | | lectronic submission through eTendering, if allowed or specified in the BDS, hall be governed as follows: |
| | a) |) Electronic files that form part of the proposal must be in accordance with the format and requirements indicated in BDS; |
| | b |) The Technical Proposal and the Financial Proposal files MUST BE COMPLETELY SEPARATE and each of them must be uploaded individually and clearly labelled. |
| | d |) The Financial Proposal file must be encrypted with a password so that it cannot be opened nor viewed until the password is provided. The password for opening the Financial Proposal should be provided only upon request of UNDP. UNDP will request password only from bidders whose technical proposal has been found to be technically responsive. Failure to provide the correct password may result in the proposal being rejected. |
| | c) | Documents which are required to be in original form (e.g. Bid Security, etc.) must be sent via courier or hand delivery as per the instructions in BDS. |
| | d | Detailed instructions on how to submit, modify or cancel a bid in the eTendering system are provided in the eTendering system Bidder User Guide and Instructional videos available on this link: http://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/operations/procurement/business/procurement-notices/resources/ |
| 23. Deadline for Submission of Proposals and Late | tł | Complete Proposals must be received by UNDP in the manner, and no later than the date and time, specified in the BDS. UNDP shall only recognize the date and time that the bid was received by UNDP |
| Proposals | 23.2 U | INDP shall not consider any Proposal that is submitted after the deadline for the submission of Proposals. |
| 24. Withdrawal, Substitution, and | | Bidder may withdraw, substitute or modify its Proposal after it has been ubmitted at any time prior to the deadline for submission. |
| Modification of Proposals | Р | Manual and Email submissions: A bidder may withdraw, substitute or modify its roposal by sending a written notice to UNDP, duly signed by an authorized epresentative, and shall include a copy of the authorization (or a Power of |
| | | |

| | | Attorney). The corresponding substitution or modification of the Proposal, if any, must accompany the respective written notice. All notices must be submitted in the same manner as specified for submission of proposals, by clearly marking them as "WITHDRAWAL" "SUBSTITUTION," or "MODIFICATION" |
|---|-------|---|
| | 24.3 | eTendering: A Bidder may withdraw, substitute or modify its Proposal by Canceling, Editing, and re-submitting the proposal directly in the system. It is the responsibility of the Bidder to properly follow the system instructions, duly edit and submit a substitution or modification of the Proposal as needed. Detailed instructions on how to cancel or modify a Proposal directly in the system are provided in Bidder User Guide and Instructional videos. |
| | 24.4 | Proposals requested to be withdrawn shall be returned unopened to the Bidders (only for manual submissions), except if the bid is withdrawn after the bid has been opened |
| 25. Proposal Opening | 25.1 | There is no public bid opening for RFPs. UNDP shall open the Proposals in the presence of an ad-hoc committee formed by UNDP, consisting of at least two (2) members. In the case of e-Tendering submission, bidders will receive an automatic notification once their proposal is opened. |
| D. EVALUATION OF F | PROPO | SALS |
| 26. Confidentiality | 26.1 | Information relating to the examination, evaluation, and comparison of Proposals, and the recommendation of contract award, shall not be disclosed to Bidders or any other persons not officially concerned with such process, even after publication of the contract award. |
| | 26.2 | Any effort by a Bidder or anyone on behalf of the Bidder to influence UNDP in the examination, evaluation and comparison of the Proposals or contract award decisions may, at UNDP's decision, result in the rejection of its Proposal and may be subject to the application of prevailing UNDP's vendor sanctions procedures. |
| 27. Evaluation of Proposals | 27.1 | The Bidder is not permitted to alter or modify its Proposal in any way after the proposal submission deadline except as permitted under Clause 24 of this RFP. UNDP will conduct the evaluation solely on the basis of the submitted Technical and Financial Proposals. |
| | 27.2 | Evaluation of proposals is made of the following steps: |
| | | a) Preliminary Examination |
| | | b) Minimum Eligibility and Qualification (if pre-qualification is not done)c) Evaluation of Technical Proposalsd) Evaluation of Financial Proposals |
| 28. Preliminary Examination | 28.1 | UNDP shall examine the Proposals to determine whether they are complete with respect to minimum documentary requirements, whether the documents have been properly signed, and whether the Proposals are generally in order, among other indicators that may be used at this stage. UNDP reserves the right to reject any Proposal at this stage. |
| 29. Evaluation of Eligibility and Qualification | 29.1 | Eligibility and Qualification of the Bidder will be evaluated against the Minimum Eligibility/Qualification requirements specified in the Section 4 (Evaluation Criteria). |
| | 29.2 | In general terms, vendors that meet the following criteria may be considered qualified: a) They are not included in the UN Security Council 1267/1989 Committee's |
| | | list of terrorists and terrorist financiers, and in UNDP's ineligible vendors' list; b) They have a good financial standing and have access to adequate financial |

- resources to perform the contract and all existing commercial commitments,
- They have the necessary similar experience, technical expertise, production capacity where applicable, quality certifications, quality assurance procedures and other resources applicable to the provision of the services required;
- d) They are able to comply fully with UNDP General Terms and Conditions of Contract;
- e) They do not have a consistent history of court/arbitral award decisions against the Bidder; and
- f) They have a record of timely and satisfactory performance with their clients.

30. Evaluation of Technical and Financial Proposals

- The evaluation team shall review and evaluate the Technical Proposals on the basis of their responsiveness to the Terms of Reference and other RFP documents, applying the evaluation criteria, sub-criteria, and point system specified in the Section 4 (Evaluation Criteria). A Proposal shall be rendered non-responsive at the technical evaluation stage if it fails to achieve the minimum technical score indicated in the BDS. When necessary and if stated in the BDS, UNDP may invite technically responsive bidders for a presentation related to their technical proposals. The conditions for the presentation shall be provided in the bid document where required.
- 30.2 In the second stage, only the Financial Proposals of those Bidders who achieve the minimum technical score will be opened for evaluation. The Financial Proposals corresponding to Technical Proposals that were rendered non-responsive shall remain unopened, and, in the case of manual submission, be returned to the Bidder unopened. For emailed Proposals and e-tendering submissions, UNDP will not request for the password of the Financial Proposals of bidders whose Technical Proposal were found not responsive.
- 30.3 The evaluation method that applies for this RFP shall be as indicated in the BDS, which may be either of two (2) possible methods, as follows: (a) the lowest priced method which selects the lowest evaluated financial proposal of the technically responsive Bidders; or (b) the combined scoring method which will be based on a combination of the technical and financial score.
- When the BDS specifies a combined scoring method, the formula for the rating of the Proposals will be as follows:

Rating the Technical Proposal (TP):

TP Rating = (Total Score Obtained by the Offer / Max. Obtainable Score for TP) x 100

Rating the Financial Proposal (FP):

FP Rating = (Lowest Priced Offer / Price of the Offer Being Reviewed) x 100

Total Combined Score:

Combined Score = (TP Rating) x (Weight of TP, e.g. 60%) + (FP Rating) x (Weight of FP, e.g., 40%)

31. Due Diligence

- 31.1 UNDP reserves the right to undertake a due diligence exercise, also called post qualification, aimed at determining to its satisfaction, the validity of the information provided by the Bidder. Such exercise shall be fully documented and may include, but need not be limited to, all or any combination of the following:
 - a) Verification of accuracy, correctness and authenticity of information provided by the Bidder;
 - b) Validation of extent of compliance to the RFP requirements and evaluation

| | criteria based on what has so far been found by the evaluation team; c) Inquiry and reference checking with Government entities with jurisdiction on the Bidder, or with previous clients, or any other entity that may have done business with the Bidder; d) Inquiry and reference checking with previous clients on the performance on on-going or contracts completed, including physical inspections of previous works, as necessary; e) Physical inspection of the Bidder's offices, branches or other places where business transpires, with or without notice to the Bidder; f) Other means that UNDP may deem appropriate, at any stage within the selection process, prior to awarding the contract. |
|---|--|
| 32. Clarification of Proposals | 32.1 To assist in the examination, evaluation and comparison of Proposals, UNDP may, at its discretion, ask any Bidder for a clarification of its Proposal. |
| | 32.2 UNDP's request for clarification and the response shall be in writing and no change in the prices or substance of the Proposal shall be sought, offered, or permitted, except to provide clarification, and confirm the correction of any arithmetic errors discovered by UNDP in the evaluation of the Proposals, in accordance with RFP. |
| | 32.3 Any unsolicited clarification submitted by a Bidder in respect to its Proposal, which is not a response to a request by UNDP, shall not be considered during the review and evaluation of the Proposals. |
| 33. Responsiveness of Proposal | 33.1 UNDP's determination of a Proposal's responsiveness will be based on the contents of the Proposal itself. A substantially responsive Proposal is one that conforms to all the terms, conditions, TOR and other requirements of the RFP without material deviation, reservation, or omission. |
| | 33.2 If a Proposal is not substantially responsive, it shall be rejected by UNDP and may not subsequently be made responsive by the Bidder by correction of the material deviation, reservation, or omission. |
| 34. Nonconformities, Reparable Errors and Omissions | 34.1 Provided that a Proposal is substantially responsive, UNDP may waive any non-conformities or omissions in the Proposal that, in the opinion of UNDP, do not constitute a material deviation. |
| | 34.2 UNDP may request the Bidder to submit the necessary information or documentation, within a reasonable period of time, to rectify nonmaterial nonconformities or omissions in the Proposal related to documentation requirements. Such omission shall not be related to any aspect of the price of the Proposal. Failure of the Bidder to comply with the request may result in the rejection of its Proposal. |
| | 34.3 For Financial Proposal that has been opened, UNDP shall check and correct arithmetical errors as follows: |
| | if there is a discrepancy between the unit price and the line item total that is obtained by multiplying the unit price by the quantity, the unit price shall prevail and the line item total shall be corrected, unless in the opinion of UNDP there is an obvious misplacement of the decimal point in the unit price; in which case the line item total as quoted shall govern and the unit price shall be corrected; |
| | if there is an error in a total corresponding to the addition or subtraction of subtotals, the subtotals shall prevail and the total shall be corrected; and |
| | if there is a discrepancy between words and figures, the amount in words shall prevail, unless the amount expressed in words is related to an |

| | | arithmetic error, in which case the amount in figures shall prevail. |
|---|------|--|
| | 34.4 | If the Bidder does not accept the correction of errors made by UNDP, its Proposal shall be rejected. |
| E. AWARD OF CONTI | RACT | |
| 35. Right to Accept, Reject, Any or All Proposals | 35.1 | UNDP reserves the right to accept or reject any Proposal, to render any or all of the Proposals as non-responsive, and to reject all Proposals at any time prior to award of contract, without incurring any liability, or obligation to inform the affected Bidder(s) of the grounds for UNDP's action. UNDP shall not be obliged to award the contract to the lowest priced offer. |
| 36. Award Criteria | 36.1 | Prior to expiration of the proposal validity, UNDP shall award the contract to the qualified Bidder based on the award criteria indicated in the BDS. |
| 37. Debriefing | 37.1 | In the event that a Bidder is unsuccessful, the Bidder may request a debriefing from UNDP. The purpose of the debriefing is to discuss the strengths and weaknesses of the Bidder's submission, in order to assist the Bidder in improving its future proposals for UNDP procurement opportunities. The content of other proposals and how they compare to the Bidder's submission shall not be discussed. |
| 38. Right to Vary Requirements at the Time of Award | 38.1 | At the time of award of Contract, UNDP reserves the right to vary the quantity of services and/or goods, by up to a maximum twenty-five per cent (25%) of the total offer, without any change in the unit price or other terms and conditions. |
| 39. Contract Signature | 39.1 | Within fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of the Contract, the successful Bidder shall sign and date the Contract and return it to UNDP. Failure to do so may constitute sufficient grounds for the annulment of the award, and forfeiture of the Proposal Security, if any, and on which event, UNDP may award the Contract to the Second Ranked Bidder or call for new Proposals. |
| 40. Contract Type and General Terms and Conditions | 40.1 | The types of Contract to be signed and the applicable UNDP Contract General Terms and Conditions, as specified in BDS, can be accessed at http://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/procurement/business/how-we-buy.html |
| 41. Performance Security | 41.1 | 40.1 A performance security, if required in BDS, shall be provided in the amount specified in BDS and form available at <a 15="" advanced%20payment%20guarantee%20form.docx&action="default</a" contract%20management%20payment%20and%20taxes="" href="https://popp.undp.org/layouts/15/WopiFrame.aspx?sourcedoc=/UNDP POPP_DOCUMENT LIBRARY/Public/PSU Solicitation Performance%20Guarantee%20Form.docx&action=default within fifteen (15) days of the contract signature by both parties. Where a performance security is required, the receipt of the performance security by UNDP shall be a condition for rendering the contract effective.</th></tr><tr><th>42. Bank Guarantee for Advanced Payment</th><th>42.1</th><th>Except when the interests of UNDP so require, it is UNDP's preference to make no advance payment(s) (i.e., payments without having received any outputs). If an advance payment is allowed as per BDS, and exceeds 20% of the total contract price, or USD 30,000, whichever is less, the Bidder shall submit a Bank Guarantee in the full amount of the advance payment in the form available at |

| 43. Liquidated Damages | 43.1 | If specified in BDS, UNDP shall apply Liquidated Damages resulting from the Contractor's delays or breach of its obligations as per the Contract. |
|------------------------|------|--|
| 44. Payment Provisions | 44.1 | Payment will be made only upon UNDP's acceptance of the work performed. The terms of payment shall be within thirty (30) days, after receipt of invoice and certification of acceptance of work issued by the proper authority in UNDP with direct supervision of the Contractor. Payment will be effected by bank transfer in the currency of contract. |
| 45. Vendor Protest | 45.1 | UNDP's vendor protest procedure provides an opportunity for appeal to those persons or firms not awarded a contract through a competitive procurement process. In the event that a Bidder believes that it was not treated fairly, the following link provides further details regarding UNDP vendor protest procedures: http://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/procurement/business/protest-and-sanctions.html |
| 46.Other Provisions | 46.1 | In the event that the Bidder offers a lower price to the host Government (e.g. General Services Administration (GSA) of the federal government of the United States of America) for similar services, UNDP shall be entitled to same lower price. The UNDP General Terms and Conditions shall have precedence. |
| | 46.2 | UNDP is entitled to receive the same pricing offered by the same Contractor in contracts with the United Nations and/or its Agencies. The UNDP General Terms and Conditions shall have precedence. |
| | 46.3 | The United Nations has established restrictions on employment of (former) UN staff who have been involved in the procurement process as per bulletin ST/SGB/2006/15 http://www.un.org/en/ga/search/view doc.asp?symbol=ST/SGB/2006/15&referer |

Section 3. Bid Data Sheet

The following data for the services to be procured shall complement, supplement, or amend the provisions in the Request for Proposals. In the case of a conflict between the Instructions to Bidders, the Data Sheet, and other annexes or references attached to the Data Sheet, the provisions in the Data Sheet shall prevail.

| BDS No. | Ref. to Section.2 | Data | Specific Instructions / Requirements |
|------------|----------------------|---|--|
| 1 | 7 | Language of the Proposal | English |
| 2 | | Submitting Proposals for Parts or sub-parts of the TOR (partial bids) | Not Allowed |
| 3 | 20 | Alternative Proposals | Shall not be considered |
| 4 | 21 | Pre-proposal conference | Will not be conducted |
| 5 | 10 | Proposal Validity Period | 90 days |
| 6 | 14 | Bid Security | Not Required |
| 7 | 41 | Advanced Payment upon signing of contract | Not Allowed |
| 8 | 42 | Liquidated Damages | Will be imposed as follows: Percentage of contract price per day of delay: 0,5 % Max. number of days of delay 30 after which UNDP may terminate the contract. |
| 9 | 40 | Performance Security | Not Required |
| 10 | 18 | Currency of Proposal | United States Dollar |
| 11 | 31 | Deadline for submitting requests for clarifications/ questions | 5 days before the submission deadline |

| 12 | 31 | Contact Details for submitting clarifications/questions | Focal Person in UNDP: Victoria Muntean E-mail address: victoria.muntean@undp.org |
|----|------------------|---|--|
| 13 | 18, 19 and 21 | Manner of Disseminating Supplemental Information to the RFP and responses/clarifications to queries | Direct communication to prospective Proposers by email and Posting on the website with the tender page: sc.undp.md |
| 14 | 23 | Deadline for Submission | 18 March, 2019, 16:00 (Moldova local time, GMT +2) For eTendering submission - as indicated in eTendering system. Note that system time zone is in EST/EDT (New York) time zone. |
| 14 | 22 | Allowable Manner of Submitting Proposals | ⊠ e-Tendering |
| 15 | 22 | Proposal Submission Address | https://etendering.partneragencies.org BU Code: MDA10 Event ID: 0000003441 |
| 16 | 22 | Electronic submission (email or eTendering) requirements | File names must be maximum 60 characters long and must not contain any letter or special character other than from Latin alphabet/keyboard. All files must be free of viruses and not corrupted. Password for financial proposal must not be provided to UNDP until requested by UNDP. I. The following documents proving the qualifications of the company will be included in the proposal: Documents proving at least 5 years of experience in designing, organizing and delivering trainings related to the assessment of legislation; Documents proving the evidence of minimum 3 successfully completed projects on legislative scrutiny; II. The following documents proving the qualifications of the proposed team will be included in the proposal: Documents proving the experience in the design and implementation of trainings for parliamentarians Documents proving the experience in providing trainings and capacity building on regulatory impact assessment to public institutions; Documents proving the experience in preparing and carrying out at least 3 training programmes on ex-post legislative scrutiny; |

| 17 | 27 36 | Evaluation Method for the Award of Contract | Combined Scoring Method, using the 60%-40% distribution for technical and financial proposals respectively The minimum technical score required to pass is 70%. |
|----|----------|---|--|
| 18 | | Expected date for commencement of Contract | April 1, 2019 |
| 19 | | Maximum expected duration of contract | April 2019 – July 2019 |
| 20 | 35 | UNDP will award the contract to: | One Proposer Only |
| 21 | 39 | Type of Contract | Contract for Goods and Services for UNDP http://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/procurement/business/how-we-buy.html |
| 22 | 39 | UNDP Contract Terms and Conditions that will apply | UNDP General Terms and Conditions for Mixed Goods and Services http://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/procurement/business/how-we-buy.html |
| 23 | | Other Information Related to the RFP | |

Section 4. Evaluation Criteria

Preliminary Examination Criteria

Proposals will be examined to determine whether they are complete and submitted in accordance with RFP requirements as per below criteria on a Yes/No basis:

- Appropriate signatures
- Power of Attorney
- Minimum documents provided
- Technical and Financial Proposals submitted separately
- Bid Validity
- Bid Security submitted as per RFP requirements with compliant validity period

Minimum Eligibility and Qualification Criteria

Eligibility and Qualification will be evaluated on Pass/Fail basis.

If the Proposal is submitted as a Joint Venture/Consortium/Association, each member should meet minimum criteria, unless otherwise specified in the criterion.

| Subject | Criteria | Document Submission requirement |
|---|--|---|
| ELIGIBILITY | | |
| Legal Status | Vendor is a legally registered entity. | Form B: Bidder Information Form |
| Eligibility | Vendor is not suspended, nor debarred, nor otherwise identified as ineligible by any UN Organization or the World Bank Group or any other international Organization in accordance with ITB clause 3. | Form A: Technical Proposal Submission Form |
| Conflict of Interest | No conflicts of interest in accordance with ITB clause 4. | Form A: Technical Proposal Submission Form |
| Bankruptcy | Not declared bankruptcy, not involved in bankruptcy or receivership proceedings, and there is no judgment or pending legal action against the vendor that could impair its operations in the foreseeable future. | Form A: Technical Proposal Submission Form |
| QUALIFICATION | | |
| History of Non- Performing Contracts ¹ | Non-performance of a contract did not occur as a result of contractor default for the last 3 years. | Form D: Qualification Form |
| Litigation History | No consistent history of court/arbitral award decisions against the Bidder for the last 3 years. | Form D: Qualification Form |

¹ Non-performance, as decided by UNDP, shall include all contracts where (a) non-performance was not challenged by the contractor, including through referral to the dispute resolution mechanism under the respective contract, and (b) contracts that were so challenged but fully settled against the contractor. Non-performance shall not include contracts where Employers decision was overruled by the dispute resolution mechanism. Non-performance must be based on all information on fully settled disputes or litigation, i.e. dispute or litigation that has been resolved in accordance with the dispute resolution mechanism under the respective contract and where all appeal instances available to the Bidder have been exhausted.

| Previous Experience | Minimum 5 years of experience in in designing, organizing and delivering trainings related to the assessment of legislation; | Form D: Qualification Form |
|------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| | Minimum 3 successfully completed projects on legislative scrutiny implemented over the last 5 years. (For JV/Consortium/Association, all Parties cumulatively should meet requirement). | Form D: Qualification Form |
| Financial Standing | Minimum average annual turnover of USD 30 000 for the last 3 years. (For JV/Consortium/Association, all Parties cumulatively should meet requirement). | Form D: Qualification Form |
| | Bidder must demonstrate the current soundness of its financial standing and indicate its prospective long-term profitability. (For JV/Consortium/Association, all Parties cumulatively should meet requirement). | Form D: Qualification Form |

Technical Evaluation Criteria

| Summary of Technical Proposal Evaluation Forms | | |
|--|--|------|
| 1. | Bidder's qualification, capacity and experience | 300 |
| 2. | Proposed Methodology, Approach and Implementation Plan | 400 |
| 3. | Management Structure and Key Personnel | 300 |
| | Total | 1000 |

| Section 1. Bidder's qualification, capacity and experience | | |
|--|---|-----|
| 1.1 | Reputation of Organization and Industry Standing | 40 |
| 1.2 | The company possesses at least 5 years of experience in designing, organizing and delivering trainings related to the assessment of legislation (5 years - 60 pts., each additional year 10 pts) | 90 |
| 1.3 | The company provided evidence of minimum 3 successfully completed projects on legislative scrutiny (3 contracts – 60 pts, each additional contract 10 pts) | 90 |
| 1.4 | Quality assurance procedures and risk mitigation measures | 50 |
| 1.5 | Organizational Commitment to Sustainability -Organization is compliant with ISO 14001 or ISO 14064 or equivalent – 10 points -Organization is a member of the UN Global Compact -5 points -Organization demonstrates significant commitment to sustainability through some other means- 15 points, for example internal company policy documents on women empowerment, renewable energies or membership of trade institutions promoting such issues, overall gender balance in the team, diversity within the team: people from minority, vulnerable or marginalized groups are part of the team, demonstrated experience in applying the Human Rights Based Approach and Gender Mainstreaming in the area. | 30 |
| | Total Section 1 | 300 |

| Section 2. Proposed Methodology, Approach and Implementation Plan | | |
|---|--|----|
| 2.1 | Understanding of the requirement: Have the important aspects of the task been addressed in sufficient detail? Are the different components of the project adequately weighted relative to one another? | 80 |
| 2.2 | Description of the Offeror's approach and methodology for meeting or exceeding the requirements of the Terms of Reference | 80 |
| 2.3 | Details on how the different service elements shall be organized, controlled and delivered | 60 |
| 2.4 | Description of available performance monitoring and evaluation mechanisms and tools; how they shall be adopted and used for a specific requirement | 50 |

| 2.5 | 2.5 Assessment of the implementation plan proposed including whether the activities are properly sequenced and if these are logical and realistic | |
|-----|---|-----|
| 2.6 | 2.6 Demonstration of ability to plan, integrate and effectively implement sustainability measures in the execution of the contract | |
| | Total Section 2 | 400 |

| Section 3. Management Structure and Key Personnel | | | Points obtainable |
|---|---|--------------|-------------------|
| 3.1 | Qualifications of key personnel proposed | | |
| 3.1 a | Task coordinator (TC) | | 80 |
| | University degree in Law, Public Administration, Political Science, Economic field or any other relevant fields; | 10 | |
| | At least 5 years work experience in managing project teams (5 years - 25 pts., each additional year 5 pts, maximum 35); | 35 | |
| | At least 5 years of experience in developing and coordinating training programmes; (5 years - 20 pts.) | 20 | |
| | Fluency in English. Knowledge of Romanian and Russian will be a strong asset (Each Language -5 pts.) | 15 | |
| 3.1 b | Senior Trainer (ST) | | 135 |
| | University degree in Law, Public Administration, Political Science, Economic field or any other relevant fields; | 20 | |
| | At least 5 years of experience in providing trainings and capacity building on regulatory impact assessment to public institutions (5 years - 30 pts., each additional year 6 pts, maximum 50); | 50 | |
| | Proven experience in preparing and carrying out at least 3 training programmes on ex-post legislative scrutiny; | 30 | |
| | Proven previous professional experience related to parliamentary assistance and oversight; | 20 | |
| | Fluency in English. Knowledge of Romanian and Russian will be a strong asset. (Each Language – 5 pts.) | 15 | |
| 3.1 c | Junior Trainer (JT) | | 85 |
| | University degree in Law, Public Administration, Political Science, Economic field or any other relevant fields; | 15 | |
| | At least 3 years of experience in providing trainings and capacity building to public institutions (3 years - 30 pts., each additional year 10 pts, maximum 40) | 40 | |
| | Proven previous professional experience related to parliamentary assistance and oversight; | 15 | |
| | Fluency in Romanian. Knowledge of English and Russian will be a strong asset. (Each Language – 5 pts.) | 15 | - |
| | | | |
| | Tota | al Section 3 | 300 |

Section 5. Terms of Reference

Company to Design and Deliver 2 tailor-made Trainings on Post-Legislative Scrutiny for the Secretariat of the Parliament of the Republic of Moldova

| Title: | Company to Design and Deliver 2 tailor-made Trainings on Post- Legislative Scrutiny for the Secretariat of the Parliament of the Republic of Moldova |
|-------------------------|--|
| Duty station: | Chisinau, Republic of Moldova |
| Project: | Strengthening Parliamentary Governance in Moldova Project |
| Contract type: | Contract for Goods and/or Services |
| Duration of assignment: | March – July 2019 |

A. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The UNDP "Strengthening Parliamentary Governance in Moldova" (SPGM) Project works with the Parliament of the Republic of Moldova (PRM) to strengthen its law-making, oversight and representation functions with a specific focus on the EU integration and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The project directly assists standing committees and the Secretariat of the Parliament of the Republic of Moldova in the implementation of their mandate. Project interventions include training, technical assistance, easy-to-read publications, exchanges and opportunities for learning from other parliaments, as well as the provision of specialized technical equipment. Through this support, the Parliament of the Republic of Moldova is improving its capacity to strengthen the legal framework and successfully engage in oversight through multi-stakeholder action involving civil society, professional associations and the public. The activities will offer and encourage equal opportunities for male and female participation and gender is mainstreamed throughout the project interventions.

In 2018, the Project commissioned the preparation of the "Assessment Report on Parliamentary Oversight in Moldova". It concluded that the PRM has made steps towards improvement of its oversight function by organizing public hearings and consultations on a more regular basis over the past years. Importantly, oversight was made a key priority by the current leadership of the Parliament in 2017 and all parliamentary standing committees stepped up to organize more public hearings and fact-finding field visits. However, the same assessment also pointed out that the efforts on oversight are still insufficient both on the side of the MPs, as well as staff members. Despite having an array of oversight tools, the legislature does not fully use them.

Subsequently, a methodology for ex-post assessment of legislation was developed and approved by the Standing Bureau in February 2018.³ The methodology distinguishes between two types of ex-post scrutiny: ex-post legal assessment and ex-post impact assessment. In 2018, the Secretariat applied this methodology and produced 19 ex-post legal assessment reports of laws identified based on the parliamentary oversight

^{2 &}quot;Parliamentary oversight in Moldova. Assessment report with recommendations", Franklin de Vrieze p.8, available at http://www.md.undp.org/content/moldova/en/home/library/effective_governance/controlul-parlamentar-in-republica-moldova.html

³ Standing Bureau of the Parliament of the Republic of Moldova, Decision no. 7 from 7.02.2018 on the approval of ex-post evaluation methodology relating to the implementation of laws

action plan. Additionally, two ex-post impact assessments were developed for the Standing Committee on Social Protection, Health and Family with the support of a national consultant.

The findings of the evaluation workshop organized by the project team on piloting of the methodology,⁴ as well as on the recommendations of the Assessment Report on Parliamentary Oversight, noted that the PRM requires additional human resources to prepare ex-post scrutiny reports.

Additionally, the Strategic Development Plan for 2017 – 2019 of the Secretariat of the Parliament identified the capacity building and professional development of the parliamentary staff members as a top-priority for the institutional development. In particular, training on ex-post scrutiny for staff members of the legal department and parliamentary committees is of high importance. The overall goal is to ensure that the personnel has the knowledge and skills to provide support in the context of law-making and oversight, so that they can perform their responsibilities and tasks more efficiently.

To ensure that the staffers in the Secretariat of the Parliament of the Republic of Moldova have enhanced capacities to engage efficiently in ex-post scrutiny of legislation, as well as to improve the understanding of the ex-ante assessment of bills, the project is seeking a specialized company (hereinafter Service Provider) that will develop and deliver two tailor-made trainings.

B. OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE OF WORK

The overall aim of the assignment is to strengthen the capacity of staff members of the Parliament of the Republic of Moldova to undertake more efficiently its functions on post-legislative scrutiny by means of providing two tailor-made trainings.

The trainings shall contribute to achievement of the following learning objectives:

Objective 1. Participants have improved the understanding of the means and techniques of exante and ex-post assessments of the legislation.

Objective 2. Participants have improved the skills to conduct efficiently post-legislative assessment of the legislation.

To achieve the stated objectives, the Service Provider shall:

- 1. Develop an Inception Report containing a work plan and a detailed methodology including an overview of the tools to be used for the assignment;
- 2. Develop the outline, curriculum and the training aids;
- 3. Develop the agendas and set dates for the trainings;
- 4. Develop the evaluation tools to assess the achievement of the learning objectives and participants' satisfaction;
- 5. Deliver two (2) 5-day training sessions for the selected staff of the Parliament;
- 6. Prepare and submit a post-training report to reflect the outcome of the both trainings and present recommendations for subsequent interventions. The report shall cover at least the following themes:
 - Processed information containing gender disaggregated data;

⁴ An evaluation workshop on the outcome of the piloting phase of use of the methodology was organised by the Project in Spring 2018

⁵ Decision of the Standing Bureau of the Parliament of the Republic of Moldova for the approval of the Strategic development plan of the Secretariat of the Parliament for the years 2017 – 2019, http://www.parlament.md/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=PFba6419bdE%3d&tabid=212&language=ro-RO

- Post-training evaluation addressing participants' satisfaction with the training content and anticipated impact on participants' job;
- Participants insights on the training;
- Recommendations for further actions aiming to strengthen the capacities of the Secretariat to support parliamentary oversight.

All the deliverables of the company shall be coordinated with the designated representative of the Parliament of the Republic of Moldova and the project team.

The minimum learning outcomes shall be:

- Knowledge of the main terms and differences between ex-ante and ex-post- legislative scrutiny;
- Understanding of the importance of conducting post-legislative scrutiny for improving the general quality of legislation and increasing the impact of scrutinized laws;
- Ability to efficiently and correctly employ in practice the main methods for conducting postlegislative scrutiny;
- Practical knowledge of conducting post-legislative assessment of legislation;
- Knowledge of the best practices in conducting post-legislative scrutiny from parliaments of other European countries.

C. METHODOLOGY

The Service Provider shall apply the "learning-by-doing" method by employing an interactive style of training (including simulations and case studies). Trainers shall avoid long theoretical sessions and use a skill-based training methodology. The Service Provider shall develop and provide participants with a set of relevant supporting materials for the training subjects:

- Agenda;
- Training handouts materials / guidelines;
- Presentations, case-studies and other training materials;
- At least 2 case-studies shall support the analysis from gender equality perspective;
- Background readings and links to additional information on conducting post-legislative scrutiny.

The selected Service Provider is expected to mainstream gender equality principles in all phases related to the training.

Beneficiaries of the trainings

The anticipated direct beneficiaries of the trainings are:

- Consultants of the parliamentary standing committees (2 consultants per committee⁶);
- Representatives of the General Legal Department of the Secretariat of the Parliament (up to 12 persons);
- Representatives of the Informational-analytical Department of the Secretariat of the Parliament (up to 3 persons).

The expected total amount of training participants is up to 35 persons. In order to ensure an interactive and efficient learning process, the Service Provider shall split this number of participants in 2 smaller groups. Accordingly, the Service Provider shall conduct the same training on post-legislative scrutiny for both groups. The exact dates for providing the trainings will be agreed on after the award of the Contract.

⁶ In line with the Rules of Procedure of the PRM, available at http://lex.justice.md/md/322831/, the Standing Bureau approves the number of Standing Commitees. According to data available in February 2019, there is a total number of ten Standing Committes. http://parlament.md/SecretariatulParlamentului/Secretariatelecomisiilor/tabid/149/language/ro-RO/Default.aspx.

Proposed training outline

The training outline shall cover at least the following issues:

- 1. Overview of RIA types and principles;
- 2. Interrelation between ex-ante and ex-post scrutiny of the legislation;
- 3. Overview of the approved methodology by the Standing Bureau of the Parliament of the Republic of Moldova on the ex-post assessment of legislation;
- 4. Conducting post-legislative assessment of the legislation:
 - Methodologies for mid-term and long-term assessment of legislation;
 - Main steps in conducting post-legislative assessment, indicators and criteria for assessments of legislation;
 - Institutional approaches and interrelations between the Parliament and the Government in the process of ex-post assessment: roles, responsibilities, partnerships and exchange of information for conducting post-legislative scrutiny;
- 5. Case-studies examination:
 - Analysis of legislative scrutiny case-studies from other European parliaments;
 - Presentation on how different assessment instruments are employed to conduct ex-post legislative scrutiny (on specific cases selected in close coordination with the Legal Department of the Parliament of the Republic of Moldova);
- 6. Practical application of training content.
 - Practical exercises that will help the beneficiaries to apply the knowledge acquired as part
 of the training;
 - Debriefing on the results of the practical exercises by providing comprehensive feedback that will improve further application of the trainings' knowledge.

D. DELIVERABLES AND INDICATIVE TIMEFRAME

| | Deliverables | Tentative timeframe |
|----|--|-----------------------------------|
| 1. | Prepare and submit the Inception Report | By 5th of April 2019 |
| 2. | Training outline and curriculum developed and submitted to the designated representative of the Parliament and the SPGM project team | By 15 th of April 2019 |
| 3. | Training handouts, presentations, case studies and other aids to be used for the approved outline developed and submitted to the designated representative of the Parliament and the SPGM project team | By 22nd of April 2019 |
| 4. | Evaluation tools to assess the achievement of the learning objectives and participants satisfaction developed and submitted to the designated representative of the Parliament and the SPGM project team | By ZZIIG OF APIII ZOIS |
| 5. | Two training sessions, lasting 5 days each delivered | By 25 th of May 2019 |
| 6. | Post-training report developed and submitted to the designated representative of the Parliament and the SPGM project team | By 10 th of June 2019 |

^{*} The exact date and time will be confirmed after the consultation with the Moldovan Parliament.

E. INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS

The Service Provider will work under the guidance of the Parliament of the Republic of Moldova and the UNDP Technical Specialist for substantive aspects of the assignment and the Senior Project Officer – for administrative aspects.

All the deliverables should be reviewed and approved by the Project's Coordination Team (consisting of the representatives of the Parliament of the Republic of Moldova and SPGM project team).

All deliverables should be submitted in an electronic format that would enable beneficiaries to further edit and use them.

The above listed deliverables and training materials will be finalised based on inputs from the SPGM project team and will be adjusted to the needs of the main beneficiary, where needed.

The contracted Service Provider will not be responsible for the logistical organisation of the training and for the associated costs (training facility and equipment rental).

Language requirements

All communication and documentation related to the trainings will be in English and Romanian. The training will be delivered in Romanian, possibly with the help of interpretation. Any translation and interpretation costs should be listed separately in the financial proposal.

The presentations and all other documents submitted in Romanian will also be subject to proof reading and editing to ensure compliance with the parliamentary language and terminology.

Timeframe and Location

The expected period of implementation of the assignment is during March – July 2019. The trainings location will be in the Republic of Moldova.

F. QUALIFICATIONS REQUIREMENTS

The bidder shall provide sound argumentation of the proposal by demonstrating compliance with the ToR and the environment in which it will provide the services. The bidder shall include information on the volume of allocated resources to carry out the assignment. A breakdown per man-days allocated for each deliverable shall be submitted, clearly explaining the role of the team members involved.

Successful bidder shall meet the following minimum qualification requirements for the assignment:

Corporate Minimum Requirements:

- Legally registered entity or consortia of firms;
- At least 5 years of experience in designing, organizing and delivering trainings related to the assessment of legislation;
- Provide evidence of minimum 3 successfully completed projects on legislative scrutiny;
- Previous work in providing capacity-development for parliaments will be an advantage.

The proposed team should consist of but not be limited to the following members:

- Task coordinator (TC);
- Senior Trainer (ST);
- Junior trainer (JT).

Minimum Qualifications of the proposed team:

Task coordinator (TC):

- University degree in Law, Public Administration, Political Science, Economic field or any other relevant fields;
- At least 5 years work experience in managing project teams;
- At least 5 years of experience in developing and coordinating training programmes;
- Fluency in English. Knowledge of Romanian and Russian will be a strong asset.

Senior Trainer (ST):

- University degree in Law, Public Administration, Political Science, Economic field or any other relevant fields;
- At least 5 years of experience in providing trainings and capacity building on regulatory impact assessment to public institutions;
- Proven experience in preparing and carrying out at least 3 training programmes on ex-post legislative scrutiny;
- Proven previous professional experience related to parliamentary assistance and oversight;
- Fluency in English. Knowledge of Romanian and Russian will be a strong asset.

Junior Trainer (JT):

- University degree in Law, Public Administration, Political Science, Economic field or any other relevant fields;
- At least 3 years of experience in providing trainings and capacity building to public institutions;
- Proven previous professional experience related to parliamentary assistance and oversight;
- Fluency in Romanian. Knowledge of English and Russian will be a strong asset.

G. APPLICATION DOSSIER

The full dossier of the application will include the following documents:

The contract price is a fixed output-based price, regardless of extension of its duration. The key outputs or milestone activities for which payments will be made should be delimitated based on the deliverables presented above. The applicant should indicate the percentage of involvement of each team's staff. The price should be exclusive of VAT. A breakdown per working days allocated for each deliverable shall be submitted with a clear explanation of the role of the team members involved.

Section 6: Returnable Bidding Forms / Checklist

This form serves as a checklist for preparation of your Proposal. Please complete the Returnable Bidding Forms in accordance with the instructions in the forms and return them as part of your Proposal submission. No alteration to format of forms shall be permitted and no substitution shall be accepted.

Before submitting your Proposal, please ensure compliance with the Proposal Submission instructions of the BDS 22.

Technical Proposal Envelope:

| Have you duly completed all the Returnable Bidding Forms? | |
|---|--|
| Form A: Technical Proposal Submission Form | |
| Form B: Bidder Information Form | |
| Form C: Joint Venture/Consortium/ Association Information Form | |
| Form D: Qualification Form | |
| Form E: Format of Technical Proposal | |
| Form H: Proposal Security Form | |
| Have you provided the required documents to establish compliance with the evaluation criteria in Section 4? | |

Financial Proposal Envelope

(Must be submitted in a separate sealed envelope/password protected email)

| Form F: Financial Proposal Submission Form | |
|--|--|
| Form G: Financial Proposal Form | |

Form A: Technical Proposal Submission Form

| Name of Bidder: | [Insert Name of Bidder] | Date: | Select date |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------|-------------|
| RFP reference: | [Insert RFP Reference Number] | | |

We, the undersigned, offer to provide the services for [Insert Title of services] in accordance with your Request for Proposal No. [Insert RFP Reference Number] and our Proposal. We are hereby submitting our Proposal, which includes this Technical Proposal and our Financial Proposal sealed under a separate envelope.

We hereby declare that our firm, its affiliates or subsidiaries or employees, including any JV/Consortium /Association members or subcontractors or suppliers for any part of the contract:

- a) is not under procurement prohibition by the United Nations, including but not limited to prohibitions derived from the Compendium of United Nations Security Council Sanctions Lists;
- b) have not been suspended, debarred, sanctioned or otherwise identified as ineligible by any UN Organization or the World Bank Group or any other international Organization;
- c) have no conflict of interest in accordance with Instruction to Bidders Clause 4;
- d) do not employ, or anticipate employing, any person(s) who is, or has been a UN staff member within the last year, if said UN staff member has or had prior professional dealings with our firm in his/her capacity as UN staff member within the last three years of service with the UN (in accordance with UN post-employment restrictions published in ST/SGB/2006/15);
- e) have not declared bankruptcy, are not involved in bankruptcy or receivership proceedings, and there is no judgment or pending legal action against them that could impair their operations in the foreseeable future;
- f) undertake not to engage in proscribed practices, including but not limited to corruption, fraud, coercion, collusion, obstruction, or any other unethical practice, with the UN or any other party, and to conduct business in a manner that averts any financial, operational, reputational or other undue risk to the UN and we embrace the principles of the United Nations Supplier Code of Conduct and adhere to the principles of the United Nations Global Compact.

We declare that all the information and statements made in this Proposal are true and we accept that any misinterpretation or misrepresentation contained in this Proposal may lead to our disqualification and/or sanctioning by the UNDP.

We offer to provide services in conformity with the Bidding documents, including the UNDP General Conditions of Contract and in accordance with the Terms of Reference

Our Proposal shall be valid and remain binding upon us for the period of time specified in the Bid Data Sheet.

We understand and recognize that you are not bound to accept any Proposal you receive.

I, the undersigned, certify that I am duly authorized by [Insert Name of Bidder] to sign this Proposal and bind it should UNDP accept this Proposal.

| Name: | |
|------------|--|
| Title: | |
| Date: | |
| Signature: | |

[Stamp with official stamp of the Bidder]

Form B: Bidder Information Form

| Legal name of Bidder | [Complete] | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Legal address | [Complete] | | |
| Year of registration | [Complete] | | |
| Bidder's Authorized Representative Information | Name and Title: [Complete] Telephone numbers: [Complete] Email: [Complete] | | |
| Are you a UNGM registered vendor? | ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, [insert UGNM vendor number] | | |
| Are you a UNDP vendor? | \square Yes \square No If yes, [insert UNDP vendor number] | | |
| Countries of operation | [Complete] | | |
| No. of full-time employees | [Complete] | | |
| Quality Assurance Certification (e.g. ISO 9000 or Equivalent) (If yes, provide a Copy of the valid Certificate): | [Complete] | | |
| Does your Company hold any accreditation such as ISO 14001 related to the environment? (If yes, provide a Copy of the valid Certificate): | [Complete] | | |
| Does your Company have a written Statement of its Environmental Policy? (If yes, provide a Copy) | [Complete] | | |
| Contact person UNDP may contact for requests for clarification during Proposal evaluation Please attach the following documents: | Name and Title: [Complete] Telephone numbers: [Complete] Email: [Complete] Company Profile, which should not exceed fifteen (15) pages, including printed brochures and product catalogues relevant to the goods/services being procured Certificate of Incorporation/ Business Registration Documents proving at least 5 years of experience in designing, organizing and delivering trainings related to the assessment of legislation; Documents proving the evidence of minimum 3 successfully completed projects on legislative scrutiny; Official Letter of Appointment as local representative, if Bidder is submitting a Bid in behalf of an entity located outside the country Power of Attorney (if applicable) | | |

Form C: Joint Venture/Consortium/Association Information Form

| Name | e of Bidder: | [Insert Name of Bidder] Date: Select date | | | | Select date |
|---------------------------|---|--|------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| RFP r | eference: | [Insert RFP Referer | nce Number] | | | |
| | completed and re/Consortium/A | eturned with your Prassociation. | roposal if the Propo | osal is submitt | ed as a . | Joint |
| No | | ner and contact inf ne numbers, fax numbe | | | _ | on of responsibilities (in rvices to be performed |
| 1 | [Complete] | | [Complete] | | | |
| 2 | [Complete] | [Complete] | | | | |
| 3 | [Complete] | | | [Complete] | | |
| | | | | | | |
| (with Assoc the ev | | the JV, Consortium, RFP process and, in | [Complete] | | | |
| structı □ Let We he | are of and the co ter of intent to f ereby confirm th | onfirmation of joint a | or and severable liabi | lity of the mender of the mender of the Join | mbers o n/Assoc t Ventu | re/Consortium/Association the Contract. |
| Nam | e of partner: | | Nam | e of partner: _ | | |
| Signa | nture: | | Signature: | | | |
| Date: | | | Date | : | | |
| Nam | e of partner: | | Nam | e of partner: _ | | |
| Signature: Si | | | Signa | ature: | | |

Form D: Qualification Form

| Name of Bidder: | [Insert Name of Bidder] | | Select date |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|--|-------------|
| RFP reference: | [Insert RFP Reference Number] | | |

If JV/Consortium/Association, to be completed by each partner.

Historical Contract Non-Performance

| ☐ Contract non-performance did not occur for the last 3 years | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| ☐ Contrac | t(s) not performed fo | or the last 3 years | | |
| Year | Non- performed portion of contract | Contract Identification | Total Contract Amount (current value in US\$) | |
| | | Name of Client: Address of Client: Reason(s) for non-performance: | | |

Litigation History (including pending litigation)

| □ No litiga | □ No litigation history for the last 3 years | | | |
|-----------------|--|----------------------------------|---|--|
| ☐ Litigation | n History as indicate | d below | | |
| Year of dispute | Amount in dispute (in US\$) | Contract Identification | Total Contract Amount (current value in US\$) | |
| | | Name of Client: | | |
| | | Address of Client: | | |
| | | Matter in dispute: | | |
| | | Party who initiated the dispute: | | |
| | | Status of dispute: | | |
| | | Party awarded if resolved: | | |

Previous Relevant Experience

Please list only previous similar assignments successfully completed in the last 3 years.

List only those assignments for which the Bidder was legally contracted or sub-contracted by the Client as a company or was one of the Consortium/JV partners. Assignments completed by the Bidder's individual experts working privately or through other firms cannot be claimed as the relevant experience of the Bidder, or that of the Bidder's partners or sub-consultants, but can be claimed by the Experts themselves in their CVs. The Bidder should be prepared to substantiate the claimed experience by presenting copies of relevant documents and references if so requested by UNDP.

| Project name & Country of Assignment | Client & Reference Contact Details | Contract Value | Period of activity and status | Types of activities undertaken |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| \square Attached are the Statements of Satisfactory Performance from the Top 3 (three) Clients or mor |
|---|
|---|

Financial Standing

| Annual Turnover for the last 3 years | Year Year | USD USD |
|--|--------------|------------|
| | Year | USD |
| Latest Credit Rating (if any), indicate the source | | |

| Financial information (in US\$ equivalent) | Historic information for the last 3 years | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------|--------|--|
| | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | |
| | Information from Balance Sheet | | | |
| Total Assets (TA) | | | | |
| Total Liabilities (TL) | | | | |
| Current Assets (CA) | | | | |
| Current Liabilities (CL) | | | | |
| | Infor | mation from Income State | ment | |
| Total / Gross Revenue (TR) | | | | |
| Profits Before Taxes (PBT) | | | | |
| Net Profit | | | | |

| Current Ratio | | |
|---------------|--|--|
| | | |

☐ Attached are copies of the audited financial statements (balance sheets, including all related notes, and income statements) for the years required above complying with the following condition:

- a) Must reflect the financial situation of the Bidder or party to a JV, and not sister or parent companies;
- b) Historic financial statements must be audited by a certified public accountant;
- c) Historic financial statements must correspond to accounting periods already completed and audited. No statements for partial periods shall be accepted.

Form E: Format of Technical Proposal

Note to UNDP [MUST BE DELETED BEFORE POSTING]:

Please ensure that the information below is adapted in accordance with the technical evaluation criteria included in Section 4. The below sections correspond to the sample criteria included in this template RFP in Section 4]

| Name of Bidder: | [Insert Name of Bidder] | Date: | Select date |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------|-------------|
| RFP reference: | [Insert RFP Reference Number] | | |

The Bidder's proposal should be organized to follow this format of Technical Proposal. Where the bidder is presented with a requirement or asked to use a specific approach, the bidder must not only state its acceptance, but also describe how it intends to comply with the requirements. Where a descriptive response is requested, failure to provide the same will be viewed as non-responsive.

SECTION 1: Bidder's qualification, capacity and expertise

- 1.1 Brief description of the organization, including the year and country of incorporation, and types of activities undertaken.
- 1.2 General organizational capability which is likely to affect implementation: management structure, financial stability and project financing capacity, project management controls, extent to which any work would be subcontracted (if so, provide details).
- 1.3 Relevance of specialized knowledge and experience on similar engagements done in the region/country.
- 1.4 Quality assurance procedures and risk mitigation measures.
- 1.5 Organization's commitment to sustainability.

SECTION 2: Proposed Methodology, Approach and Implementation Plan

This section should demonstrate the bidder's responsiveness to the TOR by identifying the specific components proposed, addressing the requirements, providing a detailed description of the essential performance characteristics proposed and demonstrating how the proposed approach and methodology meets or exceeds the requirements. All important aspects should be addressed in sufficient detail and different components of the project should be adequately weighted relative to one another.

- 2.1 A detailed description of the approach and methodology for how the Bidder will achieve the Terms of Reference of the project, keeping in mind the appropriateness to local conditions and project environment. Details how the different service elements shall be organized, controlled and delivered.
- 2.2 The methodology shall also include details of the Bidder's internal technical and quality assurance review mechanisms.
- 2.3 Explain whether any work would be subcontracted, to whom, how much percentage of the work, the rationale for such, and the roles of the proposed sub-contractors and how everyone will function as a team.
- 2.4 Description of available performance monitoring and evaluation mechanisms and tools; how they shall be adopted and used for a specific requirement.

- 2.5 Implementation plan including a Gantt Chart or Project Schedule indicating the detailed sequence of activities that will be undertaken and their corresponding timing.
- 2.6 Demonstrate how you plan to integrate sustainability measures in the execution of the contract.
- 2.7 Any other comments or information regarding the project approach and methodology that will be adopted.

SECTION 2A: Bidder's Comments and Suggestions on the Terms of Reference

Provide comments and suggestions on the Terms of Reference, or additional services that will be rendered beyond the requirements of the TOR, if any.

SECTION 3: Management Structure and Key Personnel

- 3.1 Describe the overall management approach toward planning and implementing the project. Include an organization chart for the management of the project describing the relationship of key positions and designations. Provide a spreadsheet to show the activities of each personnel and the time allocated for his/her involvement.
- 3.2 Provide CVs for key personnel that will be provided to support the implementation of this project using the format below. CVs should demonstrate qualifications in areas relevant to the Scope of Services.

Format for CV of Proposed Key Personnel

| Name of Personnel | [Insert] |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Position for this assignment | [Insert] |
| Nationality | [Insert] |
| Language proficiency | [Insert] |
| Education/ | [Summarize college/university and other specialized education of personnel member, giving names of schools, dates attended, and degrees/qualifications obtained.] |
| Qualifications | [Insert] |
| Professional certifications | [Provide details of professional certifications relevant to the scope of services] Name of institution: [Insert] Date of certification: [Insert] |
| Employment Record/ Experience | [List all positions held by personnel (starting with present position, list in reverse order), giving dates, names of employing organization, title of position held and location of employment. For experience in last five years, detail the type of activities performed, degree of responsibilities, location of assignments and any other information or professional experience considered pertinent for this assignment.] |
| References | [Insert] [Provide names, addresses, phone and email contact information for two (2) references] |

| | Reference 1: [Insert] | |
|---|--------------------------|--|
| | Reference 2: [Insert] | |
| I, the undersigned, certify qualifications, my experience | • | owledge and belief, these data correctly describe my ormation about myself. |
| Signature of Personnel | | Date (Day/Month/Year) |

Form F: Financial Proposal Submission Form

| Name of Bidder: | [Insert Name of Bidder] | Date: | Select date |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------|-------------|
| RFP reference: | [Insert RFP Reference Number] | | |

We, the undersigned, offer to provide the services for [Insert Title of services] in accordance with your Request for Proposal No. [Insert RFP Reference Number] and our Proposal. We are hereby submitting our Proposal, which includes this Technical Proposal and our Financial Proposal sealed under a separate envelope.

Our attached Financial Proposal is for the sum of [Insert amount in words and figures].

Our Proposal shall be valid and remain binding upon us for the period of time specified in the Bid Data Sheet. We understand you are not bound to accept any Proposal you receive.

| Name: | | |
|------------|------|--|
| Title: | | |
| Date: | | |
| Signature: | | |

[Stamp with official stamp of the Bidder]

Form G: Financial Proposal Form

| Name of Bidder: | [Insert Name of Bidder] | Date: | Select date |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------|-------------|
| RFP reference: | [Insert RFP Reference Number] | | |

The Bidder is required to prepare the Financial Proposal following the below format and submit it in an envelope separate from the Technical Proposal as indicated in the Instruction to Bidders. Any Financial information provided in the Technical Proposal shall lead to Bidder's disqualification.

The Financial Proposal should align with the requirements in the Terms of Reference and the Bidder's Technical Proposal.

Currency of the proposal: [Insert Currency]

Table 1: Summary of Overall Prices

| | Amount(s) |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Professional Fees (from Table 2) | |
| Other Costs (from Table 3) | |
| Total Amount of Financial Proposal | |

Table 2: Breakdown of Professional Fees

| Name | Position | Fee Rate | No. of Days/months/hours | Total Amount |
|------------|----------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| | | Α | В | C=A+B |
| In-Country | | | | |
| Home Based | | | | |
| | | Subtotal Pi | ofessional Fees: | |

Table 3: Breakdown of Other Costs

| Description | UOM | Quantity | Unit Price | Total Amount |
|-----------------------|------|----------|------------|---------------------|
| International flights | Trip | | | |

| Subsistence allowance | Day | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Miscellaneous travel expenses | Trip | | | | |
| Local transportation costs | Lump Sum | | | | |
| Out-of-Pocket Expenses | | | | | |
| Other Costs: (please specify) | | | | | |
| Subtotal Other Costs: | | | | | |

Table 4: Breakdown of Price per Deliverable/Activity

| Deliverable/ Activity description | Time (person days) | Professional Fees | Other Costs | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------|
| Deliverable 1 | | | | |
| Deliverable 2 | | | | |
| Deliverable 3 | | | | |
| | | | | |

Form H: Form of Proposal Security

Proposal Security must be issued using the official letterhead of the Issuing Bank. Except for indicated fields, no changes may be made on this template.

To: UNDP

[Insert contact information as provided in Data Sheet]

WHEREAS [Name and address of Bidder] (hereinafter called "the Bidder") has submitted a Proposal to UNDP dated Click here to enter a date. to execute Services [Insert Title of Services] (hereinafter called "the Proposal"):

AND WHEREAS it has been stipulated by you that the Bidder shall furnish you with a Bank Guarantee by a recognized bank for the sum specified therein as security in the event that the Bidder:

- a) Fails to sign the Contract after UNDP has awarded it;
- b) Withdraws its Proposal after the date of the opening of the Proposals;
- c) Fails to comply with UNDP's variation of requirement, as per RFP instructions; or
- d) Fails to furnish Performance Security, insurances, or other documents that UNDP may require as a condition to rendering the contract effective.

AND WHEREAS we have agreed to give the Bidder such this Bank Guarantee:

NOW THEREFORE we hereby affirm that we are the Guarantor and responsible to you, on behalf of the Bidder, up to a total of [amount of guarantee] [in words and numbers], such sum being payable in the types and proportions of currencies in which the Price Proposal is payable, and we undertake to pay you, upon your first written demand and without cavil or argument, any sum or sums within the limits of [amount of guarantee as aforesaid] without your needing to prove or to show grounds or reasons for your demand for the sum specified therein.

This guarantee shall be valid up to 30 days after the final date of validity of bids.

SIGNATURE AND SEAL OF THE GUARANTOR BANK

[Stamp with official stamp of the Bank]

| Signature: | |
|--------------|--|
| Name: | |
| Title: | |
| Date: | |
| Name of Bank | |
| Address | |
| | |