

Empowered lives. Resilient nations.

1

Date: Tuesday, April 16, 2019

Reference: UNDP-IRH-201904-CFP-06 - Awareness Raising - Rational Water Use - Georgia

Subject: Clarification Letter 1

Deadline: Thursday, April 18, 2019

Dear Sir/ Madam,

Kindly be informed that below sections are revised and should be read as follows:

1. V Evaluation Criteria and Methodology: Pages 4 – 9

2. Annex 1 - REQUEST FOR INFORMATION (RFI) FROM CSO/NGO: Pages 12 – 15 and same in Returnable Forms

## **Revised:** V Evaluation Criteria and Methodology

Summary of Technical Proposal		mary of Technical Proposal Score Po		(	Compar	ny / Oth	er Entit	У
Evaluation Forms		Weight	Obtainable	Α	В	С	D	Е
1.	Expertise of Firm	25%	250					
	Methodology, Its							
2.	Appropriateness to the	30%	300					
	Condition and Timeliness of the							
	Implementation Plan							
	Management Structure and							
3.	Qualification of Key Personnel	15%	150					
	Total		700					

Evaluation forms for technical proposals follow on the next two pages. The obtainable number of points specified for each evaluation criterion indicates the relative significance or weight of the item in the overall evaluation process. The Technical Proposal Evaluation Forms are:

Form 1: Expertise of Firm

Form 2: Methodology, Its Appropriateness to the Condition and Timeliness of the Implementation Plan

Form 3: Management Structure and Qualification of Key Personnel



Tochi	nical Proposal Evaluation	Points		Compa	ny / Oth		silient n
Form	·	obtainable	Α	В	C	D	y E
101111		Obtainable		р .		<u> </u>	L
Expe	rtise of firm						
1.1	Relevance of the nature of the company to the assignment	50					
1.2	More than 2 years of working experience in the area awareness rising and education in General	40					
1.3	Proven experience of at least 2 years in designing and managing awareness raising campaigns in Georgia	60					
1.3	At least 2 years' experience in organizing environmental educational events with schools	75					
1.4	At least 3 similar engagements executed by the entity with UNDP or organizations similar to UNDP (International organization)	25					
		250					
	nical Proposal Evaluation	Points	(	Compa	ny / Oth	er Entit	У
Form	2	Obtainable	Α	В	С	D	E
Meth	odology, Its Appropriateness to the Condition and	Timeliness of	the Im	plemer	ntation P	lan	
2.1	la this proposal in alignment with proposition	60					
2.1	Is this proposal in alignment with prescribed ToR? Moderate (1-20 points), Strong (21-40), outstanding (41-60 points)	60					
2.2	Have the important aspects of the agenda been addressed in sufficient detail?  Moderate (1-20 points), Strong (21-40), outstanding (41-60 points)	60					
2.3	Are the different components of the project adequately weighted relative to one another? Is the scope of tasks well defined and does it correspond to TOR?  Moderate (1-20 points), Strong (21-40), outstanding (41-60 points)	60					
2.4	Is the implementation plan and timeline logical, realistic and promise effective implementation of project?	60					



Techi	nical Proposal Evaluation			Points		Compai	ny / Oth		silient n v
Form 1				obtainable	Α	В	C	D	E
	Moderate (1-20 points), Strong (21-40), outstanding (41-60 points)		10),		, ,				
2.5	Is the methodology well defined correspond to the TOR? Moderate (1-20 points), Strong outstanding (41-60 points)			60					
				300					
Techi	nical Proposal Evaluation			Points	(	Compar	ny / Oth	er Entit	У
Form	3			Obtainable	Α	В	С	D	Е
	I								
3.1	Expert Fee			50					
			Sub- Score						
	General Qualification of Environmental Education Exper (Project Coordinator)	t	45						
	Suitability for the Project								
	-Relevant education - Master's or above required, Ph.D. preferred in relevant field.	10							
	-At least 5 years required, preference for experience in similar assignments, and field experience in environmental education and awareness raising activities.	20							
	-Proven Experience in project design, technical and financial managing and coordination experience	15							
	-Fluency in Georgian Language and minimum good knowledge of English Language		5						
			50						



Tech	nical Proposal Evaluation			Points	(	Compai	ny / Oth		silient r v
Form	•		obtainable	Α	В	c C	D	E	
3.2	Expert Fee			25					
			Sub-						
			Score						
	General Qualification of Socio-		20						
	Economy experts								
	Suitability for the Project								
	- Relevant education	5							
	Master's or Ph.D. in relevant								
	field								
	- at least 5 years required,								
	preference for experience in	10							
	similar assignments, and field								
	experience in monitoring								
	human interactions with								
	water resources related								
	challenges								
	- reporting and data analysis	5							
	experience in socio-economic								
	analysis, survey development								
	and interpretation,								
	empowering civil society in								
	environmental protection								
	and reducing water losses, and gender mainstreaming in								
	water management.								
	water management								
	-Fluency in Georgian language		5						
	,		25						
3.3	Expert Fee			25					
			Sub-						
			Score						
	General Qualification of Munic	ıpal	20						
	Water Expert								
	Suitability for the Project								
	Saltability for the Froject				<u> </u>		l		<u> </u>



Techr	nical Proposal Evaluation			Points	(	Compai	ny / Oth		v v
Form	•			obtainable	Α	В	C	D	E
. 01111	Master's or above required,	5		o o cama o re	,,		Č		
	Ph.D. in relevant field								
	- At least 5 years required,								
	preference for experience in	10							
	similar assignments, and field								
	experience in municipal								
	water supply systems								
	- reporting and data analysis	5							
	experience in municipal								
	water supply networks,								
	including the possible								
	reasons for water losses in								
	the distribution network, the								
	possible measures to be								
	undertaken to reduce these								
	losses, and what actions								
	should be taken by the								
	different groups of the								
	society to improve water use								
	efficiency.								
	-Fluency in Georgian language		5						
			25						
				T	1	1	T	ı	
3.4	Expert Fee		0.1	25					
			Sub-						
	Constant Qualification of Cont.		Score						
	General Qualification of Social	o b	20						
	Media and Community Outread	un							
	Expert  Cuitability for the Brainet								
	Suitability for the Project								
	- Relevant education BA/BS	5							
	degree in advertising,								
	communications, education,								
	or journalism, or other								
	relevant field								



Technical Proposal Evaluation			Points	(	Compar	ny / Oth		silient n v
Form 1		obtainable	Α	В	С	D	E	
- at least 3 years of proven experience in social media campaigns, and applied experience in building awareness through community outreach. Experience working with database development, reporting results via social media, and generating enthusiasm and tangible results from outreach and social media campaigns.	10							
-training, meeting facilitating, reporting and data analysis experience	5							
-Fluency in Georgian language		5						
		25						
			T	ı	1		I	
3.5 Expert Fee		6.1	25					
		Sub-						
General Qualification of Graphi Designer	ic	Score 20						
Suitability for the Project								
<ul> <li>a strong portfolio of graphic design for educational materials and stakeholder awareness building for environmental issues.</li> </ul>	10							
-at least 5 years graphic design experience and computer design focusing on youth and communities, info graphics and social marketing or advertising	10	5						
L - Fluency in Georgian language	- Fluency in Georgian language			1	1			
- Huericy III Georgian language		25						



Empowered lives. Resilient nations.

Technical Proposal Evaluation	Points	(	Compar	ny / Othe	er Entit	У
Form 1	obtainable	Α	В	С	D	Е
	150					

## **Revised:** Annex 1 - REQUEST FOR INFORMATION (RFI) FROM CSO/NGO

	Торіс	Areas of Inquiry/ Supporting documentation	Response
1.	Proscribed organizations	Is the CSO/NGO listed in the UN's list of proscribed organizations, UNDP Vendor Sanctions List, or indicted by the International or National Criminal Court?	
		2. Is the CSO/NGO banned by any other institution/governments? If, yes, please provide information regarding the institution/Government and reasons.	
2.	Legal status and Bank Account	Does the CSO/NGO have a legal capacity to operate in the UNDP programme country, and does it comply with the legal requirements of the country to register and operate an NGO/CSO? Please provide copies of all relevant documents evidencing legality of operations.	
		2. Does the CSO/NGO have a bank account? (Please Submit proof indicating latest date)	
3.	Certification/ Accreditation	Is the CSO/NGO certified in accordance with any international or local standards (e.g., ISO), such as in:	
		<ul> <li>Leadership and Managerial Skills</li> <li>Project Management</li> <li>Financial Management</li> <li>Organizational standards and procedures</li> </ul>	



			Resilient nation
		• Other	
	f Establishment ganizational ound	1. When was the CSO/NGO established?	
		2. How has the CSO/NGO evolved since its establishment? (no more than 2 paragraphs)	
		3. Who are your main donor/ partners?	
		4. Please provide a list of all entities that the CSO/NGO may have an affiliation with.	
		5. In how many cities/provinces/regions/ countries do you have capacity to operate in? Please provide a complete list and indicate the size of the offices in each location.	
5. Manda constitu		<ol> <li>What is the CSO/NGO's primary advocacy / purpose for existence?</li> </ol>	
		2. What is the CSO/NGO's mandate, vision, and purpose? (no more than 2 paragraphs)	
		3. Is the CSO/NGO officially designated to represent any specific constituency?	
6. Areas c	of Expertise	<ol> <li>Does the CSO/NGO have expertise in any of the key areas identified above in this RFI?</li> </ol>	
		2. What other areas of expertise does the CSO/NGO have?	
		3. Does the CSO/NGO have in knowledge transfer on education and awareness issues in Georgia	
L			



Empowered lives. Resilient nations.

	Resilient nati
	4. Please describe any relevant expertise and previous experience that the individual CSO/NGO members have.
7. Financial Position and Sustainability	1. What was the CSO/NGO's total financial delivery in the preceding 2 years? Please provide audited financial statements for the last 2 years. If audited financial statements are not available, please provide an explanation regarding why it is not possible to obtain them.
	2. What is the CSO/NGO's actual and projected inflow of financial resources for the current and the following year?  Output  Description:
	3. Please provide a list of projects with description, duration, location and budget over the past 2 years (arrange from biggest budget to the lowest).
8. Public Transparency	<ol> <li>What documents are publicly available?</li> <li>How can these documents be accessed? (Pls provide links if web-based)</li> </ol>

All other terms and conditions remain the same.

Thank you and best regards,

Procurement IRH Istanbul UNDP Europe & CIS Istanbul Regional Hub, Turkey www.eurasia.undp.org