



"A Bottom-up Approach to ABS: Community level capacity development for successful engagement in ABS value chains in Cameroon (*Echinops giganteus and Mondia whitei*)" AWARD N° 00090258

TERMS OF REFERENCE

FOR THE RECRUITMENT OF A CONSULTANT IN VIEW OF THE ELABORATION AND DOCUMENTATION OF GOOD PRACTICES, SUCCESS STORIES AND LESSONS LEARNED OF PROJECT AWARD N°00090258 ON ACCESS AND BENEFIT SHARING OF GENETIC RESOURCES



Echinops giganteus





1. BACKGROUND

The project "A Bottom-up Approach to ABS: Community level capacity development for successful engagement in ABS value chains in Cameroon (Echinops giganteus and Mondia whitei)" is being implemented by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) through the Inspector N°2 of the Ministry of Environment, Protection of Nature and Sustainable Development with funding provided by the Global Environment Facility (the GEF). This three-year medium-sized project which uses two key resources in the Lebialem landscape seeks to address issues of access and benefit sharing thereby facilitating the implementation of the Nagoya Protocol on Access and Benefit Sharing of genetic resources and associated traditional knowledge by the government of Cameroon.

The overarching objective of this project is to build capacities both at the national and local levels through the development of the value chains of *Echinops giganteus* and *Mondia whitei* that are compliant with ABS principles enshrined in the Nagoya Protocol, as well as building on these value chains and customary practices to inform on a legal and regulatory framework on ABS. This project targets government, private sector, civil society, the local and indigenous people most especially local communities of Magha-Bamumbu and Lewoh who are the target population and the primary beneficiaries. It should be recalled that at the time of elaboration of the project document, partnership agreements were signed between French company V MANE FILS and Magha-Bamumbu and Lewoh (local communities of the Southwest Region) for the extraction of essential oils from the roots of these two genetic resources (*Echinops giganteus and Mondia whitei*), for use in the cosmetic industry.

The project was adopted in October 2016, launched in January 2017 and implementation is ongoing and will run through October 2019. It uses a bottom-up approach working closely on a daily basis with the community representatives of Magha-Bamumbu and Lewoh. This project is the first of its kind in the sub region and an adequate opportunity to practically translate the articles and decisions of the Nagoya Protocol into concrete and tangible actions which can create impact in the lives of local and indigenous people. To this effect, the approach can be qualified as the "learning by doing approach". It has 2 main components:

- Component 1: Facilitating the engagement of the local community in ABS value chains and strengthening capacity.
- **Component 2:** Integrating lessons learnt into national laws and/or implementation processes with the aim of harmonizing customary practices with national ABS regulation.

These lessons learned, and best practices will feed into the development of diverse knowledge products for dissemination at the local, regional and international

levels. It will also serve to build the capacity of the various stakeholders in the implementation process most especially holders of traditional knowledge.

2. GENERAL OBJECTIVE

The overall objective of this consultancy is to take stock of achievements made by this project with particular emphasis on best practices, lessons learned from project inception till date, in order to improve on performance and to inform future projects and programmes.

2.1 SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES

- ✓ Develop thematic reports from component 1 and 2 summarizing techniques, good practices and lessons learnt;
- Provide a set of case studies (community protocols, access to genetic resources and traditional knowledge, negotiating MATs and signing of PIC) focusing on good practices from project activities;
- ✓ Provide other knowledge products from assessing the project initiatives and interventions (what is special about it, how can it inform improve present performance and inform future projects, what are success factors, selection process, M&E, institutionalization, community awareness campaigns products and activities).

3. DELIVRABLES

- ✓ **Deliverable to specific objective 1 (SO1):** Document containing thematic reports of Component 1 and 2 summarizing techniques, good practices and lessons learnt.
- ✓ **Deliverable to specific objective 2 (SO2):** Document describing a set of case studies (community protocols, access to genetic resources and traditional knowledge, negotiating MATs and signing of PIC) focusing on good practices from project activities.
- ✓ **Deliverable to specific objective 3 (SO3):** Document describing other knowledge products from assessing the project initiatives and interventions (what is special about it, how can it inform improve present performance and inform future projects, what are success factors, selection process, M&E, institutionalization, community awareness campaigns products and activities).

4. SCOPE OF WORK

The consultant will carry out the following:

Design mechanisms for gathering lessons learned, compile and systematize these lessons learned into knowledge reports and studies in order for project team to disseminate them as knowledge products for different media, summary project achievements since 2017 to date including a timeline;

- ✓ Review of existing literature (project document, meeting/mission reports, studies, etc.);
- ✓ Carry out key informant interviews to draw lessons learned in the implementation of the project;
- ✓ Organize an internal dissemination workshop to present the draft report to Project Team. The draft report should be presented in soft and hard.
- ✓ Participate in validation workshop and produce final report with comments integrated.

5. ACTIVITIES/METHODOLOGY

1. General requirements

The consultant should provide a methodology for this work that will include questionnaires and other instruments as well as a work plan that contains at least one field visit. The methodology should demonstrate how specific objectives will be achieved. All this will be validated by the project team.

2. Activities/specific methodology

The work sequence may be broken down as follows:

- Elaboration and validation of work plan and methodology;
- Production of midterm reports and participation in working session to examine reports;
- Participation in validation workshop.

The deliverables will be examined during a midterm working session.

6. VALIDATION OF DELIVERABLES

*J=date of signature of contract (calendar days).



Monitoring table for deliverables and deadlines

ery Means of Date de verification	draft document during a working session with Project team and UNDP
Quality criterion Delivery date	Criteria of approach The consultant will have to conduct Desk Review: Conduct desk review over the existing documentation that provide information on the current project status. Documents to be reviewed might include but not limited to PRODOC, meeting/mission reports/minutes, studies, articles, etc. Interviews: To obtain more detailed information in order to generate lessons learned and best practices, conduct focus interviews and hold meetings with a wider cross section of stakeholders, including with Government Officials (members of ABS committee), key individuals/community groups involved (Magha-Bamumbu and Lewoh communities), ERUDEF, Project team, taskforce, UNDP, consultants, etc.: Compilation of results: The results of the lessons learned, and best practices generated based on the information gathered from desk review, interviews and community interaction will then be presented in a meeting/workshop of key stakeholders to facilitate the selection/determination of the case studies and thematic reports as knowledge products Reports: A) Produce a range of lessons learned and best practices write up using a standard format generated and agreed upon. B) Produce the case studies and thematic reports as knowledge products for dissemination for local, regional and international audiences. Criteria of form Results should be presented in text and tabular forms
Deliverables	Document containing thematic reports of Component 1 and 2 summarizing techniques, good practices and lessons learnt
Steps	1. Develop thematic reports from component 1 and 2 summarizing techniques, good practices and lessons learnt

		Criteria of contents Indicate amongst others the following in the report: brief description of country context, challenges faced at the start of the project, issues project is trying to address, solutions project tried to offer, major			
		outputs, key successes, factors of success, shortcomings/unforeseen circumstances, how they were overcame, achievement of project results, mistakes that could have been avoided, recommendations,			
-		replication of results, other information, etc.			
2. Provide a set of Document	Document		J+30 [Examination of	
case studies	describing a set of			draft document	
(community	case studies	Desk Review: Conduct desk review over the existing documentation that provide information on the current project status.		a	
protocols, access to (community	(community	to be reviewed might include but not limited to PRODOC	J1	session with Project	
genetic resources	protocols, access to	meeting/mission reports/minutes, studies, articles, etc.		team and UNDP	
and traditional	traditional genetic resources	Interviews: To obtain more detailed information in order to			
knowledge,	and traditional	generate lessons learned and best practices, conduct focus			
negotiating MATs knowledge,	knowledge,	interviews and hold meetings with a wider cross section of			
and signing of PIC)	negotiating MATs	stakeholders, including with Government Officials (members of ABS committee) key individuals/community ground involved (Magha			
focusing on good	and signing of PIC)	Bamumbu and Lewoh communities), ERUDEF. Project team			
	focusing on good	taskforce, UNDP, consultants, etc.:			
project activities	practices from	Compilation of results: The results of the lessons learned, and best			
	ctiviti	practices generated based on the information gathered from desk			
		review, interviews and community interaction will then be presented			
		in a meeting/workshop of key stakeholders to facilitate the			MSC 21
		selection/determination of the case studies and thematic reports as	1	月月	
		knowledge products	Bar of Call	To the same of the	
		Reports: A) Produce a range of lessons learned and best practices	The same of the sa	a la	
		write up using a standard format generated and agreed upon. B)	100	E DI	
		Produce the case studies and thematic reports as knowledge	S P	CAN	

	other	audiences. Criteria of form Results should be presented in text and tabular forms Criteria of contents Indicate amongst others the following in the report: brief description of country context, challenges faced at the start of the project, issues project is trying to address, solutions project tried to offer, major outputs, key successes, factors of success, shortcomings/unforeseen circumstances, how they were overcame, achievement of project results, mistakes that could have been avoided, recommendations, replication of results, other information, etc. Criteria of approach Criteria of approach Desk Review: Conduct desk review over the existing documentation that provide information on the current project status. Documents	60 Examination of draft document during a working
	other	The second of th	Examination draft docume during a workir
	other	The same of the sa	Examination draft docume
	other	Marie America de Marie Calmina de la Timbra Calmina	Examination draft docume during a workir
	other		Examination draft docume during a workir
	other	AND THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF	Examination draft docume during a workir
	other	and the second s	Examination draft docume
	other	As the second	Examination draft docume
	other	Commence of the commence of th	Examination draft docume
	other		Examination draft docume during a workir
	other		Examination draft docume during a workir
	other		Examination draft docume during a workir
	other	The consultant will have to conduct Desk Review: Conduct desk review over the existing documentation that provide information on the current project status. Documents	o O
	from	Desk Review: Conduct desk review over the existing documentation that provide information on the current project status. Documents	o o
	from	that provide information on the current project status. Documents	
	trom		J. C. Atimo or income
		to be reviewed might include but not limited to PRODOC,	session with Project
-	the	meeting/mission reports/minutes, studies, articles, etc.	team and UNDP
and Interventions project	project initiatives	Interviews: To obtain more detailed information in order to generate	
(what is special and int	and interventions		
-	-	hold meetings with a wider cross section of stakeholders, including	
77.15		with Government Officials (members of ABS committee), key	
		Lewoh communities), ERUDEF, Project team, taskforce, UNDP,	
performance and present		consultants, etc.:	
inform future performance	and	Compilation of results: The results of the lessons learned, and best	
projects, what are inform	future		
success factors, projects,	projects, what are	in a meeting/workshop of key stakeholders to facilitate the	1
selection process, success	factors,	selection/determination of the case studies and thematic reports as	OCT CHOICE
M&E, selection	process,	knowledge products	THE STATE OF THE S

Reports: A) Produce a range of lessons learned and best practices	write up using a standard format generated and agreed upon. B) Produce the race children and themself reports of provided and	products for dissemination for local, regional and international	audiences.	Criteria of form	and Results should be presented in text and tabular forms	Criteria of contents	Indicate amongst others the following in the report: brief description	of country context, challenges faced at the start of the project, issues	project is trying to address, solutions project tried to offer, major	outputs, key successes, factors of success, shortcomings/unforeseen	circumstances, how they were overcame, achievement of project	results, mistakes that could have been avoided, recommendations,	replication of results, other information, etc.
1&E,	institutionalization,	community	awareness	and campaigns	products and	activities)							
institutionalization, M&E,	community in	awareness	campaigns av	products and ca	activities) pr								



7. SUPERVISION

The consultant will work closely with the project team (National Project Director, Project Manager and Project Assistant) to whom he will report regularly on the progress of the mission. In carrying out his mission, he will also be accompanied by a technical team composed of the Taskforce of the Nagoya Protocol of MINEPDED.

8. CONSULTANT'S PROFILE/QUALIFICATION OR REQUIRED EXPERTISE

The consultant in charge of the mission must meet the following requirements:

- Holder of a master's degree in public administration, public policy/law, Social Sciences, related Environmental and Natural Resources Management or other relevant field;
- Minimum 05 years of experience in preparation of technical reports and studies, or other knowledge products, related to projects and their management;
- Familiarity with in-depth interview techniques, good ability to write, review and edit reports, prepare and conduct presentations;
- Must have conducted a similar study and experience in working with government agencies, civil society organizations and international organization is an asset;
- Ability to work efficiently and independently under pressure, handle multitasking situations with strong delivery orientation;
- Mastery of pidgin and English languages;
- Availability to execute the contract with excellent time management skills and ability to deliver high-quality outputs on time.

8.1 EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS

The technical proposal is evaluated on the basis of its responsiveness to the Terms of Reference (TOR) based on the below evaluation criteria.

8.2 EVALUATION CRITERIA

The price proposal of all consultants, who have attained minimum 70% of the total score (i.e. 70 points) in the technical evaluation, will be compared. The contract will be awarded to the individual offering the lowest financial technically responsive offer.

8.3 TECHNICAL EVALUATION TABLE



EVALUATION OF TECHNICAL OFFER FOR THE RECRUITMENT OF A CONSULTANT IN VIEW OF THE ELOBORATION AND DOCUMENTATION OF GOOD PRACTICES, SUCCESS STORIES AND LESSONS LEARNED OF PROJECT AWARD N°00090258 ON ACCESS AND BENEFIT SHARING OF GENETIC RESOURCES

Domain of evaluation	Highest mark	01	02	03	04	05	06
1.Level and pertinence of training (10 pts) Holder of a master's degree in public administration, public policy/law, Social Sciences, related Environmental and Natural Resources Management or other relevant field	10 pts						
2.Experience in the domain Minimum 05 years of experience in preparation of technical reports and studies, or other knowledge products, related to projects and their management	20pts						
3. Additional knowledge in the domain Familiarity with in-depth interview techniques, good ability to write, review and edit reports, prepare and conduct presentations	20 pts						
4.Conduction of similar study Must have conducted a similar study and experience in working with government agencies, civil society organizations and international organization is an asset	15 pts						
5. Ability to work efficiently and independently under pressure, handle multi-tasking situations with strong delivery orientation	10						
6. Mastery of pidgin and English languages	15 pts						
7. Availability to execute contract with excellent time management skills and ability to deliver high-quality outputs on time	10pts						

9. CHRONOGRAM OF ACTIVITIES

The consultant will present a timetable of activities taking into account deadlines indicated in the evaluation table.

10. DURATION

The mission is scheduled for a period of 23 days that will span through 60 days from date of signature of contract by consultant.

11. TERMS OF SUBMISSION

The consultant will be required to submit a technical bid and financial offer to be reviewed and validated by UNDP.

Technical Bid

- Observations on terms of reference;
- The proposed methodology should be accompanied with specific or appropriate tools for collecting, processing and analyzing data which will result to valid scientific, social and economic conclusions;
- Details of the activities:
- A schedule and deadlines for carrying out the various activities;
- CV + photocopy of national identity card + legalized copy of diploma;
- Confirmation that the consultant is free from any engagement during the mission period and two relevant references in the area of competence;
- A list of similar missions carried out with references by the consultant.

Financial Bid

- The Submission letter signed, dated should indicate the amount of the tender;
- The list of unit prices with detailed costs.

The financial and technical offers, written in French or English, will be placed in two separate envelopes marked "technical offer" and "financial offer". These two envelopes shall be enclosed in a single envelope bearing, to the exclusion of any other distinctive emblem, the address of the Awarder of the bid with the following:

"To be opened only during session, "ELABORATION AND DOCUMENTATION OF GOOD PRACTICES, SUCCESS STORIES AND LESSONS LEARNED OF PROJECT AWARD N°00090258 ON ACCESS AND BENEFIT SHARING OF GENETIC RESOURCES".

12. REPORT

At the end of the mission the consultant will have to submit an interim report to be validated during a validation workshop and a final report which will take into account all the observations made during the national validation workshop.

The final version of the products of the study will be delivered in five (05) hard copies and an electronic file on DVD / CDROM or USB flash. All data acquired remains the property of the sponsor and must be submitted at the end of the study in electronic format (.docx, .xlsx, .pptx, .pdf, .shp). However, the map data must be provided in shapefile (.shp) format.

2019

Dr. Dingom Aurélie Eaylor Patience