# PREPARATORY CHECKLIST: PROVINCIAL SITE ASSESSMENTS (DRAFT)

(for inclusion in RFP Scope of Services for each site)

#### Prepared by: PMU Palu Date: 26-July 2019.

PROVINCE Centr	PROVINCE Central Sulawesi		KABUPATEN Donggala		gala	KECAMATAN Balaesang Tanjun		
SCHOOL FACILITY?			Gol Facility name				•	
HEALTH FACILITY Yes G		Gol Facility name Puskesmas Malei						
Street Address Desa Malei, Balaesang Tanjung				Postco	de			

## SITE DATA ASSESSMENT

ITEM#	ATTRIBUTE	VERIFIABLE EVIDENCE	YES	NO
1	Geographical positioning	GPS Coordinates	Yes	
		Cadastral Map <sup>1</sup>	Yes	
		Technical description: boundary alignments; adjoining lots.	Yes	
		Survey boundary monuments located & clear of debris/		No
		vegetation.		
2	Ownership	Certificate of Title copy attached		No
		Clear and Clean title guaranteed by Provincial Government (#5)	Yes	
		Acquisition of new site if high risk is apparent on existing site?		No
		Enlargement or realignment of site boundaries required?		No
3	Agency Commitment	Proposed works are Included in RENAKSI? (#1)	Yes	
		Buildings certified as government owned with major damage (#2)		
		Funds are not duplicating other budget sources (#3)	Yes	
		There is a safe & secure location (#4) Subject to Geological Survey	•	
		Willingness for removal of asset to be reconstructed (#6)	Yes	
		Local authority willing to receive & maintain rebuilt buildings (#7)	Yes	
		Development approval and permit costs by local authority (#8)	Yes	
4	Site Assessment			
	DPU Assets Inspection	Serviceability & forensic assessment certification?	Yes	
	Geophysical Assessment	Report on fissures, faults/ fault lines, landslip, liquefaction risks?		
5	Site/ structures plans	Original as built site layout plan available in digital form?		No
		Current as built site layout plan available in digital form?		No
		Original as built structures plans available in digital form?		No
		Updated as built structures plans available in digital form?		No
		Each structure has digital general arrangement & detail drawings?		No
		Each structure type can be identified by drawing no. and/ or code?		No
6	Electrical Mains	Inspected and rendered functional & safe by power authority?	Yes	
7	Potable water	Reticulated water with ample flow & operational service points?		No
		Rainwater storage collection and storage?		No
8	Sewerage & Septic Tanks	Adequately functional with no health hazard?		No
	Telephone land lines	Connections are in working order and cables well secured?	Yes	
9	Road access	External access road is trafficable and in fair to good condition?	Yes	
10	Demolition & Clean up	Most site areas have not been cleaned up/ are not trafficable		No
11	ESM Framework	Draft document circulated to Pemda /local agencies for comment?		No
12	Disabled Users	Building users have cited special needs for handicapped persons?		No
13	Cultural Identifiers	Stakeholders request cultural character in building design?	•	No
14	Site Greening	Stakeholders request guidance on shade trees &food gardens?		No
15	Alternative Energy	Stakeholders OK with solar panels & battery storage?	Yes	1

### GUIDANCE

 The above checklist and the attached graphics has the purpose of ensuring that (a) we in PETRA have been thorough and diligent in producing a "360° assessment of each site (a) National, Provincial and community stakeholders have provided initial consensus input to the design process, which will be augmented by the DCS consultants' working engagement, and (c) consultant responses to the RFP shall be well informed

2. We need to have site plans in a common format, with a common numbering convention and reference to parent agency drawing numbers or type designation. Numbering shall go anticlockwise from the bottom LH corner

- 3. All site plans shall be presented in the same format as PUSKESMAS MALEI
- 4. Please delete this "GUIDANCE" to add brief clarifying remarks on the above responses, particularly any "NO" responses which are not self-evident. Please also where appropriate state what further actions will be taken by you.

### RENAKSI DATA \_ from Intervention Matrix UNDP

GPS COORDINATE	0° 7′ 26.03″ S 119° 4′ 14.92″ E						
Damage Severity	HEAVY X MODERATE LIGHT						
BUDGET IN RENAKSI (IDR)	3,000,000,000 (for all buildings. This package only for inpatient building)						
Summarized description – main repair tasks : MUST ACCURATELY REFLECT TASK BREAKDOWN IN BILL OF QUANTITIES							
Design of the inpatient building at Puskesmas Malei should be in accordance with Minister of Health's Regulation No. 75 of 2014 concerning the Puskesmas construction.							

SITE PLAN – Please make sure every site for both Education, Health & Environment contexts are uniformly presented

# Please see Annex 4.3

## PHOTOGRAPHS OF DAMAGE TO BE ADDRESSED THROUGH RFP DCS CONSULTANTS & LATER ITB WORKS



# PREPARATORY CHECKLIST: PROVINCIAL SITE ASSESSMENTS (DRAFT)

(for inclusion in RFP Scope of Services for each site)

#### Prepared by: PMU Palu Date: 26-July 2019.

PROVINCE Cent	al Sulawe	lawesi KABUPATEN Sigi			KECAMATAN	Kulawi
SCHOOL FACILITY	SCHOOL FACILITY? Gol Facility name					
HEALTH FACILITY	ACILITY Yes Gol Facility name		Puskesmas Kulawi			
Street Address Desa Bolapapu, Kulawi					Postco	de

## SITE DATA ASSESSMENT

ITEM#	ATTRIBUTE	VERIFIABLE EVIDENCE	YES	NO
1	Geographical positioning	GPS Coordinates		
		Cadastral Map <sup>2</sup>	Yes	
		Technical description: boundary alignments; adjoining lots.	Yes	
		Survey boundary monuments located & clear of debris/		No
		vegetation.		
2	Ownership	Certificate of Title copy attached		No
		Clear and Clean title guaranteed by Provincial Government (#5)	Yes	
		Acquisition of new site if high risk is apparent on existing site?		No
		Enlargement or realignment of site boundaries required?		No
3	Agency Commitment	Proposed works are Included in RENAKSI? (#1)	Yes	
		Buildings certified as government owned with major damage (#2)	Yes	
		Funds are not duplicating other budget sources (#3)	Yes	
		There is a safe & secure location (#4) Subject to Geological Survey		
		Willingness for removal of asset to be reconstructed (#6)	Yes	
		Local authority willing to receive & maintain rebuilt buildings (#7)	Yes	
		Development approval and permit costs by local authority (#8)	Yes	
4	Site Assessment			
	DPU Assets Inspection	Serviceability & forensic assessment certification?	Yes	
	Geophysical Assessment	Report on fissures, faults/ fault lines, landslip, liquefaction risks?		
5	Site/ structures plans	Original as built site layout plan available in digital form?		No
		Current as built site layout plan available in digital form?		No
		Original as built structures plans available in digital form?		No
		Updated as built structures plans available in digital form?		No
		Each structure has digital general arrangement & detail drawings?		No
		Each structure type can be identified by drawing no. and/ or code?		No
6	Electrical Mains	Inspected and rendered functional & safe by power authority?	Yes	
7	Potable water	Reticulated water with ample flow & operational service points?		No
		Rainwater storage collection and storage?		No
8	Sewerage & Septic Tanks	Adequately functional with no health hazard?		No
	Telephone land lines	Connections are in working order and cables well secured?	Yes	
9	Road access	External access road is trafficable and in fair to good condition?	Yes	
10	Demolition & Clean up	Most site areas have not been cleaned up/ are not trafficable		No
11	ESM Framework	Draft document circulated to Pemda /local agencies for comment?		No
12	Disabled Users	Building users have cited special needs for handicapped persons?		No
13	Cultural Identifiers	Stakeholders request cultural character in building design?		No
14	Site Greening	Stakeholders request guidance on shade trees &food gardens?		No
15	Alternative Energy	Stakeholders OK with solar panels & battery storage?	Yes	1

### GUIDANCE

 The above checklist and the attached graphics has the purpose of ensuring that (a) we in PETRA have been thorough and diligent in producing a "360° assessment of each site (a) National, Provincial and community stakeholders have provided initial consensus input to the design process, which will be augmented by the DCS consultants' working engagement, and (c) consultant responses to the RFP shall be well informed

2. We need to have site plans in a common format, with a common numbering convention and reference to parent agency drawing numbers or type designation. Numbering shall go anticlockwise from the bottom LH corner

- 3. All site plans shall be presented in the same format as PUSKESMAS KULAWI
- 4. Please delete this "GUIDANCE" to add brief clarifying remarks on the above responses, particularly any "NO" responses which are not self-evident. Please also where appropriate state what further actions will be taken by you.

### RENAKSI DATA \_ from Intervention Matrix UNDP

GPS COORDINATE	1° 26′ 35.705″ S 119° 59′ 7.156″							
Damage Severity	HEAVY X MODERATE LIGHT							
BUDGET IN RENAKSI (IDR)	1,640,000,000							
Summarized description – main repair tasks : MUST ACCURATELY REFLECT TASK BREAKDOWN IN BILL OF QUANTITIES								
Design of the inpatient building at Puskesmas Kulawi should be in accordance with Minister of Health's Regulation No. 75 of 2014 concerning the Puskesmas construction.								

SITE PLAN – Please make sure every site for both Education, Health & Environment contexts are uniformly presented

## Please see Annex 4.3

### PHOTOGRAPHS OF DAMAGE TO BE ADDRESSED THROUGH RFP DCS CONSULTANTS & LATER ITB WORKS

