

RFQ 117/2019 - Conservation Designs for the Church of Agios Antonios

Clarifications no:1 dated 12 November 2019

no	Questions	Answers
1	<p>Annex 1, pg 4, Lines 1-6:</p> <p>Please clarify how the “cost reimbursable method” will be applied in the case of accessing dangerous areas will be needed.</p>	<p>The winning design team should inform UNDP in the case that site cleaning is required and acquire approval by UNDP for proceeding with the cleaning. The team will be reimbursed for the cost of cleaning the site by UNDP upon submission of invoices payed for the approved services.</p>
2	<p>Annex 1, pg 5, Last paragraph:</p> <p>Please clarify if the geophysical investigation of the plot is a mandatory aspect of the study conducted, and if all additional investigations that the team thinks that are needed will need to be announced, decided and included at the quote submitted from start.</p> <p>If during the site survey and condition assessment of the project, an investigation (ie. material testing, group graveyards are thought to be located on site-archaeological investigate) is thought to be needed, would that be additional payment to the quote provided after UNDP approves the investigation and its reasons to be conducted? Or is those investigations cost should be part of the already submitted quote?</p> <p>We are asking to see, whether an initial visit and methodology will need to be conducted by the team as this will give a different submitted quote at start.</p>	<p>The team will be expected to propose all tests/ investigations it considers necessary for achieving the objectives of this assignment in line with the described conservation philosophy at the stage of Output 2 and considering all related risks and threats (a geophysical investigation might be included by the design team within this framework). The team will have to include in Output 2 a written support for its proposals for each test/investigation with a rationale for their necessity. The team will also have to define the Technical Specifications which will be required for each test/investigation and to clarify if it is available in the local market. <u>Upon approval of the proposal for additional investigations/testing by UNDP the implementation of the tests will be assigned based on a competitive process run by UNDP. Thus, the design team does not need to include their cost in its quotation.</u></p>
3	<p>We are used on having in all of your announced procurements, Material Testing as a mandatory aspect in the study. Has this been changed now? As we haven’t seen Material Testing within the Outputs Requirements, and we would like to know.</p>	<p>As mentioned above, in the framework of this RFQ, the design team is responsible for proposing all necessary tests/investigations at the stage of Output 2.</p>
4	<p>Annex 1, pg 10, Site Visits Section:</p> <p>We would like to know if the site visits period will be quantified from start (specific duration of project), and if that for any reason changes beyond the architects responsibilities, will the architect be</p>	<p>As it is mentioned in Annex 1, p.g 11 “It is expected that up to 6 days per month for 6 calendar months of advices service will be required. A day is taken as 8 hours of time allocated to the works and time spent specifically for the works”. This constitutes a cumulative amount for the time required by all the</p>

	<p>allowed to charge extra (per day/week), or if the penalty will be applied to the architects as well.</p>	<p>design team members for the duration of the works. The site meetings will not be attended by all design team members but only by the members needed at each stage of the works. As mentioned in the same page "Name/s of the designer's team attending to the meetings must be communicated and approved by UNDP Engineer 48 hours before the said visit". If needs arise for additional time from the designers for supervision purposes, further than the estimated amount as mentioned above, these will be paid extra based on the daily fee of the design team members provided.</p>
5	<p>Annex 1, pg 11 Who would be responsible for the certificate of substantial completion of the project?</p>	<p>The designer will be responsible to attend all site meetings related to the substantial completion and for the preparation of the snagging list. UNDP is responsible for the final approval for the substantial completion.</p>
6	<p>Annex 1, pg 12, Designers Team: By the way, the paragraph is conducted, we understand the following: The core team must be, a G/C Architect, a T/C Architect, a G/C Civil Engineer, a T/C civil Engineer and 1 conservator. (+additional team members that we might think are necessary) Please confirm if that is correct.</p>	<p><u>As mentioned in Annex 1, pg. 12 the core team should be composed of 1 architect, 1 civil/structural engineer and 1 conservator, all meeting the minimum technical requirements of the core team members specified in the Solicitation Document, pg. 3-4 as mentioned below:</u></p> <p><u>Architect</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>University degree in Architecture</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>5 years post education and 3 years of designs experience</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>Experience in 2 designs of similar sized listed buildings</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>Fluency in English</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>Valid registration to the applicable chamber Core Team:</u></p> <p><u>Civil/Structural Engineer</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>University degree in Civil Engineering</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>5 years post education and 3 years of designs experience</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>Experience in 2 designs of similar sized listed buildings</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>Fluency in English</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>Valid registration to the applicable chamber</u></p> <p><u>Conservator</u></p>

	<p><u>☒ University degree in Conservation</u></p> <p><u>☒ 5 years post education and 3 years of practical experience in historic plasters and frescoes</u></p> <p><u>Furthermore, the overall design team should include more technical staff and/or additional disciplines in order to meet the needs of this RFQ including the following requirement: <i>Within the design team at least one member (architect) and one member (civil engineer) should have valid registration GCYP technical chamber and at least one member (architect) and one member (civil engineer) should have valid registration TCYP technical chamber.</i></u></p>
--	--