

INDIVIDUAL CONSULTANT PROCUREMENT NOTICE

2020/UNDP-MMR/PN/056

Date: 29 May 2020

Country:	Myanmar
Description of the assignment:	Team Leader for Mid Term Evaluation of
	Strengthening Accountability and Rule of Law (SARL)
Type of Contract:	Individual Contract (International)
Duty Station:	Home based, Yangon and Project field sites if there is a
	possibility to travel to Myanmar
Period of assignment/services:	30 June 2020 to 30 September 2020 (40 working days)

Proposal should be submitted to (either **bids.mm@undp.org** or UNDP Jobs site <u>http://jobs.undp.org</u> no later than **16 June 2020, 24:00 hr (COB, YANGON)**. Email submission should state procurement notice number (**2020/UNDP-MMR/PN/056)** in the subject line.

Any request for clarification must be sent in writing, or by standard electronic communication to the address or e-mail to <u>mmr.procurement@undp.org</u>. Procurement Unit will respond in writing or by standard electronic mail and will send written copies of the response, including an explanation of the query without identifying the source of inquiry, to all consultants.

BACKGROUND

The UNDP Country Programme (CPD 2018-2022) support the implementation of the 2030 Agenda and Sustainable Development Goals within the framework of addressing the challenges of multiple transitions in Myanmar. The current Country Programme is built on the achievements of the previous programme but represents a shift towards more integrated programming at the national and sub-national levels and support to United Nations-wide initiatives to better address the interlinkages between peacebuilding and social cohesion, governance, environment and natural resources management, resilience, urbanization and balanced and inclusive growth. This integrated approach is designed to break silos and strengthen horizontal linkages across state and non-state actors as well as vertical linkages across administrations at district, township, state and union level through area based programmes.

The UNDP Country Programme is firmly aligned with the Myanmar Sustainable Development Plan (MSDP) and it focuses on delivery of the following two outcomes:

(i) Peace and Governance: People in Myanmar live in a more peaceful and inclusive society, governed by



more democratic and accountable institutions, and benefit from strengthened human rights and rule of law protection; and

(ii) **Planet and Prosperity:** Myanmar becomes more resilient to climate and disaster risk with efficient environmental governance and sustainable use of natural resources.

Under outcome Peace and Governance, the Strengthening Accountability and Rule of Law (SARL) overall goal is to strengthen accountability and the rule of law for increased trust in state institutions at a critical time in Myanmar's transition. Building on the clear initiative of all three branches of the state to promote transparency and accountability, while recognizing the challenges involved in countering corruption, strengthening parliamentary oversight, and promoting adherence to principles of administrative justice and rule of law, the project helps to strengthen institutional frameworks and capacities for good governance. The project also empowers rights holders and engages them in accountability mechanisms.

For Myanmar to continue making progress in democratic governance based on the rule of law, all three branches of government will need to be strengthened, as well as the systems that enable the three branches to work together and to act as checks and balances on each other. It also requires the justice sector institutions – most notably the Union Attorney General's Office, the police and the Judiciary – to increase their ability to coordinate better and properly redress injustices. For Myanmar's progress towards democratic governance to be inclusive and sustainable, people's experiences must also improve when seeking out essential government services, whether they are administrative in nature or involve the prosecution of criminal offences. The key challenges that need addressing are discussed below for the Executive and Legislative branches of government, and the justice sector more broadly.

The project is organized into three intervention areas: (1) Anti-Corruption, (2) Parliamentary oversight and Member of Parliament representation, (3) Rule of law and human rights. The project therefore has the following three mutually reinforcing outputs:

- Output 1: Access to public services becomes more fair, transparent and accountable through enhanced administrative systems and anti-corruption measures
- Output 2: Parliaments are better able to engage with and represent the rights and interests of the public
- **O**utput 3: Justice sector strengthened to administer justice according to rule of law and human rights

Output 1 focuses on anti-corruption and integrity. SARL supports the Anti-Corruption Commission to lead a national effort to tackle corruption and to promote transparency and accountability. It also works with line ministries and other institutions to strengthen frameworks for improved ethics and integrity across all levels of public service and help ensure that administrative services are delivered in a fair, unbiased and non-discriminatory manner.

Output 2 focuses on parliamentary support, on which it coordinates with UNDP's Support to Effective & Responsive Institutions Project (SERIP). While SERIP concentrates on strengthening the law-making process in Union and Region & State parliaments, SARL strengthens oversight mechanisms through committee processes, and improves MPs' capacity to fully represent the interests of their constituents, especially when grievances



from the constituency level are raised.

Output 3 relates to work with the UAGO, the OSCU and the MNHRC to strengthen the application of rule of law and administrative justice principles, and to promote awareness and protection of human rights. Across these areas of intervention, people will be engaged to increase their role in accountability mechanisms, administrative review and oversight processes.

Overall, SARL adopts a multi-level approach, from Union and State/Region level to community level. At the Union and State/ Region level, the project seeks to strengthen their capacity and internal accountability mechanisms so that they serve as a check and balance on each other, as proscribed in the 2008 Constitution. At community level, the project creates awareness and promotes the protection of citizen's rights, among others through civil society partnerships.

	Project Identification	
Project Title:	Strengthening Accountability and Rule of Law (SARL)	
Project ID:	00107427	
Output IDs:	Output Name	Output Number
	Output 1: Anti-corruption	00107734
	Output 2: Parliamentary Oversight	00109039
	Output 3: Rule of Law and Human Rights	00109305
Linkages to My	anmar Sustainable Development Plan (MSDP), UNDP Country (CPD) and Strategic Plan (SP)	Programme Document
MSDP Goal and	MSDP Goal 1: Peace, National Reconciliation, Security and Gc	od Governance
Strategy:	MSDP Strategy 1.3: Promote greater access to justice, individ to the rule of law	ual rights and adherence
	 MSDP Strategic Outcome: Legal rights of individuals and t protected 	he national interest
	 MSDP Strategic Outcome: Improved public trust and conf system 	idence in the justice
CPD Outcome/ Outputs:	CPD Outcome 1: People in Myanmar live in a more peaceful a governed by more democratic and accountable institutions, a strengthened human rights and rule of law protection	•
	CPD Output 1.1: Effective public institutions enabled to devel evidence- based policies and systems that respond to the nee	• •
	CPD Output 1.2: Institutions at union and subnational levels e effective systems and procedures for performing their repres	•

Basic project information can also be included in table format as follows:



		Resilient nution		
justice services an		o responsive inclusive and accountable ction mechanisms in compliance with		
	-	me more fair, transparent and ystems and anti-corruption measures		
Project Output 2: and interests of the		engage with and represent the rights		
Project Output 3: law and human rig	_	administer justice according to rule of		
UNDP SP SP Outcome 1: Ad	vance poverty eradication in a	II its form & dimensions		
Outcome: SP Outcome 2: Ac	SP Outcome 2: Accelerate Structural Transformations for Sustainable Development			
Output enforcement of an	SP 1.2.3 Institutions and systems enabled to address awareness, prevention and enforcement of anti-corruption measures to maximize availability of resources for poverty eradication			
strengthened to p SP 2.2.3 Capacities institutions and sy	romote inclusion, transparence	le of law and national human rights d access to justice and combat		
	Project Information			
Project Duration:	Start Date: 01.06.2018	End Date: 31.12.2022		
Implementing Partner:	UNDP			
Responsible Parties:	Legal Clinic Myanmar (LCM) Foundation (ILF)	, Thazin, International Legal		
Grantees:	Humanity Institute, Shingnin	o, Spectrum, Ethnic Equality Initiative,		
	Ahlin Bamaw, Loi Yang Bum			
Key Stakeholders:	Ahlin Bamaw, Loi Yang Bum The Myanmar Anti-Corrupti and targeted Region and S General's Office, the Office			
Key Stakeholders: National Coverage:	Ahlin Bamaw, Loi Yang Bum The Myanmar Anti-Corrupti and targeted Region and S General's Office, the Office Union Civil Service Board,	, Kachin Baptist Convention on Commission, the Union Parliament tate parliaments, the Union Attorney of the Supreme Court of the Union, the		
	Ahlin Bamaw, Loi Yang Bum The Myanmar Anti-Corrupti and targeted Region and S General's Office, the Office Union Civil Service Board, Rights Commission.	, Kachin Baptist Convention on Commission, the Union Parliament state parliaments, the Union Attorney of the Supreme Court of the Union, the and the Myanmar National Human		



	Resident Hado
Budget for Project Cycle:	US\$ 27,037,305
UNDP Contribution:	US\$ 2,670,449
Unfunded:	US\$ 17,557,629
Donor Contributions:	US\$ 6,809,227
	Donor Contributions
United Kingdom (DFID)	US\$ 1,708,211
UN Peacebuilding Fund	US\$ 1,139,807
Government of Japan	US\$ 717,048
Australia (DFAT)	US\$ 656,994
Norwegian Ministry of Foreign Affairs	US\$ 641,000
Government of Canada	US\$ 611,436
United Kingdom (FCO)	US\$ 568,700
Kingdom of the Netherlands	US\$ 507,457
Government of Australia (ACPIS)	US\$ 100,000
UNHCR	US\$ 88,574
UNDP Seoul Policy Centre	US\$ 70,000
	Focal Point for the Project
Project Manager:	Thomas Crick
Project Focal Points:	Wouter Thiebou, Kaspar Burger, Elzar Elimanov
Chief Technical Advisors:	Scott Ciment (Rule of Law)

Current Context

As COVID-19 spreads globally, it is a massive health, humanitarian, and development crisis. Due to the pandemic, Myanmar, especially the border regions: Kachin State, Shan State and Kayin State have terrible negative impact. Due to porous border, Myanmar received the immediate return of large influx of migrant workers from China and Thailand where the largest hotspots of outbreaks exist. E.g. according to MOHS data, more than 23,000 people returned to Myanmar from Thailand via Myawaddy from March 19 to 28.

While concerns have been raised about Myanmar's capacity to manage the coronavirus given its poor healthcare infrastructure, the country's displaced populations face even greater risks. Most are trapped in dangerously overcrowded camps with severely substandard health care and inadequate access to clean water, sanitation, and other essential services. Many displaced people have underlying medical conditions and chronic diseases, putting them at high risk of suffering serious effects from the virus.



The impact of economic fluctuations related to the COVID-19 pandemic is likely to disproportionately harm poor and vulnerable households. With travel and border trade restrictions in place, the impact is in Myanmar's tourism-related services, agricultural exports to China, and in supply-chain disruptions to the manufacturing sector. Every day, people are losing jobs and income, with no way of knowing when normality will return. Myanmar's GDP growth is projected to slow to between 2 and 3 percent in the current fiscal year due to the COVID-19 pandemic, with the brunt of the outbreak's economic impact likely to be borne by poor and vulnerable households across the country according to recent world bank report.

UNDP SARL works with The Myanmar Anti-Corruption Commission, the Union Parliament and targeted Region and State parliaments, the Union Attorney General's Office, the Office of the Supreme Court of the Union, the Union Civil Service Board, and the Myanmar National Human Rights Commission etc. Because of the Covid-19 crisis, there is wide shift of their focus and priorities of these counterparts to the crisis response.

Given the current Covid-19 pandemic there is also an expectation that this will also impact and delays in UNDP programme and project implementation. However, UNDP Myanmar remains fully operational and is adapting the way it works and focused on COVID-19 response. UNDP is mobilizing all assets to respond to this unprecedented challenge. UNDP Myanmar have transitioned all critical operations to digital and virtual platforms, enabling teams to continue delivering effectively despite restrictions on movement and physical interaction. With the changing context, emerging needs and priorities UNDP Myanmar is also revisiting the Programme strategy and business processes to be more relevant to this crisis. UNDP Myanmar had conducted Programme and operational criticality exercise to review and identification of critical programme areas and activities that will continue and activities that will be postponed or canceled. Some activities are paused or downscaled and looking for opportunities to be redirected to new priorities.

UNDP globally has developed a COVID-19 response focused on three immediate priorities including health systems support, inclusive and integrated crises management and response, and social and economic impact needs assessments and response. The Myanmar Country Office is preparing its response plan building on these three priority areas and in line with the current requests and priorities of the Government of Myanmar, current Programme areas and in response to broader UN Country Team collaboration across a range of development areas. Rapid response funds are new core funds being made available by UNDP headquarters to respond to this crisis, while flexibility have also been provided to the county offices to repurpose existing core funds towards this response, if necessary. In this context, UNDP have also been advised by cost-sharing donor partners that funds can also be repurposed towards COVID response if required.

UNDP intends to fully leverage its existing programme, staff and technical capacities and most importantly partnerships at the union, state and regional levels and with the communities to roll out the response in terms of community engagement and awareness raising, strengthening local government's capacity plan, coordinate, budget and deliver essential services including to migrants and IDPs, and bolstering public health systems. With many of our partners, particularly in the local government, capacities are being enhanced to be able to work and manage remotely through online systems. UNDP is working closely with local partners that allows local solutions to COVID-19 humanitarian and development needs, to be designed together with local partners, and in coordination with the host government.

Some activities that have been identified include community and anti-stigmatization awareness, expansion of use of digital technologies, private sector engagement and corporate social responsibility, volunteerism and



social cohesion, resilience and recovery, support to MSMEs as well as health systems support and socioeconomic impact assessments at the sub-national levels.

UNDP Myanmar is also streamlining policies and procedures for greater agility, increasing our flexibility to receive and deliver private sector and other financing, and taking steps to initiate innovative approaches like next generation network of innovation and digital solutions across the country — a crucial institutional asset in responding to this complex, fast-moving crisis. Accelerator Lab will be sensing on-the-ground changes and sourcing local solutions for this crisis response.

Midterm Evaluations is expected to assess UNDP project performance in areas that are critical to ensuring sustained contribution to development results and the context of emerging development issues and changing priorities at the national levels. To this end, this evaluation also needs to review project strategy, focus areas, partnerships, programmatic approaches, cooperation modalities, or business models considering current crisis scenario.

2. SCOPE OF WORK, RESPONSIBILITIES AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED ANALYTICAL WORK

For detailed information, please see Terms of Reference.

3. REQUIREMENTS FOR EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS

For detailed information, please see Terms of Reference.

4. DOCUMENTS TO BE INCLUDED WHEN SUBMITTING THE PROPOSALS.

The consultant should present an offer including the following documents.

- a) Duly accomplished Letter of Confirmation of Interest and Availability using the template provided by UNDP;
- b) Personal CV or P11, indicating all past experience from similar projects, as well as the contact details (email and telephone number) of the Candidate and at least three (3) professional references;
- c) **Presentation of technical proposal,** for purposes of generating proposals whose contents are uniformly presented and to facilitate their comparative review, a Service Provider advised to use a proposed Table of Contents.
- d) **Financial Proposal** that indicates the all-inclusive fixed total contract price, supported by a breakdown of costs, as per template provided. If an Offeror is employed by an organization/company/institution, and he/she expects his/her employer to charge a management fee in the process of releasing him/her to UNDP under Reimbursable Loan Agreement (RLA), the Offeror must indicate at this point, and ensure that all such costs are duly incorporated in the financial proposal submitted to UNDP.



5. FINANCIAL PROPOSAL

Fees

Financial Proposal that indicates the all-inclusive fixed total contract price, supported by a breakdown of costs, as per template provided. If an Offeror is employed by an organization/company/institution, and he/she expects his/her employer to charge a management fee in the process of releasing him/her to UNDP under Reimbursable Loan Agreement (RLA), the Offeror must indicate at this point, and ensure that all such costs are duly incorporated in the financial proposal submitted to UNDP.

Travels

All envisaged travel costs must be included in the financial proposal. This includes all travel to join duty station/repatriation travel. In general, UNDP will not accept travel costs exceeding those of an economy class ticket. In the case of unforeseeable travel, payment of travel costs including tickets, lodging and terminal expenses will be agreed upon, between the respective business unit and Individual Consultant, prior to travel and will be reimbursed. (Please see Template attached at Annex- 4)

6. EVALUATION

Upon the advertisement of the Procurement Notice, qualified Consultancy Firm/consultant is expected to submit both the Technical and Financial Proposals. Accordingly, the firm/consultant will be evaluated based on Cumulative Analysis as per the following conditions:

- Responsive/compliant/acceptable as per the Instruction to Bidders (ITB) of the Standard Bid Document (SBD), and
- Having received the highest score out of a pre-determined set of weighted technical and financial criteria specific to the solicitation. In this regard, the respective weight of the proposals are:
 - a. Technical Criteria weight is 70%
 - b. Financial Criteria weight is 30%

Technical Proposal Evaluation: Proposed methodology, approach and implementatio	n plan
To what degree does the Proposer understand the task?	4
Have the important aspects of the task been addressed in sufficient detail?	4
Is the scope of task well defined and does it correspond to the TOR?	4
Is the methodology well explained and meets the ToR requirements?	4
Is the presentation clear?	4



Is the sequence of actions and the planning logical, realistic and promise efficient delivery of the task?	
Sub total	20
Technical Proposal Evaluation: Education and qualifications	
advanced degree (Master's or preferably Ph.D.) in Law, Justice, Human Rights, Legal reform, international relations and/or related fields;	10
a minimum of 10 years of demonstrated experience in leading Midterm reviews and/or evaluations of development projects and programs on democratic governance;	15
at least 7 years of experience in the area of Rule of Law, Access to Justice and human rights;	10
proven experience in data analysis as well as report writing	
excellent command of English in speaking and writings.	5
Sub total	50
Total	70