

## Annex V – Detailed Evaluation Criteria

Call for Proposals - Tackling the Threat of Violent Extremism and Its Impact on Human Securities in East Java (GUYUB) – Strengthening the social compact within school, its surrounding communities, and local authorities, in order to increase school and community’s resilience towards violent extremism.

Proposals will be evaluated based on the following criteria:

Summary of Technical Proposal Evaluation Form		Score Weight	Points Obtainable
1.	<b>NGO Eligibility and Qualifications:</b> 1.1 Experience in the area of prevention of violent extremism (PVE), especially on building community resilience, at least in the past three years; (65 points) 1.2 Experience in developing modules in the area of P/CVE or peacebuilding, especially for community leaders, security actors, parents, and education actors in East Java Province; (55 points) 1.3 Experience in conducting workshops, training sessions, seminars at community level in East Java Province; (85 points) 1.4 Having based in East Java Province and proven experience in engaging and coordinating with government officials and local authorities related to P/CVE, from the lowest level to the provincial level in East Java Province through constructive manner; (85 points) 1.5 Excellent project governance and financial management, previous experience in working with UN Agencies or UN-funded projects is an advantage. (65 points)	35%	350
2.	<b>Proposed Methodology, Approach and Implementation Plan:</b> 2.1 Description of the approach and methodology for meeting or exceeding the requirements of the Terms of Reference. (80 points) 2.2 Sound technical proposal that includes actionable, innovative, and replicable inclusion mechanisms with multiplier effect, to maximize the value of the proposal to the beneficiaries. (80 points) 2.3 Assessment of the implementation plan proposed including whether the activities are properly sequenced and if these are logical and realistic. (70 points) 2.4 Quality assurance or monitoring and evaluation mechanism are in place, and the extent to which it is participatory enough to contribute to building a sense of ownership among the beneficiaries to promote the sustainability of the interventions. (70 points)	30%	300
3.	<b>Management Structure and Key Personnel:</b>	35%	350

	<p>3.1 Composition and structure of the team proposed. Are the proposed roles of the management and the team of key personnel suitable for the provision of the necessary services? (140 points)</p> <p>3.2 Do the key personnel of this project possess adequate qualifications or more to fulfill each of their roles and responsibilities in the project? (140 points)</p> <p>3.3 Does the composition of key personnel that will provide service to this project reflect balance of gender? (70 points)</p>		
	Total		1,000