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**GOAL, OUTCOME & OUTPUTS**

**Goal:** To sustain the resilience of human and economic development in Bangladesh through inclusive, gender responsive disaster management and risk informed development.

**Outcome**
Substantial increase in resilience to disaster and reduction in disaster risk, loss of lives, livelihoods and health of men, women, girls and boys; and protection of the health of persons, businesses and communities in Bangladesh.

**Output 1**  
Improved capacities for risk informed and gender responsive development planning.

**Output 2**  
Strengthened, disability inclusive, gender-responsive national capacities to address recurrent and mega disasters.

**Output 3**  
Improved capacity of selected public institutions to achieve resilience outcomes through designing and constructing risk-informed and gender-responsive infrastructure.

**Output 4**  
Enhanced women’s leadership capacities for gender-responsive disaster management decisions, investments and policies at national and local levels.

**Output 5**  
Strengthened disability inclusive, gender responsive community preparedness, response and recovery capacities for recurrent and mega disasters.
Evaluation Purpose, Objectives and Scope

Overall purpose of the Programme Evaluation: The purpose of this evaluation is to assess the results of the National Resilience Programme as guided by the Theory of Change (ToC) and based on strategic actions throughout implementation. The programme evaluation will assess the results achieved in terms of policy support and institutionalization through interventions over the years.

Specific Objectives: The specific objectives of the evaluation are as follows:

• To assess the effectiveness and efficiency of NRP in influencing and shaping the government policy and programmes in disaster risk management
• To review to what extent disaster risk is being incorporated into LGED planning and implementation and how this be sustained beyond the end of this projects
• To review what progress has been achieved in promoting a whole of Government approach to early recovery and the necessary agreement and policy to put this into action
• To assess the achievements and lessons in supporting the private sector integrate disaster risk management into their planning and operations.
• To assess the scope of the local government’s role in gender responsive preparedness for earthquake risk reduction.
• To assess to what extent the NRP has contributed to addressing the needs and problems identified during programme design;
• To assess the changes/impacts the NRP has made in resilience to disaster risk, loss of lives, livelihoods and health of men, women, girls, and boys;
• To examine to what extent sustainability measures have been taken to help resilient nation development after the phase out of the NRP;
• To assess what process, models, and mechanisms have been followed to strengthen skills and capacities on DRM and resilience of the communities;
• To capture the lessons learned throughout the programme interventions and provide recommendations for future programming;
• To identify scopes for new interventions, innovative approaches, potential partners and resource mobilization in the future as well as ongoing initiatives that need to be continued for the next phase

Scope: The evaluation will cover the period from 01 May 2017 that is beginning of the project to June/July 2021 at the time of evaluation.
Scope of Work

The scope of the work for this assignment is outlined below:

i. **Detailed methodologies note of the evaluation:** Based on the proposal and discussions with the UNDP team and stakeholders, the firm will further detail methodologies including 1) overall evaluation study strategies, 2) detailed work plan, 3) sampling strategies, 4) evaluation matrix, 5) data collection methodologies & protocols, 6) data quality control methods, 7) data analysis, and 8) gender assessment plan. Evaluation matrix also needs to be developed. Methodologies will be finalized in consultation with UNDP, UN Women and UNOPS.

ii. **Detailed sampling frame of evaluation:**
   - **Households Survey:** Sample size (1,082 households) and geographical areas (districts, Upazilas, UPs/Wards) have been identified by baseline study (see Table 01 in Page 9).
   - **Focus Group Discussions:** The firm is also expected to conduct enough FGDs in local and national level stakeholders.
   - **Key Informant Interview (KII):** The firm will interview stakeholders who have project responsibilities including but not limited to, Ministry of Disaster Management and Relief, Programming Division of Ministry of Planning, Ministry of Women and Children Affairs, and Local Government Division of Ministry of Local Government Rural Development and Cooperatives; implementing government’s departments and division, UN officials, Development partners, partners of NRP, experts, academia, local government and Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), etc.

iii. **Development of data collection tools:** The firm needs to design a set of tools to collect data from different stakeholders and households. This should include 1) household survey questionnaire, 2) Key Informant Interview (KII) checklist, 3) Focus Group Discussion (FGD) and KII checklist, 4) case study guideline as well as 5) survey protocols and 6) data quality assurance mechanism.

iv. **Field test of data collection tools and questionnaire:** To avoid non-sampling error, the firm will conduct a field test of data collection tools and methodologies and will adjust them based on learning/feedback of field testing.

v. **Field data collection:** The firm will hire 12 local surveyors/data entry personnel with sufficient experience in data collection.

vi. **Data Entry/Data Quality Control/Data Management:** The firm will design and implement a system for data entry and data management.

vii. **Data Analysis:** The firm will analyze and interpret data through relevant statistical software and triangulate both qualitative and quantitative data. Gender analysis on the data collected will also be conducted.

viii. **Report:** The firm will provide a draft report and share its findings with UNDP and other relevant stakeholders through the presentation. The evaluation report shall follow the structure outlined in Annex 3/ Evaluation Report Template and Quality Standards (Page 49-53) of Section 4/ Evaluation Implementation of UNDP Evaluation Guideline. All evaluation reports will be quality assessed by the UNDP Independent Evaluation Office (IEO). Details of the IEO’s quality assessment of decentralized evaluations can be found in Section 6 (Page 5-11) of the UNDP Evaluation Guidelines.
Our Expectation

Based on the scope of the work outlined above, the following are the deliverables from the firm/ agency:

I. **Inception Report**: An inception report on the assigned tasks will include scope, methods of Programme Evaluation, list of literature to be reviewed, the content of evaluation report, list of interviewees and meetings, field mission plan, and an action plan with a timeline to complete data collection and analysis. A special focus is put on the detailed methodology note. Data collection tools and protocols, including household survey questionnaires and FGD/KII checklists also need to be submitted as part of the deliverable.

II. **Draft Programme Evaluation Report (in English) and 4-6-page synopsis/summary report of the evaluation (in English and Bangla)**: Synthesize findings and draft report of programme evaluation that will cover all the objectives of programme evaluation. Prepare and share a 4-6-page synopsis of the evaluation report in English and Bangla.

III. **Presentation/Debriefing**: A meeting will be organized with key stakeholders including evaluation steering committee, UNDP and NRP to present findings, conclusions, and recommendations. All the presentations will be shared among the sub-projects and stakeholders.

IV. **Final programme evaluation Report /Data Collection Tools/Audit Trail**: The final report will incorporate comments and feedbacks from the stakeholders including the feedback provided during the Presentation/Debriefing meeting. All comments and an evaluator’s response to each comment need to be recorded in Audit Trail. Synopsis or summary of the evaluation report will be finalized accordingly. Other relevant documents/data files (i.e. data collection tools, checklists questionnaires, datasets) need to be submitted as part of the deliverable.

The consultancy firm will provide soft copies (Microsoft Word and Microsoft PowerPoint) of all deliverables and at least hard copies of final programme evaluation report (100 copies) & synopsis/summary report (English -100 copies & Bangla -100 copies).
Evaluation Team Composition

- Lead Consultant (International)
  - DRR & Resilience Expert (National)
  - Infrastructure Expert (National)
  - Policy & Institutional Expert (National)
  - Gender Expert (National)
## Evaluation criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary of Technical Proposal Evaluation Forms</th>
<th>Points Obtainable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Bidder’s qualifications, capacity and experience</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Proposed Method, Working Approach and Implementation Plan</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Management Structure and Key Personnel</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Financial proposal</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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