

Appendix II. Terms of Reference for Micro Assessment Performed by Third Party Service Provider

This TOR has been developed to guide United Nations agencies, third party service providers and IPs through the objectives, scope, timing and deliverables of performing micro assessments.

Objective and scope of the micro assessment

The micro assessment is performed by a third party service provider and includes a site visit to the IP. The assessment primarily consists of interviews with IP personnel and a review of relevant documentation sufficient to complete the micro assessment questionnaire (Annex 2). The questionnaire provides an overall risk rating based on responses provided:

- **Low risk** – Indicates a well-developed financial management system and functioning control framework with a low likelihood of negative impact on the IP’s ability to execute the programme in accordance with the work plan.
- **Medium Risk** – Indicates a developed financial management system and control framework with moderate likelihood of potential negative impact on the IP’s ability to execute the programme in accordance with the work plan.
- **Significant Risk** – Indicates an underdeveloped financial management system or control framework with a significant likelihood of potential negative impact on the IP’s ability to execute the programme in accordance with the work plan.
- **High Risk** – Indicates an underdeveloped financial management system and control framework with a significant likelihood of potential negative impact on the IP’s ability to execute the programme in accordance with the work plan.

The micro assessment assesses the IP’s financial management capacity (i.e. accounting, procurement, reporting, internal controls, etc.) to determine the overall risk rating and assurance activities. The risk rating, along with other available information, is also taken into consideration when selecting the appropriate cash transfer modality for an IP, based on each agency’s business model (further detailed in section 8).

Timing

The assessment should be completed (including the site visit) within four weeks of engaging the service provider. The HACT focal point and/or inter-agency coordinator will introduce the service provider and the IP to aid coordination of the site visit.

Micro Assessment Procedures/Deliverables

The third party service provider receives general information regarding the IP and the programme from the HACT focal point and/or the inter-agency coordinator in preparation for the assessment (see Annex I for details). The service provider reviews this documentation in advance of performing a site visit to the IP. The service provider should also provide the IP with an advance request of the documents and interviews they would like to have while on site, to ensure efficient use of time while on-site.

The third party service provider also completes the micro assessment questionnaire (Annex II, with instructions) based on the procedures performed during the assessment period. The service provider discusses the results of the questionnaire with relevant agency personnel and the HACT focal point before finalizing it. Upon finalization, the service provider delivers an executive summary, detailing the overall risk rating and specific identified risks, and the completed questionnaire.

Qualifications of the Third Party Service Provider

The third party service provider should be experienced in performing assessments similar to a micro assessment and assessing risks related to organizational financial management capacity (i.e. accounting, reporting, procurement and internal controls). The service provider should also have knowledge of the United Nations system and the development sector.

CVs of all members of the assessment team should be provided and should include details on engagements carried out by relevant staff, including ongoing assignments indicating responsibilities assumed by them and their qualifications and experience in undertaking similar assessments.

Items to be Provided to the Third Party Service Provider before Fieldwork Begins

The agency provides the following documentation before starting fieldwork:

- Agency work plan, Common Country Assessment and CPAP, or equivalent;
- Macro assessments previously performed in the country; and
- Any other documentation that may help the service provider better understand the country context from a United Nations perspective.

The third party service provider should review the information received before performing the assessment.

Annex 1. Programme-specific Information

The following information should be completed at the start of the micro assessment.

Implementing partner name:	
Programme name:	
Programme number:	
Programme background:	
Programme location:	
Programme contact person(s):	
Location of records:	
Currency of records maintained:	
Period of transactions covered by micro assessment:	
Funds received during the period covered by the attestation engagement:	
Expenditures incurred/reported during the period covered by the attestation engagement:	
Intended start date of micro assessment:	
Estimated number of days required for visit to IP:	
Any special requests to be considered during the micro assessment:	
Cash transfer modality used by the IP:	

Appendix II. Terms of Reference for Micro Assessment Performed by Third Party Service Provider

This TOR has been developed to guide United Nations agencies, third party service providers and IPs through the objectives, scope, timing and deliverables of performing micro assessments.

Objective and scope of the micro assessment

The micro assessment is performed by a third party service provider and includes a site visit to the IP. The assessment primarily consists of interviews with IP personnel and a review of relevant documentation sufficient to complete the micro assessment questionnaire (Annex 2). The questionnaire provides an overall risk rating based on responses provided:

- **Low risk** – Indicates a well-developed financial management system and functioning control framework with a low likelihood of negative impact on the IP’s ability to execute the programme in accordance with the work plan.
- **Medium Risk** – Indicates a developed financial management system and control framework with moderate likelihood of potential negative impact on the IP’s ability to execute the programme in accordance with the work plan.
- **Significant Risk** – Indicates an underdeveloped financial management system or control framework with a significant likelihood of potential negative impact on the IP’s ability to execute the programme in accordance with the work plan.
- **High Risk** – Indicates an underdeveloped financial management system and control framework with a significant likelihood of potential negative impact on the IP’s ability to execute the programme in accordance with the work plan.

The micro assessment assesses the IP’s financial management capacity (i.e. accounting, procurement, reporting, internal controls, etc.) to determine the overall risk rating and assurance activities. The risk rating, along with other available information, is also taken into consideration when selecting the appropriate cash transfer modality for an IP, based on each agency’s business model (further detailed in section 8).

Timing

The assessment should be completed (including the site visit) within four weeks of engaging the service provider. The HACT focal point and/or inter-agency coordinator will introduce the service provider and the IP to aid coordination of the site visit.

Micro Assessment Procedures/Deliverables

The third party service provider receives general information regarding the IP and the programme from the HACT focal point and/or the inter-agency coordinator in preparation for the assessment (see Annex I for details). The service provider reviews this documentation in advance of performing a site visit to the IP. The service provider should also provide the IP with an advance request of the documents and interviews they would like to have while on site, to ensure efficient use of time while on-site.

The third party service provider also completes the micro assessment questionnaire (Annex II, with instructions) based on the procedures performed during the assessment period. The service provider discusses the results of the questionnaire with relevant agency personnel and the HACT focal point before finalizing it. Upon finalization, the service provider delivers an executive summary, detailing the overall risk rating and specific identified risks, and the completed questionnaire.

Qualifications of the Third Party Service Provider

The third party service provider should be experienced in performing assessments similar to a micro assessment and assessing risks related to organizational financial management capacity (i.e. accounting, reporting, procurement and internal controls). The service provider should also have knowledge of the United Nations system and the development sector.

CVs of all members of the assessment team should be provided and should include details on engagements carried out by relevant staff, including ongoing assignments indicating responsibilities assumed by them and their qualifications and experience in undertaking similar assessments.

Items to be Provided to the Third Party Service Provider before Fieldwork Begins

The agency provides the following documentation before starting fieldwork:

- Agency work plan, Common Country Assessment and CPAP, or equivalent;
- Macro assessments previously performed in the country; and
- Any other documentation that may help the service provider better understand the country context from a United Nations perspective.

The third party service provider should review the information received before performing the assessment.

Annex 1. Programme-specific Information

The following information should be completed at the start of the micro assessment.

Implementing partner name:	
Programme name:	
Programme number:	
Programme background:	
Programme location:	
Programme contact person(s):	
Location of records:	
Currency of records maintained:	
Period of transactions covered by micro assessment:	
Funds received during the period covered by the attestation engagement:	
Expenditures incurred/reported during the period covered by the attestation engagement:	
Intended start date of micro assessment:	
Estimated number of days required for visit to IP:	
Any special requests to be considered during the micro assessment:	
Cash transfer modality used by the IP:	

Annex 2. Micro Assessment Questionnaire Template:

<Insert template from [Appendix IV](#) of the HACT framework>

Appendix III. Sample Micro Assessment Plan Template

The micro assessment plan template, created to assist country offices in planning and scheduling micro assessments of IPs, is based on the following assumptions:

- Programme cycle began 1 January 2014;
- It serves as the plan for one UN Agency and includes the complete listing of that agency's IPs.

The steps for completing the plan are discussed in table 7 below.

IP name	Agency	Budgeted programme cycle funding	Summary scope of work	Years working with IP	Previous micro assessment	Other assessments (e.g., NEX/NIM audit, HACT audit, etc.)	Agency capacity assessment	Micro assessment deemed necessary	Priority rating	Planned micro assessment date
C	UN1	\$500,000	Promoting prenatal care	2	No	Expenditure audit (NEX/NIM) in 2013 – qualified opinion with various high and moderate risk findings	Yes – performed in 2012 and noted significant findings regarding procurement process	Yes	1	14 February 2014
D	UN1, UN3	\$700,000	Promoting gender equality	3	No	Expenditure audit (NEX/NIM) in 2013 – unqualified opinion with no significant findings	Yes – performed prior to initially working with this IP	Yes	2	14 April 2014
A	1) UN 1, 2) UN 2	\$250,00 0	3) Early childhood programmes and school readiness	4) 7	5) No	6) Expenditure audit (NEX/NIM) in 2013 – unqualified opinion with no significant findings	7) Yes – performed prior to initially working with this IP	8) No	9) N/A	10) N/A
B	11) UN 1	12) \$100,00 0	13) Reducing the vulnerability of women	14) 1	15) No	16) Expenditure audit (NEX/NIM) in 2013 – unqualified opinion	17) No	18) No	19) N/A	20) N/A

IP name	Agency	Budgeted programme cycle funding	Summary scope of work	Years working with IP	Previous micro assessment	Other assessments (e.g., NEX/NIM audit, HACT audit, etc.)	Agency capacity assessment	Micro assessment deemed necessary	Priority rating	Planned micro assessment date
E	21) UN 1	22) \$50,000	23) Support to police remuneration, infrastructure, and capacity development and girls to HIV	24) 2	25) No	26) Expenditure audit (NEX/NIM) in 2013 – unqualified opinion with no significant findings	27) Yes – performed prior to initially working with this IP	28) No	29) N/A	30) N/A
Agency total budgeted programme cycle funding										
31) \$1,600,000										

1

Step 1: Populate the micro assessment plan template with the complete listing of agency IPs in the country and sort the data in descending order based on estimated programme cycle funding.

2

If exact figures are not available, agencies should estimate based on information such as historical cash transfers and/or planned/budgeted transfers to IPs.

Step 2: Based on estimated programme cycle funding, identify the IPs that present the greatest risk to the agency based on monetary value.

Consideration should exclude budgeted programme funding related to agency salaries and benefits, which are the responsibility of the agency.

Example: Agency UN1 has five IPs with the following estimated programme cycle funding during this programme cycle:

IP 1: \$250,000

IP 2: \$100,000

IP 3: \$500,000

IP 4: \$700,000

IP 5: \$50,000

3

Thus total budgeted programme cycle funding is \$1,600,000.

4

IPs 3 and 4 account for \$1,200,000, or 75%, of the total estimated programme cycle funding.

Step 3: Consider other elements included in the micro assessment plan (e.g. negative past assessments, negative audit opinions/findings, lack of previous audit, etc.) for all IPs to identify those that may require an assessment based on agency guidelines.

5

Step 4: Based on the results of Step 2 and 3, determine which IPs require a micro assessment to provide the appropriate level of coverage of the total estimated programme cycle funding as set by the agency.

Agencies should use judgment in this determination, considering both materiality of funds provided to IPs (Step 2) and severity of other available information (Step 3).

Step 5: Assign a priority rating and planned assessment date to each IP selected for micro assessment in Step 4.

Each agency should share its micro assessment plan with other agencies implementing the HACT framework in the country to determine the existence of any shared IPs. In such cases, the lead agency should be determined and planned assessment dates should be coordinated.

Agencies should share the agency micro assessment plan with the inter-agency coordinator.

The IPs not selected for micro assessment should be designated as ‘non-assessed’ and agency guidelines should be used to determine necessary assessment and assurance activities during the programme cycle.

Appendix IV. Micro Assessment Questionnaire

Micro Assessment Questionnaire

Implementing Partner: _____

Date: _____

Instructions: This questionnaire contains various questions related to nine subject areas, summarized further below. Please answer each question by indicating your response as ‘Yes’, ‘No’ or ‘N/A’ (for ‘not applicable’). Also, use the ‘Comments’ section next to each question to provide details of your assessment or to highlight any important matters. This document will be referenced subsequently by the agency when performing additional assurance activities related to the IP. Sufficient details should be provided in this document for the agency to understand the details of each response.

Assign a risk rating (high, significant, moderate or low) for each question based on the response obtained. (For example, if the question addresses an item that should ideally be marked ‘Yes’ but was marked ‘No’, it should be assessed for the level of risk it presents to the IP’s financial management system). Assigning risk ratings to each question requires judgement by the assessor as to how the response will affect the IP’s financial management system. The risk ratings to be used are:

- **High** – Response to question/subject matter provides a risk to the overall financial management system that has both a high likelihood of occurring and a potentially negative impact on the IP’s ability to execute the programme in accordance with the work plan⁷ and stated objectives. Additionally, this risk has not been mitigated by any other controls/process that have been implemented by the IP;
- **Significant** – Response to question/subject matter provides a risk to the overall financial management system that has either a significant likelihood of occurring or a potentially negative impact on the IP’s ability to execute the programme in accordance with the work plan and stated objectives;
- **Medium** – Response to question/subject matter provides a risk to the overall financial management system that has a moderate likelihood of occurring and a potentially negative impact on the IP’s ability to execute the programme in accordance with the work plan and stated objectives; or
- **Low** – Response to question/subject matter provides a risk to the overall financial management system that has a low likelihood of occurring and a potentially negative impact on the IP’s ability to execute the programme in accordance with the work plan and stated objectives.

⁷ Agency work plans can be annual, multi-year, rolling or joint.

To determine the overall risk assessment for a subject matter section (e.g., Section 1. Implementing Partner), points are assigned that correlate with the level of risk. The points assigned to each question should be totaled and averaged based on the number of questions in the subject matter section. Questions that are not applicable to the IP (marked as ‘N/A’) should not be assigned a risk rating and should be removed from the total number of questions for the calculation. Points should be assigned as follows:

- H – High risk: 4 points
- S – Significant risk: 3 points
- M – Moderate risk: 2 points
- L – Low risk: 1 point

The average number of points calculated should then be compared to the points rating above and assigned a corresponding risk (i.e., an average of 2.0 would indicate a moderate risk rating for the subject matter section). Numbers should be rounded as follows:

- 0.1 to 0.49: Round down to the closest whole number (i.e., an average of 3.3 would be rounded down to 3.0, indicating a ‘significant’ risk rating);
- 0.5 to 0.99: Round up to the closest whole number (i.e., an average of 1.99 would be rounded up to a 2.0, indicating a ‘moderate’ risk rating).

The same process should be followed for determining the overall risk assessment for the IP once the checklist has been completed

Summary of Risks Related to the Financial Management Capacity of the Implementing Partner				
Tested subject area (see subsequent pages for details of each subject area summarized below)				
	Total number of risk points	Total number of applicable questions	Overall risk assessment	Comments
1. Implementing partner:				
2. Funds flow:				
3. Organizational structure and staffing:				
4. Accounting policies and procedures:				
5. Internal audit:				
6. Financial audit:				
7. Reporting and monitoring:				
8. Information systems:				
9. Procurement:				
Total:				Divide the total number of risk points by the total number of applicable questions in the subject matter section. This amount should be rounded as detailed on page 1 of this checklist and assigned an overall risk rating.

Micro Assessment Questionnaire

Subject area	Yes	No	N/A	Low risk consideration	Risk points	Remarks/comments
1. Implementing partner						
1.1 Is the IP legally registered? If so, is it in compliance with registration requirements? Please note the legal status/registration of the entity.				IP is registered in the country where the programme operates and is current with registration/reporting requirements.		
<i>Retain copies of registration documents if available.</i>						
1.2 Has the IP received United Nations resources in the past? If so, provide details of amounts, from which agency and for what purpose.				IP has received United Nations resources in the past and no issues were encountered with utilizing these funds.		
1.3 Does the IP have statutory reporting requirements? If so, are they in compliance with such requirements? Please describe.				The IP is compliant with applicable statutory requirements.		
1.4 Is the governing body for the IP independent from management? If so, please describe.				The governing body of the IP is independent from management.		
1.5 Does the IP have any pending legal actions against it? <i>Confirm this with the IP, and possibly through assessment of the general ledger and confirmation with external legal counsel provided by the IP. Provide details and actions taken by the IP to resolve the legal action.</i>				The IP does not have any pending legal actions against it.		
Total number of questions in subject area:	5					
Number of questions marked 'N/A' in subject area:						
Total number of applicable questions in subject area:						
Total number of risk points:						

Subject area	Yes	No	N/A	Low risk consideration	Risk points	Remarks/comments
Implementing partner overall risk assessment:						Divide total number of risk points by total number of applicable questions in subject matter section. This amount should be rounded as detailed on page 1 of this checklist and assigned an overall risk rating.
2. Funds Flow						
2.1 Can the IP easily receive and transfer funds? If so, please describe the process.				The IP can receive funds directly from the agency.		
2.2 Are the proposed arrangements to transfer the funds to the IP satisfactory to the funding agency?				The proposed arrangements are satisfactory to the funding agency.		
2.3 Have there been major problems in the past in receipt of funds by the IP, particularly where the funds flow from government ministries (if applicable)? If so, please describe.				The IP has not had problems in the past regarding receipt of agency funding.		
2.4 In the past, has the IP had any problems in the management of funds received? If so, please describe.				The IP has not had problems in the management of funds received from the funding agency.		
2.5 Does the IP have capacity to manage foreign exchange risks? (If it is expected to be using funds outside the country.) If so, does the IP have experience managing foreign exchange risk?				The IP has capacity and experience in managing foreign exchange risk.		
2.6 Does the IP have a process in place to access counterpart funds? Please describe.				The IP has capacity and experience in assessing counterpart funds.		

Subject area	Yes	No	N/A	Low risk consideration	Risk points	Remarks/comments
2.7 If some activities will be implemented by others, such as civil society groups or non-governmental organizations, does the IP have the necessary reporting and monitoring mechanisms to track the use of funds? Does the IP have experience with implementation of activities by external civil society or non-governmental organizations? If so, please describe.				The IP has the necessary reporting and monitoring mechanisms in place to track the use of funds by external organizations. The IP also has experience with this process.		
<i>Total number of questions in subject area:</i>	7					
<i>Number of questions marked 'N/A' in subject area:</i>						
<i>Total number of applicable questions in subject area:</i>						
<i>Total number of risk points:</i>						
<i>Funds flow overall risk assessment:</i>						
3. Organizational Structure and Staffing						
3.1 Is the organizational structure of the IP's accounting/finance department appropriate for the level of financial volume? Does the organizational structure provide clear lines of reporting and accountability? If so, please describe. <i>Attach an organization chart if available.</i>				The organizational structure of the IP's accounting/finance department is appropriate for the level of financial volume (including funding from the agency).		
3.2 Are the level of posts and competency of staff appropriate for the level of financial volume in the accounting/finance				The level of posts and competency of staff are		

Subject area	Yes	No	N/A	Low risk consideration	Risk points	Remarks/comments
department? Identify the staff, including job titles, responsibilities, educational backgrounds and professional experience.				appropriate for the level of financial volume in the accounting/finance department.		
<i>Attach job descriptions and CVs of key accounting/finance staff.</i>						
3.3 Is the IP's accounting/finance function staffed adequately to ensure sufficient controls are in place to manage agency funds? If so, please describe.				The IP's accounting/finance function is staffed adequately to ensure sufficient controls are in place to manage agency funds.		
3.4 Are accounting/finance staff familiar with United Nations procedures related to cash transfers (specifically the HACT framework)? If so, please provide details.				The accounting/finance staff are familiar with United Nations procedures related to cash transfers (specifically the HACT framework).		
3.5 Are there vacancies in positions considered key? If so, provide the estimated date of appointment.				The IP does not have any vacancies in positions considered key.		
3.6 Are staff frequently transferred to other departments, offices, countries, etc.? If so, what is the estimated frequency?				IP staff are not frequently transferred to other departments, offices, countries, etc.		
3.7 Does the IP have training policies for accounting/finance staff? Are necessary trainings undertaken? If so, please describe.				The IP has training policies for accounting/finance staff, which are undertaken as planned.		
3.8 Has there been significant turnover in the past five years? If so, has the rate improved or worsened? Does it appear to				The IP has not had significant turnover in the past five years.		

Subject area	Yes	No	N/A	Low risk consideration	Risk points	Remarks/comments
be a problem? If so, what is the IP doing to address this issue?"						
3.9 Does the IP perform background verification/checks on all new accounting/finance and management positions? If alternative practices for processing new hires are in place, please provide a brief description.				The IP performs background verification/checks on all new accounting/finance and management positions.		
3.10 Does the IP have a defined and documented internal control framework? Is this framework consistent with international standards? If so, please describe.						
<i>Total number of questions in subject area:</i>	10					
<i>Number of questions marked 'N/A' in subject area:</i>						
<i>Total number of applicable questions in subject area:</i>						
<i>Total number of risk points:</i>						
<i>Organizational structure and staffing overall risk assessment:</i>						
4. Accounting Policies and Procedures						
4a. General						
4.1 Does the IP have an accounting system that allows for proper recording of financial transactions from United Nations agencies, including allocation of expenditures in				The IP has an accounting system that allows for proper recording of financial transactions from United Nations		

Subject area	Yes	No	Low risk consideration	Risk points	Remarks/comments
accordance with the respective components, disbursement categories and sources of funds?	N/A		Nations agencies, including allocation of expenditures in accordance with the respective components, disbursement categories and sources of funds.		
4.2 Are controls in place concerning preparation and approval of transactions, ensuring that all transactions are correctly made and adequately explained?			Controls are in place concerning preparation and approval of transactions, ensuring that all transactions are correctly made and adequately explained.		
4.3 Is the chart of accounts adequate to properly account for and report on activities and disbursement categories?			The chart of accounts is adequate to properly account for and report on activities and disbursement categories in accordance with the established agreements.		
4.4 Are controls in place for accurate cost allocations to the various funding sources in accordance with established agreements?			Controls are in place for accurate cost allocations to the various funding sources in accordance with established agreements.		
4.5 Are the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers reconciled at least monthly? Are explanations provided for significant reconciling items?			The general ledger and subsidiary ledgers are reconciled at least monthly, and explanations are provided for significant reconciling items.		

Subject area	Yes	No	N/A	Low risk consideration	Risk points	Remarks/comments
4.6 Are all accounting and supporting documents retained permanently in a defined system that allows authorized users easy access? If so, please describe.				Accounting and supporting documents are retained permanently in a defined system that allows authorized users easy access.		
4.7 Does the IP have policies and procedures for tracking and reporting United Nations resources as required in the HACT framework? If so, please describe.				The IP has policies and procedures for tracking and reporting United Nations resources as required in the HACT framework.		
<i>4b. Segregation of duties</i>						
4.8 Are the following functional responsibilities performed by different units or individuals: (a) authorization to execute a transaction; (b) recording of the transaction; and (c) custody of assets involved in the transaction?				The following functional responsibilities are performed by different units/individuals: (a) authorization to execute a transaction; (b) recording of the transaction; and (c) custody of assets involved in the transaction.		
4.9 Are the functions of ordering, receiving, accounting for and paying for goods and services appropriately segregated?				The functions of ordering, receiving, accounting for and paying for goods and services are appropriately segregated.		
4.10 Are bank reconciliations prepared by individuals other than those who make or approve payments?				Bank reconciliations are prepared by individuals other than those who make or approve payments.		
<i>4c. Budgeting system</i>						

Subject area	Yes	No	N/A	Low risk consideration	Risk points	Remarks/comments
4.11 Do the IP's budgets lay down physical and financial targets?				The IP's budgets lay down physical and financial targets.		
4.12 Are IP budgets prepared for all significant activities in sufficient detail to provide a meaningful tool for monitoring subsequent performance?				IP budgets are prepared for all significant activities in sufficient detail to provide a meaningful tool for monitoring subsequent performance		
4.13 Are actual expenditures compared to the budget with reasonable frequency? Are explanations required for significant variations from the budget?				Actual expenditures are compared to the budget with reasonable frequency, and explanations are required for significant variations from the budget.		
4.14 Are approvals required prior to significant variations from the budget?				Approvals are required prior to significant variations from the budget.		
4.15 Does the IP have a designated individual(s) responsible for preparation and approval of budgets related to agency funding?				The IP has a designated individual(s) responsible for preparation and approval of budgets related to agency funding.		
4.16 Are procedures in place to plan activities, collect information from the units in charge of the different components and prepare the budgets? If so, please describe.				Procedures are in place to plan activities, collect information from the units in charge of the different components and prepare the budgets.		
4.17 Are the plans and budgets of activities realistic, based on valid assumptions and developed by knowledgeable individuals?				The plans and budgets of activities appear to be realistic, based on valid assumptions,		

Subject area	Yes	No	N/A	Low risk consideration	Risk points	Remarks/comments
4d. Payments				and developed by knowledgeable individuals.		
4.18 Do invoice processing procedures provide for:						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Copies of purchase orders and receiving reports to be obtained directly from issuing departments? • Comparison of invoice quantities, prices and terms with those indicated on the purchase order and with records of goods actually received? • Checking the accuracy of calculations, if any? 				Invoice processing procedures are sufficient, including: receiving copies of purchase orders and receiving reports directly from issuing departments; comparing invoice quantities, prices and terms with those indicated on the purchase order and with records of goods actually received; and checking the accuracy of calculations (if any).		
4.19 Can the IP identify tax-exempt activities to ensure tax is not paid?				The IP has the ability and experience to indentify tax-exempt activities and ensure tax is not paid.		
4.20 Are all invoices stamped ‘PAID’, dated, reviewed and approved, and clearly marked for account code assignment?				Invoices are stamped ‘PAID’, dated, reviewed and approved, and clearly marked for account code assignment.		
4.21 Do controls exist for preparation and approval of payroll expenditures? Are changes properly authorized? If so, please describe.				Controls exist for the preparation and approval of payroll expenditures and changes are properly authorized.		

Subject area	Yes	No	N/A	Low risk consideration	Risk points	Remarks/comments
4.22 Do controls exist for expense categories that do not originate from invoice payments, such as DSA, travel, consultancies?				Controls exist for expense categories that do not originate from invoice payments, such as DSA, travel, consultancies.		
4e. Policies and procedures						
4.23 Does the IP have a single stated basis of accounting (e.g., cash or accrual)? If so, please describe.				The IP has a single basis of accounting.		
4.24 Does the IP have an established accounting manual sufficient to ensure control of assets and proper use of agency funds? Are internationally accepted accounting standards followed? If so, which standard?				The IP has an established accounting manual sufficient to ensure control of assets and proper use of agency funds. This manual follows an internationally accepted accounting standard.		
4.25 Does the IP have an adequate policies and procedures manual to guide activities and ensure staff accountability? If so, please describe.				The IP has an adequate policies and procedures manual to guide activities and ensure staff accountability.		
4.26 Do procedures exist to ensure that only authorized persons can alter or establish a new accounting principle, policy or procedure to be used by the IP?				The IP has procedures in place to ensure that only authorized persons can alter or establish a new accounting principle, policy or procedure.		
4.27 Are there written policies and procedures covering all routine financial management and related administrative activities? Are these accessible? Please describe.				There are accessible written policies and procedures covering all routine financial management and related administrative activities.		

Subject area	Yes	No	N/A	Low risk consideration	Risk points	Remarks/comments
4.28 Do policies and procedures clearly define ‘conflict of interest’ and ‘related party transactions’ (real and apparent) and provide safeguards to protect the organization from them? If so, please describe.				The IP has well-defined policies and procedures for ‘conflict of interest’ and ‘related party transactions’ (real and apparent) that provide adequate safeguards to protect the organization from them.		
4.29 Are manuals distributed to appropriate personnel? If so, please describe the manuals and the personnel who receive them.				Manuals are distributed to appropriate personnel.		
<i>4f. Cash and banks</i>						
4.30 Are there authorized signatories on the bank accounts that will be used for United Nations resources? How many signatories are on the bank account and how many are required to execute transactions? If so, provide names.				The IP has signatories on the bank accounts that will be used for United Nations resources and more than one signatory is required for each transaction.		
4.31 Does the IP maintain an adequate, up-to-date cashbook, recording receipts and payments?				The IP maintains an adequate, up-to-date cashbook, recording receipts and payments.		
4.32 Do controls exist for the collection, timely deposit and recording of receipts at each collection location? If so, please describe.				Controls exist for the collection, timely deposit and recording of receipts at each collection location.		
4.33 Are bank balances and cash ledger reconciled monthly and properly approved? Are explanations provided for significant and unusual reconciling items?				Bank balances and cash ledgers are reconciled monthly and properly approved. Significant and unusual reconciling items are explained.		

Subject area	Yes	No	N/A	Low risk consideration	Risk points	Remarks/comments
4.34 Are agency resources be placed in a separate bank account?				Agency resources are placed in a separate bank account.		
4.35 Are cash and checks maintained in a secure location? Has access been properly designated and maintained?				Cash and checks are maintained in a secure location and access has been properly designated and maintained.		
4g. Safeguards for assets						
4.36 Is there a system of adequate safeguards to protect assets from fraud, waste and abuse? If so, tour the facility to ensure the system has been implemented and followed.				The IP has a system of adequate safeguards to protect assets from fraud, waste and abuse.		
4.37 Are subsidiary records of fixed assets and inventory kept up to date and reconciled with control accounts? If so, please describe.				Subsidiary records of fixed assets and inventory are kept up to date and reconciled with control accounts.		
4.38 Are there periodic physical inventories of fixed assets and stocks? If so, please describe.				The IP performs periodic physical inventories of fixed assets and inventory.		
4.39 Are fixed assets and inventory adequately covered by insurance policies?				The IP's fixed assets and inventory are adequately covered by insurance policies.		
4h. Other offices or entities²						
4.40 Do any other offices/entities participate in implementation? If so, does the IP have policies and				If the IP has other offices/entities participating in implementation, there are		

² This refers to sub-offices of the IPs or other parties.

Subject area	Yes	No	Low risk consideration	Risk points	Remarks/comments
process to ensure appropriate oversight and monitoring of implementation?		N/A	adequate policies and procedures to ensure appropriate oversight and monitoring of implementation. The IP also has experience with this process.		
4.41 Does information flow among the different offices/entities in an accurate and timely fashion? If so, please describe.			Information flows among the different offices/entities in an accurate and timely fashion.		
4.42 Does the IP have a process in place to evaluate the impact of any deficiencies or negative findings identified through reporting or discussions with the other offices/entities? If so, describe the process.				The IP has a process in place to evaluate the impact of any deficiencies or negative findings identified through reporting or discussions with the other offices/entities. The IP also has experience with this process.	
4.43 Does the IP maintain contractual agreements with other offices/entities?				The IP maintains current contractual agreements with other offices/entities.	
4.44 Does the IP have a process to ensure expenditures of other offices/entities are in compliance with the work plan and/or contractual agreement noted in item 4.43?					The IP has a process to ensure expenditures of other offices/entities are in compliance with the work plan and/or contractual agreements.
4i. Other					The IP has advised employees, beneficiaries and other recipients to whom they should report if they suspect fraud, waste or misuse of agency resources or property?

Subject area	Yes	No	N/A	Low risk consideration	Risk points	Remarks/comments
4.46 If yes to item 4.45, does the IP have a policy against retaliation for those who report fraud, waste or misuse of agency resources or property?				report if they suspect fraud, waste or misuse of agency resources or property.		
<i>Total number of questions in subject area:</i>	46			The IP has a policy against retaliation for those who report fraud, waste, or misuse of agency resources or property.		
<i>Number of questions marked 'N/A' in subject area:</i>						
<i>Total number of applicable questions in subject area:</i>						
<i>Total number of risk points:</i>						
<i>Accounting policies and procedures overall risk assessment:</i>						
<i>Divide total number of risk points by total number of applicable questions in subject matter section. This amount should be rounded as detailed on page 1 of this checklist and assigned an overall risk rating.</i>						
5. Internal Audit						
5.1 Is the internal auditor sufficiently independent to make critical assessments? To whom does the internal auditor report?				The internal auditor is sufficiently independent to make critical assessments.		
5.2 Does the IP have stated qualifications and experience requirements for internal audit department staff? If so, please describe.				The IP has stated qualifications and experience requirements for internal audit department staff.		

Subject area	Yes	No	N/A	Low risk consideration	Risk points	Remarks/comments
5.3 Will the activities financed by the agencies be included in the internal audit department's work programme?				The activities financed by agency funds will be included in the internal audit department's work programme.		
5.4 Does the internal auditor have policies and procedures to take action on findings identified?				The internal auditor has policies and procedures to take action on findings identified, if any.		
5.5 Does the IP appear to have strong internal controls to ensure funds are expended for their intended purpose, to discourage and prevent improper use of funds, and to safeguard assets? If so, please describe.				The IP appears to have strong internal controls to ensure funds are expended for the intended purpose, discourage and prevent improper use of funds, and safeguard assets.		
<i>Total number of questions in subject area:</i>	5					
<i>Number of questions marked 'N/A' in subject area:</i>						
<i>Total number of applicable questions in subject area:</i>						
<i>Total number of risk points:</i>						
<i>Internal audit overall risk assessment:</i>						Divide total number of risk points by total number of applicable questions in subject matter section. This amount should be rounded as detailed on page 1 of this checklist and assigned an overall risk rating.
6. Financial Audit						

Subject area	Yes	No	N/A	Low risk consideration	Risk points	Remarks/comments
6.1 Are the IP's specific financial statements audited regularly by an independent auditor? If so, please describe the auditor.				The IP's specific financial statements are audited regularly by an independent auditor.		
6.2 Are there any delays in audit of the IP? When are the audit reports issued?				There are no delays in audits of the IP's financial statements, and the financial statements are issued within a reasonable amount of time.		
6.3 Is the audit of the IP's financial statements conducted according to the International Standards on Auditing?				The audit of the IP's financial statements are conducted according to the International Standards on Auditing.		
6.4 Were there any major accountability issues brought out in audit reports of the IP over the past three years?				No major accountability issues were brought out in audit reports of the IP over the past three years.		
6.5 Will the auditor audit the accounts related to the work plan or other contractual agreements with the agency? If not, will a separate auditor be appointed to audit the work plan financial statements?				The auditor will audit the accounts related to the work plan or other contractual agreements with the agency.		
6.6 Have any recommendations made by auditors in the prior three audit reports and/or management letters not yet been implemented?				All recommendations made by the auditor in the prior three audit reports and/or management letters have been implemented.		
6.7 Historically, has the IP prepared audit plans?				The IP has historically prepared audit plans.		

Subject area	Yes	No	N/A	Low risk consideration	Risk points	Remarks/comments
<i>Total number of questions in subject area:</i>						
<i>Number of questions marked 'N/A' in subject area:</i>						
<i>Total number of applicable questions in subject area:</i>						
<i>Total number of risk points:</i>						
<i>Financial audit overall risk assessment:</i>						
7. Reporting and Monitoring						
7.1 Are financial statements prepared specifically for the IP?				Financial statements are prepared specifically for the IP.		
7.2 What is the frequency of preparation of the financial statements mentioned in 7.1? Are the reports prepared in a timely fashion so they are useful to management for decision-making?				Financial statements of the IP are prepared on a frequent basis so they are useful to management for decision-making.		
7.3 Does the reporting system have the capacity to link the financial information with the work plan's physical progress? If separate systems are used to gather and compile physical data, what controls are in place to reduce the risk that the physical data may not synchronize with the financial data?				The reporting system has the capacity to link the financial information with the work plan's physical progress.		

Subject area	Yes	No	N/A	Low risk consideration	Risk points	Remarks/comments
7.4 Does the IP have established financial management reporting responsibilities that specify what reports are to be prepared, what they are to contain and how they are to be used? If so, please describe.				The IP has established financial management reporting responsibilities that specify what reports are to be prepared, what they are to contain and how they are to be used.		
7.5 Does the IP have financial reports that compare actual expenditures with budgeted and programmed allocations?				The IP has financial reports that compare actual expenditures with budgeted and programmed allocations.		
7.6 Are financial reports prepared directly by the automated accounting system or are they prepared using spreadsheets or some other means?				Financial reports are prepared directly by the automated accounting system or using spreadsheets (or some other means) that are properly reviewed and approved.		
7.7 Does the IP appear to have been solvent (i.e. total assets greater than total liabilities) for the past three fiscal years? Are there any unexplained or ongoing inconsistencies? <i>Provide the amount of total assets and total liabilities for the current and prior fiscal year.</i>				The IP appears to have been solvent (i.e. total assets greater than total liabilities) for the past three fiscal years. Are there any unexplained or ongoing inconsistencies? <i>Provide the amount of total assets and total liabilities for the current and prior fiscal year.</i>		
7.8 Do the IP's total revenues/contributions exceed its total expenditures over the past three fiscal years? Have the IP's contributions been contracting (i.e. dwindling) over the past three years? If so, why and how will the organization address this issue? <i>Provide the amount of total revenues/contributions and total expenditures for the current and prior fiscal year.</i>				The IP's total revenues/contributions exceed its total expenditures in the past three fiscal years, and its contributions have not been contracting in the past three fiscal years.		

Subject area	Yes	No	N/A	Low risk consideration	Risk points	Remarks/comments
<i>Total number of questions in subject area:</i>						
<i>Number of questions marked 'N/A' in subject area:</i>						
<i>Total number of applicable questions in subject area:</i>						
<i>Total number of risk points:</i>						
<i>Reporting and monitoring overall risk assessment:</i>						
8. Information Systems						
8.1 Is the financial management system computerized? If so, does the IP have policies and procedures to maintain this system?				The financial management system is computerized and properly maintained.		
8.2 Does the IP have policies and procedures to restrict access to the computerized financial management system to appropriate personnel? If so, please describe them.				The IP has policies and procedures to restrict accesses to the computerized financial management system to the appropriate personnel.		
8.3 Can the computerized financial management system produce the necessary financial reports?				The computerized financial management system can produce the necessary financial reports.		

Subject area	Yes	No	N/A	Low risk consideration	Risk points	Remarks/comments
8.4 Are IP staff adequately trained to maintain the computerized financial management system?				IP staff are adequately trained to maintain the computerized financial management system.		
8.5 Does the IP have appropriate safeguards to ensure the confidentiality, integrity and availability of the data? If so, please describe them.				The IP has appropriate safeguards to ensure the confidentiality, integrity and availability of the data.		
<i>Total number of questions in subject area:</i>	5					
<i>Number of questions marked 'N/A' in subject area:</i>						
<i>Total number of applicable questions in subject area:</i>						
<i>Total number of risk points:</i>						
<i>Information systems overall risk assessment:</i>						
9. Procurement						
9a. General						
9.1 Has the IP undertaken a procurement exercise with United Nations / World Bank / European Union resources in the past? If so, please describe it.				The IP has undertaken a procurement exercise with UN/WB/EU resources in the past.		

Subject area	Yes	No	N/A	Low risk consideration	Risk points	Remarks/comments
9.2 Has the IP been audited by ECHO and selected as a Framework Partnership Agreement partner as per: http://ec.europa.eu/echo/files/partners/humanitarian_aid/fpa_partners.pdf				The IP has been audited by ECHO and selected as a Framework Partnership Agreement partner.		
9.3 Has the IP been audited by ECHO and selected as a Humanitarian Procurement Centre partner as per: http://ec.europa.eu/echo/files/partners/humanitarian_aid/HPC-register_en.pdf				The IP has been audited by ECHO and selected as a Humanitarian Procurement Centre partner.		
9b. Procurement principles, policies and procedures						
9.4 Does the IP follow acceptable United Nations procurement principles? Do these principles promote broad participation from potential bidders? If so, please describe them.				The IP follows acceptable United Nations procurement principles.		
9.5 Does the IP have written procurement policies and procedures? <i>If yes, please provide a copy. If no, please attach an outline of the tender procedures specifying the different procurement procedures, related thresholds and methodology for the assessment of tenders.</i>				The IP has written procurement policies and procedures.		
9.6 Does the IP have a specific code of procurement ethics? <i>Please attach copy of the code.</i>				The IP has a specific code of procurement ethics.		
9.7 Does the IP have a specific anti-fraud and corruption policy? If so, please describe it.				The IP has a specific anti-fraud and corruption policy.		
9.8 Do the IP's procurement rules and regulations contemplate a fair and impartial mechanism for revision of procurement protests?				The IP's procurement rules and regulations contemplate a fair and impartial mechanism		

Subject area	Yes	No	N/A	Low risk consideration	Risk points	Remarks/comments
9.9 Does the IP frequently override purchasing procedures without proper authorization?				for revision of procurement protests.		
9.10 Are exceptions to procedures documented and sent to management for approval? Are the exceptions periodically analysed and corrective actions taken?				The IP does not frequently override the purchasing procedures without proper authorization.		
				Exceptions to procedures are documented and sent to management for approval. Exceptions are periodically analysed and corrective actions are taken.		
9c. Internal control system						
9.11 Does the IP have a procurement committee for review and approval of contracts? If so, please specify composition of committee.				The IP has a procurement committee that reviews and approves contracts.		
9.12 Does the IP identify the requested authorizations for each value level of purchases? At what value does the IP require written authorization of senior management for a purchase (value in US dollars)?				The IP has defined authorization guidance and policies and procedures to ensure they are properly applied.		
9.13 Does the IP use standard bidding documents and contracts?				The IP utilizes standard bidding documents and contracts.		
9.14 Do the procurement procedures and templates of contracts integrate references to ethical procurement principles and exclusion and ineligibility criteria?				The procurement procedures and templates of contracts integrate references to ethical procurement principles and		

Subject area	Yes	No	N/A	Low risk consideration	Risk points	Remarks/comments
				exclusion and ineligibility criteria.		
9.15 Does the IP obtain sufficient approvals before signing a contract, executing a purchase, or a payment? How many people give their approval before signing a contract or executing a purchase or a payment?				The IP obtains sufficient approvals before signing a contract executing a purchase or a payment.		
9.16 Does the IP rotate staff positions for internal control purposes? If yes, how often?				The IP has procedures to rotate staff positions for internal control purposes.		
9.17 Does the IP have formal guidelines and procedures in place to assist in identifying, monitoring and dealing with potential conflicts of interest with potential suppliers/procurement agents? If so, how does the IP proceed in cases of conflict of interest?				The IP has formal guidelines and procedures in place to assist in identifying, monitoring and dealing with potential conflict of interests with potential suppliers/procurement agents.		
9e. Procurement process						
9.18 Does the IP have a well-defined process for sourcing/pre-qualification of suppliers? Do formal procurement methods include broadly broadcasting procurement opportunities?				The IP has a well-defined process for sourcing/pre-qualifying suppliers.		
<i>Please attach methodology for the assessment of suppliers.</i>						
9.19 Does the IP undertake regular market surveys? If so, describe the process.				The IP undertakes regular market surveys.		
9.20 Does the IP have a database of active suppliers? If so, please describe the process for maintaining this database.				The IP has a database of active suppliers.		