INDIVIDUAL CONSULTANT PROCUREMENT NOTICE



Date: 10 November 2021

Description of the assignment: International Consultant to Final Evaluation of UNDP's **Regional Youth Project on Leadership, Innovation and Entrepreneurship (Youth Co:Lab)**

Duty Station: Home-based, with no travel required

Project name: UNDP Bangkok Regional Hub (BRH) – Youth Unit

Period of assignment/services (if applicable): 01 December 2021 – 30 April 2022, with maximum 50 days worked

Proposal should be submitted no later than 24 November 2021

Please click on the link below to apply: <u>https://jobs.undp.org/cj_view_job.cfm?cur_job_id=103084</u>

1. BACKGROUND

The world today is home to the largest generation of young people in history, 1.2 billion people aged 15 to 24. Yet more than one fifth of young people are neither in employment, nor in education or training. At the same time, young people are a tremendous source of innovation, ideas and solutions. Boldly facing the challenges that globalisation, changing labour markets, shrinking civic space and climate change have afforded them, young people are contributing to the resilience of their communities, pushing strongly for climate action, calling for inclusive and just societies and driving innovation and social progress.

700 million young people aged 15 to 24, or approximately 60% of the world's youth, live in Asia Pacific. The region's rate of youth unemployment (10.4 percent) is more than twice the rate for the labour force at large (4.1 percent). The rate of youth unemployment also hides key deficits in terms of job quality. More than two in three workers (68 percent) in the region are in informal employment and a quarter of workers in the region are in working poverty. The challenges of securing decent work are even greater for vulnerable and marginalised youth including young women, youth living in humanitarian settings, youth with disabilities, migrant youth, indigenous youth and lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender youth. COVID-19 has further exacerbated the challenges. In 2020, the crisis resulted in an employment decline for youth of 10.3 percent, as opposed to 2.4 percent for adults¹.

Asia Pacific also faces frontier challenges in the face of fast-paced environmental, technological and societal change. Countries at all stages of development across Asia Pacific need to leverage grassroots solutions to respond to these challenges in order to achieve the vision of the 2030 Agenda.

Youth 2030 – the UN Strategy on Youth launched in 2018 – recognises that to fulfil the 2030 Agenda, young people must be empowered to lead. The Strategy prioritises youth economic empowerment alongside four other closely interconnected priorities. To help deliver this Strategy a multi-stakeholder initiative, Generation Unlimited, was launched to ensure that all young people are in school, training or employment by 2030.

Contributing to this global agenda since 2017, the Regional Youth Project on Leadership, Innovation and Entrepreneurship (Youth Co:Lab), co-designed and co-led by UNDP and Citi Foundation, has sought to establish a common agenda for Asia-Pacific countries to empower and invest in youth to accelerate implementation of the Sustainable

Development Goals (SDGs). By developing 21st century skills and catalysing and scaling youth-led social enterprises, the project is positioning young people front and centre to address the region's most pressing challenges.

Youth Co:Lab seeks to both support and harness the ability of young people to be the change agents of their societies and communities by taking a leading role in the field of entrepreneurship and social innovation. To this end, the project has prioritised the following five outputs:

Output 1: Improved ecosystem on youth empowerment in Asia and the Pacific to achieve SDGs.

> Output 2: Empowered Youth (with focus on marginalized groups) avail opportunities for expanding their leadership and entrepreneurship capabilities.

➤ Output 3: Enhanced learning and skills development programmes for youth entrepreneurship and leadership are designed and delivered through regional dialogues.

➤ Output 4: Advocacy, research and knowledge exchange initiative informs new youth entrepreneurship initiatives

Output 5: Innovative financing solutions are leveraged for youth entrepreneurship The strategy of the Youth Co:Lab is grounded in the UNDP Strategic Plan (SP) 2018-2021 and the Regional Programme Document (RPD) for Asia and Pacific. The project outputs respond particularly to two of the three broad development settings of the Strategic Plan: accelerating structural transformations for sustainable development and building resilience to crises and shocks. In addition, the Project supports implementation of UNDP's Signature Solutions. Social enterprises catalysed and supported by the project provide solutions to Efficient and Responsive Governance, Increased Resilience, Nature Based Solutions, Clean Affordable Energy and Women's Empowerment and Gender Equality.

Youth Co:Lab adopts a rights-based approach, seeking to ensure that that young people, including the most vulnerable and marginalised youth, are empowered to achieve their full potential and recognising young people's agency, resilience and their positive contributions as agents of change. Youth Co:Lab responds to the prioritised areas in the UN Youth Strategy, in particular Youth Economic Empowerment through Decent Work and Youth Engagement, Participation and Advocacy. The project also aligns with the UNDP Youth Global Programme for Sustainable Development and Peace (Youth-GPS 2016-2020) which promotes youth empowerment in the context of sustainable development and peacebuilding.

Youth Co:Lab is also grounded in a strong multi-stakeholder collaboration on youth empowerment. Through its Youth Empowerment Alliance, Youth Co:Lab actively engages ecosystem players from governments, the private sector, youth organisations, academia, and the development sector to connect young social entrepreneurs to finance, mentors, partners and support.

The mid-term review of the project was carried out as part of the Mid-Term Review (MTR) of the UNDP Asia-Pacific Regional Programme Document (RPD) in November 2020.

PROJECT INFORMATION Project Regional V

Project	Regional Youth Project on Leadership, Innovation and Entrepreneurship			
Atlas ID	00110753			
Corporate outcomeand output	Contributing to RPD Outcomes RPD for Asia and the Pacific 2018-2021: Outcome 2 Accelerate structural transformations for sustainable development Indicative RPD Outputs: RPD Output 2.3 Institutions, networks and non-state actors strengthened to promote inclusion, access to justice, and protect human rights (Strategic Plan 2018-2021: 2.2.2 and 2.2.3)			
	RPD Indicator 2.3.1: Number of policies and initiatives that strengthen the enabling environment for youth empowerment (disaggregated by sex)			
Region	Asia-Pacific			
Ducient datas	Start	Planned end		
Project dates	January 2017	December 2022		
Total Project Budget(USD)	USD 10,530,000 (total resources required 13,560,000)			
Project Expenditures at the time of evaluation (USD)	USD 6,447,163.00			
Funding Sources	UNDP BRH (TRAC), Baoshang Bank, Citi Foundation, CVC Philanthropy Ltd.,Islamic Development Bank			
Implementation Modality/ Implementing Partner	United Nations Development Programme (Direct Implementation)			

2. OBJECTIVE, SCOPE OF WORK, RESPONSIBILITIES AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED ANALYTICAL WORK

EVALUATION PURPOSE, SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES:

The Purpose of the Final Evaluation

The purpose of the final evaluation is to support accountability and facilitate learning and knowledge sharing. The evaluation will inform UNDP, Citi Foundation and key stakeholders of the results of the Youth Co:Lab project, consolidate lessons learnt and provide forward-looking and concrete recommendations. During the past four years of its implementation, the Youth Co:Lab initiative has rapidly scaled up and evolved while responding to changes in the operational context caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. The evaluation will provide critical evidence-based information to guide the design of the project's future strategy and support UNDP and Citi Foundation's continued efforts to empower and invest in youth to accelerate the implementation of the SDGs in Asia-Pacific.

The Scope and Objectives of the Final Evaluation

The evaluation will cover project activities and results at regional level and through deep dives in specific countries. The final evaluation will assess the project's progress against the expected outputs and outcomes as defined in the results and resources framework (RRF) and project theory of change (ToC) from January 2017 to January 2022. The evaluation will build on the findings and recommendations of the deep dive analysis of the Youth Co:Lab project conducted during the Mid-Term Review of the RPD of UNDP Asia-Pacific and use the findings of the global evaluation of UNDP's youth portfolio.

The final evaluation will assess the relevance, coherence, effectiveness, efficiency, and sustainability of the project. It will also assess the extent to which the project has adopted human rights-based and gender responsive approaches, identify lessons learnt and provide recommendations to expand and enhance project activities and ensure the sustainability of results.

The key objectives of the final evaluation are to:

- Assess the performance of the project in terms of achieving the intended project output results and contribution to outcomes according to the project's theory of change
- Assess the project's unique value proposition and sources of comparative advantage relative to other initiatives
- Assess the project's partnership strategies and performance in achieving intended results through collaboration with ecosystem partners
- Assess the relevance, coherence, efficiency and effectiveness of the project activities and the sustainability of the results achieved towards the intended output and outcome level results at:
 - Downstream level: directly empowering young people
 - Midstream level: strengthening the ecosystem to support youth entrepreneurship, innovation, and leadership
 - Upstream level: working with governments to enhance the enabling environment for youth entrepreneurship, innovation, and leadership

- Identify challenges and factors that have affected the achievement of project results and assess the effectiveness of the approaches that the project has adopted to address these challenges
- Assess to what extent the project has adopted human rights-based, gender responsive and leave no one behind (LNOB) / diversity and inclusion approaches
- Identify lessons learnt from the project and provide concrete and forward-looking recommendations to inform the design of the next project cycle
- Assess the project's alignment with UNDP's RPD and Strategic Plan and the UN Strategy on Youth and the project's contribution to the mainstreaming of the youth empowerment agenda.

EVALUATION CRITERIA AND KEY GUIDING QUESTIONS

The evaluation questions define the information that must be generated as a result of the evaluation process. In this evaluation, the questions are structured under the following criteria, defined by the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development - Development Assistance Committee (OECD DAC): relevance, coherence, effectiveness, efficiency, and sustainability. In addition, the evaluation will address cross-cutting questions related to gender, human rights/leaving no one behind principles.

Evaluation	Guiding questions
Criteria	To what extent have the project design and the project's implemented activities been relevant for addressing the identified development challenges and advancing youth
	empowerment in the region?
	To what extent and in what ways does the project offer a unique value proposition to project stakeholders that distinguishes it from other initiatives in the youth empowerment space?
	To what extent is the project aligned to the strategic priorities of its key stakeholders, including UNDP Country Offices and private sector partners?
	To what extent and in what ways has the project evolved to respond to changes in the operational context due to the COVID-19 pandemic?
Relevance and coherence	What are the strengths and potential gaps in terms of project design and implementation in responding to the current context in Asia-Pacific? What are the risks and opportunities?
	To what extent have the project design and implementation been consistent with the gender-responsive, human rights based and LNOB / diversity and inclusion approaches?
	To what extent have the project activities been relevant for supporting key ecosystem stakeholders, such as governments and the private sector, to advance youth empowerment in the region?
	To what extent have young people, including young women, vulnerable and minority youth, found the project relevant to their needs?

	How coherent is the project with the UNDP's RPD and SP and Youth 2030 – the UN Strategy on Youth?
	To what extent has the project achieved its expected results? What are the areas of greatest achievements? What are the results achieved against the project RRF indicators?
	In which areas has the project not achieved its expected results? What have been the main challenges in the achievement of the expected results?
	What are the key internal and external factors that have contributed to, affected and/or impeded the achievement of expected results?
	How effective were the project implementation strategies?
	What is the added value of the project's regional approach and to what extent the project has been able to tap into opportunities provided by the regional approach?
Effectiveness	Do the project workstreams complement each other effectively? What are the strengths and potential gaps?
	How effective have the project's partnership strategies been for building the enabling environment and strengthening the ecosystem for youth entrepreneurship, leadership, and social innovation in Asia-Pacific? What are the key lessons learnt from the partnerships and how could these be leveraged in the future?
	How effective have the thought leadership, advocacy and communications activities of the project been in terms of increasing the visibility of the youth empowerment agenda and influencing decision making among the key stakeholders in the region? What have been the biggest successes and challenges?
	What have been the most effective strategies in terms of empowerment of young women and vulnerable and minority youth? What have been the key challenges in advancing this agenda?
	How effective has the project been in mainstreaming youth empowerment in UNDP and UN programming and in different thematic areas of work?
	Have resources (funds, human resources, time, expertise, etc.) been allocated strategically and utilised efficiently to achieve expected results?
	Have resources been sufficient for the achievement of results?
	To what extent and in what ways was the project able to leverage co-investment from other stakeholders to support the achievement of project objectives?
Efficiency	To what extent was the project management structure appropriate and efficient in generating the expected results at regional and national levels?
	To what extent did project M&E systems provide management with a stream of data that allowed it to learn and adjust implementation accordingly?
	Has the partnership structure of the project been effective and efficient to support achievement of the intended results?
•	

	Have the resources been used efficiently to support the empowerment of the most
	vulnerable youth and young women?
	To what extent can the achieved results be expected to be sustainable?
	Which factors are contributing positively to the sustainability of the project's results?
	What are some of the possible challenges in terms of sustainability of the project results?
Sustainability	What kind of factors are contributing to the sustainability of the results achieved in the empowerment of young women and minority youth, such as youth with disabilities, sexual minorities or indigenous youth? What are the key challenges or gaps?
	To what extent will financial and other resources and institutional structures be available to sustain the results and benefits achieved by the project beyond the project period?
	What can be done to improve the sustainability of the project results?
	To what extent has the project been able to mainstream gender throughout the intervention, including design, implementation and monitoring of the project?
	What are the key project results with regards to direct empowerment of young
Gender	women and addressing systemic barriers to the empowerment of young women?
	To what extent has the project been able to strengthen the capacities of the youth entrepreneurship ecosystem in terms of gender-responsiveness?
	To what extent has the project been able to reach the most vulnerable, such as
	young people with disabilities, indigenous youth, LGBTIQ+ youth, and support the empowerment of minority youth?
Human rights/Leaving no	To what extent has the project been able to empower and support young social entrepreneurs to support vulnerable communities through their business models?
one behind	To what extent has the project been able to promote structural/institutional changes to advance the inclusion and empowerment of minority youth (e.g., influence policies or regulations)
	To what extent has the project been able to build the capacities of the key stakeholders to advance the leave no one behind agenda?
	What are the key lessons learnt during the project implementation?
Lessons Learnt and recommendations	What are the recommendations for the future programme design? The recommendations should be firmly based on evidence and analysis, clear and result-oriented and realistic in terms of implementation.
	What could be the potential focus areas or priorities of the project's strategy in its next cycle?
METHODOLOGY	

The evaluation will adhere to the UNDP Evaluation Policy and UNDG Norms & Standards (provided in Annexes) with its findings and judgements based on sound evidence and analysis, clearly documented in the review report. Information will be triangulated (i.e. verified from different sources) to the extent possible,

and when verification is not possible, the single source will be mentioned. Analysis leading to evaluative judgements should always be clearly spelled out. The limitations of the methodological framework and analysis should also be discussed in the report.

The evaluator is expected to follow a participatory and consultative approach ensuring close engagement with the evaluation reference group, partners and other stakeholders, and direct beneficiaries, including young women and minority youth.

The final evaluation should employ a mixture of qualitative and quantitative methods and instruments. The final methodology and data sources will be agreed upon in the inception report of the final evaluation. Some of the possible methods and data sources are provided in the table below.

Desk	Review of relevant documents including but not limited to:
review	- Project Document, Annual Work Plans, results and resources framework (RRF) and Theoryof Change (ToC)
	- Project Monitoring and Evaluation Data
	- Survey data
	- Project progress and activity reports
	- Third party feedback on the project
	- Knowledge products, advocacy and communication materials and content
	- Youth and stakeholder consultation data collected during the project implementation - Financial and management information
	- Relevant global, regional, and national research studies
	- RBAP Regional Programme Document, RBAP Regional Programme Document
	Mid-TermReview, UNDP Strategic Plan, Youth 2030 – UN Strategy on Youth
	- Citi Foundation guidelines
Interviews and focus group	- Interviews with UNDP senior management, Citi Foundation, selected government counterparts and private sector partners, project stakeholders, entrepreneurs, youth participants, others
discussions	- Focus group discussions with youth participants, Youth Co:Lab partners, UN partners others.
	- Briefing and debriefing sessions with UNDP and Project team as well as with other partners will be organised to ensure triangulation of the various data sources to maximise the validity and reliability of data.
Case	- Case studies on youth-led social enterprises / young entrepreneurs (selection to
studies	bedetermined)
	- Case studies on specific Youth Co:Lab country programmes (country selection to bedetermined)
Surveys	- Of youth, youth entrepreneurs, partners
Juiveys	- Tracer surveys of participants in Youth Co:Lab activities

Gender and Human Rights-based Approach

Evaluation must include an assessment of the extent to which the design, implementation, and results of the project have incorporated gender equality perspective and rights-based approach. The evaluators are requested to review UNEG's Guidance in Integrating Human Rights and Gender Equality in Evaluation during the inception phase. The methodology used in the evaluation, including data collection and analysis methods should be human rights and gender-sensitive to the greatest extent possible, with evaluation data and findings disaggregated by gender, ethnicity, age, dis/ability, etc.

Detailed analysis on disaggregated data will be undertaken as part of final evaluation from which findings are consolidated to make recommendations and identify lessons learned for enhanced gender responsive and rights-based approach of the project and future initiatives.

EVALUATION ETHICS

To promote trust and confidence in evaluation in the UN, all UN staff engaged in a final evaluation and evaluation consultants working for the United Nations system are required to commit themselves in conducting the evaluation in accordance with the principles outlined in the UNEG 'Ethical Guidelines for Evaluation'3 and writing to the Code of Conduct for Evaluation. The consultants must safeguard the rights and confidentiality of information providers, interviewees and stakeholders through measures to ensure compliance with legal and other relevant codes governing collection of data and reporting on data. The consultant must also ensure security of collected information before and after the evaluation and protocols to ensure anonymity and confidentiality of sources of information where that is expected. The information knowledge and data gathered in the evaluation process must also be solely used for the evaluation and not for other uses without the express authorization of UNDP and partners.

Consultants will be held to the highest ethical standards and are required to sign a Code of Conduct upon acceptance of the assignment.

Timeframe for the evaluation process

Following here is the anticipated number of working days required in each final evaluation process with total number of working days not exceeding 50 working days during 01 December 2021 to 30 April 2022.

No	Deliverables	Estimated #of days	Tentative Date of Completion	% of the total contract amount	Review and Approvals Required
----	--------------	-----------------------	------------------------------------	---	-------------------------------------

1	Draft and Final	10 days	21 December	30 %	Evaluation
	Inception		2021		Manager
	Reports and				
	Evaluation				
	Matrix				
2	Data Collection and	30 days	3 February 2022	40 %	Evaluation
	Analysis and the				Manager
	First Draft				
	of the Final Report				
3	Second Draft of	10 days	3 March 2022	30 %	Evaluation
	the Final Report,				Manager
	Final Evaluation				
	Report, Evaluation				
	Brief, Audit Trail				
	Form and Final				
	Presentation				
	Total	50 days		100 %	

The final report is expected to follow IEO's UNDP guidelines for template and meet the Quality Criteria. Theseare provided in Annexes.

3. REQUIREMENTS FOR EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS

EVALUATION TEAM COMPOSITION AND REQUIRED COMPETENCES:

The Final Evaluation requires one international consultant to complete the exercise. The consultant will have the following experience:

- Minimum Master's Degree or higher in Public Administration, Law, Political Science, Finance, Economics, International Relations, Development Studies, or related fields
- At least 5 years of professional experience of the development project/programme design, assessment, monitoring and evaluation, including UN projects/programmes and private sectorled/funded projects and experience in the Asia-Pacific region
- Proven technical knowledge and experience in at least three of the following thematic areas in the development context: youth, the private sector, entrepreneurship, communications and advocacy, economic empowerment, civic engagement
- Technical knowledge and experience in at least two of the following cross-cutting issues; gender equality, disability, rights-based approach and youth-responsive approach
- At least 3 years of experience in producing research studies, conducting qualitative and quantitativedata analysis and writing reports, preferably in the above-mentioned fields
- Excellent knowledge of verbal and written English

- Experience in evaluating or assessing regional or multi-country projects/programmes is highlydesirable
- Experience in managing, evaluating or assessing projects/programmes implemented in partnership with private sector and a UN agency is highly desirable

4. DURATION OF ASSIGNMENT, DUTY STATION AND EXPECTED PLACES OF TRAVEL

Duration of the Work:

From 1 December 2021 – 30 April 2022, with maximum of 50 days worked.

Duty Station:

Home-based with no travel required

5. FINAL PRODUCTS

Expected Outputs and Deliverables:

In line with the above-mentioned criterion of work, methodology, associated with this Terms of Reference, the consultant is responsible for the following deliverables:

#	Deliverables	Descr iptio n will be reviewed and approved by the Evaluation Manager.	Estimated Duration to Complete	Tentative date of completion
1	Draft and Final Inception Reports and Evaluation Matrix.	Inception Report The inception report will be prepared based on the first briefing meetings with the Evaluation Manager and Evaluation Reference Group and on the desk review and preliminary analysis of the secondary data provided by the Youth Co:Lab project.	10 Days	21 Decembe r2021
		The inception report includes understanding of the evaluations' objectives and initiative's theory of change, finalised evaluation design, workplan, time frame, activities and schedule, and final methodology and a detailed plan for data collection, including a list of key stakeholders and other individuals who should be consulted The inception report must explain how gender and human rights as cross-cutting areas are addressed in the methodology, including data collection and analysis methods.		

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Detailed information on the required content of the inception report is provided in the annexes. The report must include the elements outlined in the annex and follow UNDP IEO's evaluation guidelines. <u>Evaluation Matrix</u>
	An evaluation matrix will be submitted as part of the inception report. The matrix should include evaluation questions that the evaluator will answer, data sources, data collection and analysis tools and methods appropriate for each source, and the standard or measure by which each question will be evaluated. Suggested format of the matrix isprovided below.
	Relevant evaluation criteriaKey questionsSpecific sub- questionsData Sources Collection methods/toolsIndicators/success stabdardsMe of and
	Approval The Evaluation Manager and Evaluation Reference Group will review the draft inception report, provide feedback and assure its quality.
	An oral debriefing by the Evaluation Consultant on the proposed work plan and methodology will be done and approved prior to the commencement of the evaluation process.
	The final inception report and evaluation matrix will be submitted to and approved by the Evaluation Manager.
2 Data Collection and	Data collection and analysis (estimated duration: 20 days) 30 Days 3 February 2022
Analysisand the FirstDraft of the Final Evaluation	The data collection and analysis will be conducted following the methodology and data sources outlined in the inception report.
Report	Report writing and the first draft of the Final EvaluationReport (estimated duration: 10 days)
	The final report, including the first draft, should be based on the approach and methodology outlined in the inception report. Each evaluation question, including the cross-cutting questions on gender and human rights, should be answered in the draft report and analysis and findings should be backedup with credible quantitative and qualitative evidence.
	The evaluation report is expected to include case studies and concrete examples and citations across the report.
	The draft report will contain the same sections as the final report. The evaluation report must follow the structure provided in the annexes of this ToR. The evaluator is requested to review IEO's Quality Standards for evaluations to ensure

	that the report meets these criteria. The standards are provided in the annexes. The draft will be submitted to the Evaluation Manager. A debriefing with the Evaluation Manager and Evaluation Reference group will be organised at the time of the			
3 Second Draft	submission of the first draft. The draft will be reviewed by the evaluation Manager and Evaluation Reference Group who will provide feedback on it Second Draft Report and Debriefing (estimated duration: 5	10 Days	3	
of the Final Report. Final Evaluation Report, Evaluation Brief, Audit Trail Form and Final	Second Draft Report and Debriening (estimated duration: 5days)The second draft will be prepared based on the feedbackprovided on the first draft report and submitted to theEvaluation Manager and Evaluation Reference Group for finalclearance.The evaluator is requested to organise a debriefing to UNDP	TO Days	s ch2022	Mar
Presentation	 and key stakeholders before the submission of the final evaluation report. <u>Final evaluation report, evaluation brief, audit trail form and final presentation (estimated duration: 5 days)</u> Final Evaluation Report: The final evaluation report will be submitted to the Evaluation Manager alongside the audit trail 			
	form and evaluation brief. Evaluation Brief: A brief summary of the key findings and recommendations of the evaluation (max 2 pages).			
	Audit Trail Form: Comments and changes by the evaluator in response to the draft report should be retained by the evaluator to show how they have addressed comments. Audit trail form will be attached to the evaluation report (please see a template in the Annexes)			
	Final presentation to Stakeholders: A presentation of the final report to the evaluation reference group and key stakeholders			

6. PROVISION OF MONITORING AND PROGRESS CONTROLS

Implementation arrangements:

Who (Responsible)	What (Responsibilities)
Evaluation Manager	 Assure smooth, quality and independent implementation of the evaluation with needful guidance from UNDP's Senior Management. Approve ToR and selection criteria. Hire the consultant by reviewing proposals and complete the recruitment process. Ensure the independent implementation of the evaluation process. Approve each step of the evaluation including inception and final reports Supervise, guide and provide feedback and comments to the evaluationconsultants. Ensure quality of the evaluation. Ensure the Management Response and action plans are fully
Project manager	 implemented Draft ToR to be reviewed and finalized by the Evaluation Manager Support in hiring the consultant Provide necessary information and coordination with different stakeholders including donor communities Provide feedback and comments on draft report Prepare management response and action plan and follow up the implementation
Youth Co:Lab Project Team	 Provide required information, furnishing documents for review to the consultant team. Logistical arrangements, such as for support in setting up stakeholdermeetings, and coordinating with the partners and stakeholders.
Final Evaluation Consultant	 Review the relevant documents. Develop and submit draft and final inception reports Conduct evaluation. Maintain ethical considerations. Develop and submit draft evaluation reports Organise meeting/consultation to discuss the draft reports Incorporate inputs and feedback in draft reports Submit final report, summary of findings and audit trail form with dueconsideration of quality and effectiveness Organise presentation of the final report
Evaluation Reference Group	 The Final Evaluation Reference Group comprised of Programme Tear Lead, Regional M&E Specialist, Citi Foundation representative(s) and other stakeholders as relevant Review inception report and draft report and provide feedback Participate in debriefing session and provide suggestions, suppor quality assurance and correct any factual errors

Regular communication between the evaluation consultant and the evaluation manager and evaluation reference group is expected throughout the evaluation to ensure a smooth coordination of the process, including engagement with the stakeholders.

The Final Evaluation Consultant will be briefed by UNDP Evaluation Manager upon arrival on the objectives, purpose and outputs of the evaluation. An oral debriefing by the Final Evaluation Consultant on the proposed work plan and methodology will be done and approved prior to the commencement of the process.

The final evaluation will remain fully independent. The evaluation Consultant maintains all the communication through the Evaluation Manager during the implementation of the evaluation. The Evaluation Manager should clear each step of the evaluation. Evaluation report must meet the requirements from the Independent Evaluation Office's guidelines which are provided in the annexes of this TOR.

Contractors will arrange online final presentation with UNDP BRH and relevant stakeholders and noted comments from participants which will be incorporated in the final report.

The final report will be signed off by the Manager of UNDP Bangkok Regional Hub.

7. DOCUMENTS TO BE INCLUDED WHEN SUBMITTING THE PROPOSALS.

Interested individuals must submit the following documents mentioned below to demonstrate their qualifications. Please group them into <u>one (1) single PDF document</u> as the application only allows to upload maximum one document.

Any individual employed by a company, organization or institution who would like to submit a proposal in response to this Individual Contract notice must do so in their individual capacity.

- Letter of Confirmation of Interest and Availability using the template provided.
- A Curriculum Vitae (CV) or P.11 Personal History Form stipulating applicant's official name as shown in identification document, the qualifications and professional experiences (with similar projects) relevant to the assignment/TOR, the contact details (email address, telephone numbers) of 3 professional references and a writing sample (e.g. a previous evaluation report or a research study authored by an applicant).
- A signed financial proposal, quoted in US dollars, outlining the all-inclusive fee, supported by abreakdown of reimbursable direct and indirect costs such as travel, lodging, per diem etc.

Note: if an Offeror is employed by an organization/company/institution, and he/she expects his/her employer to charge a management fee in the process of releasing him/her to UNDP under Reimbursable Loan Agreement (RLA), the Offeror must indicate at this point, and ensure that all suchcosts are duly incorporated in the financial proposal submitted to UNDP (Letter of Confirmation of Interest and Availability).

Brief description of approach to work/technical proposal of why the individual • considers him/herself as the most suitable for the assignment, and a proposed methodology on how they willapproach and complete the assignment (max 1 page).

Incomplete proposals may not be considered. The shortlisted candidates may be contacted and the successfulcandidate will be notified.

8. FINANCIAL PROPOSAL

Price Proposal and Schedule of Payments

The contract will be on a lump-sum basis.

Consultant must send a financial proposal based on Lump Sum Amount. The total amount quoted shall be all- inclusive and include all costs components required to perform the deliverables identified in the TOR, including professional fee, living allowance and any other applicable cost to be incurred by the IC in completing the assignment. The contract price will be fixed output-based price regardless of extension of the herein specified duration. Payments will be done upon completion of the deliverables/outputs and as per below

percentages:

Milestone/ Deliverable (list of documents or actions expected to be performed by the consultant)	Payment Terms in % of Total Contract Amount
1 st instalment:	30%
Upon satisfactory completion of Inception Report, including the	
evaluation matrix	
2 nd instalment:	40 %
Upon satisfactory completion of the data collection and analysis	
and delivery of the first draft of the Final Evaluation Report	
3 rd instalment:	30 %
Upon satisfactory delivery of findings presentation and completion	
of FinalEvaluation Report, Evaluation Brief and Audit Trail Form	

It is important to note that multiple iterations of the report may be required for the satisfactory completion of the report.

In the event of unforeseeable travel not anticipated in this TOR, payment of travel costs including tickets, lodging and terminal expenses should be agreed upon between the respective business unit and the Individual Consultant prior to travel and will be reimbursed.

In general, UNDP shall not accept travel costs exceeding those of an economy class ticket. Should the IC wish to travel on a higher class he/she should do so using their own resources.

Travel costs shall be reimbursed at actual but not exceeding the quotation from UNDP approved travel agent.

9. EVALUATION

Evaluation Method and Criteria

Individual consultants will be evaluated based on the following methodology:

Cumulative analysis

The award of the contract shall be made to the individual consultant whose offer has been evaluated and determined as a) responsive/compliant/acceptable; and b) having received the highest score out of set of weighted technical criteria (70%), and financial criteria (30%). Financial score shall be computed as a ratio of the proposal being evaluated and the lowest priced proposal received by UNDP for the assignment.

Technical Criteria for Evaluation (70%) - max 100 points

riteria	Criteria Description Max Sco	re(points)
1	Minimum Master's Degree or higher in Public Administration, Law, Political Science, Finance, Economics, International Relations, Development Studies, or related fields	10
2	At least 5 years of professional experience of the development project/programme design, assessment, monitoring and evaluation, including UN projects/programmes and private sector led/funded projects, including experience in the Asia- Pacific region	30
3	Technical knowledge and experience in cross-cutting issues: gender equality, disability, rights-based approach andyouth-responsive approach (at least two of the mentioned cross-cutting issues)	10
4	Proven technical knowledge and experience in at least three of the following thematic areas in the development context: youth, the private sector, entrepreneurship, communications and advocacy, economic empowerment, policy design and analysis, civic engagement	20
5	At least three years of experience in producing research studies, conducting qualitative and quantitative data analysisand writing reports	20
6	Excellent knowledge of verbal and written English (theapplicants are requested to attached to the application an evaluation report or a research study they have authored)	10
	TOTAL	100

Language:

• Excellent knowledge of verbal and written English

Competencies:

- Demonstrates commitment to the UN's mission, vision and values;
- Demonstrates sound judgment, diplomacy and sensitivity to confidential matters;
- Demonstrated ability to meet deadlines and work under pressure;
- Innovative forward thinking, good coordination and organizational skills, teamwork; and

• Participate effectively in team-based, information sharing environment, collaborating and cooperating with others.

Only candidates obtaining a minimum of 70 points (70% of the total 100 points in technical evaluation) would be considered for the Financial Evaluation respectively.

For those passing technical evaluation above, offers will be evaluated per the Combined Scoring method:

- a) Technical Evaluation (70%)
- b) Financial Evaluation (30%)

Financial Evaluation (30%)

Financial proposals from all technically qualified candidates will be scored out of 30 marks based on the formula provided below. The maximum marks (30) will be assigned to the lowest financial proposal.

All other proposals will receive points according to the following formula:

• p = y (μ/z).

Where:

- p = points for the financial proposal being evaluated;
- y = maximum number of points for the financial proposal;
- μ = price of the lowest priced proposal;
- z = price of the proposal being evaluated.

Applicant receiving the Highest Combined Score and has accepted UNDP's General Terms and Conditions will be awarded the contract.

**Failure to submit the above-mentioned documents or Incomplete proposals shall result in disqualification

**Please group all your document into one (1) single PDF document as the application system only allows to upload maximum one document.

The short-listed candidates may be contacted, and the successful candidate will be notified. Applicant receiving the Highest Combined Score and has accepted UNDP's General Terms and Conditions will be awarded the contract.

ANNEXES

Annex I - TOR_ IC_Evaluation_Youth_Co_Lab Annex II - General_Terms_and_Conditions_for_Contracts_Individual_Consultants Annex III - Letter of Confirmation of Interest and Availability and financial proposal

All documents can be downloaded at: <u>https://procurement-notices.undp.org/view_notice.cfm?notice_id=85369</u>