

Annex 2: Guiding Questions

1. Relevance

- Assess whether the programme's original scope is consistent with national policy and context – is this still the right thing to do?
- Assess the suitability of current governance and programme's projects planning arrangements in achieving results.
- Assess whether the modalities employed by the programme's projects have assisted in the delivery of results. If not, where these could be improved in the next phase of delivery.

2. Effectiveness

- Assess the extent to which peacebuilding mechanisms have been strengthened through the programme's interventions.
- Assess the extent to which the potential for enduring peace and sustainable development has been enhanced through the programme's interventions
- Assess the effectiveness and impact of the programme at the national and provincial levels, and identify whether balance of support between the two levels has been appropriate.
- Identify how efforts to design programme's projects activities have affected programme's outcomes and provide recommendations for improvement or new design.
- Assess the effectiveness of current governance and programme planning arrangements to achieve results.

3. Efficiency

- Assess whether the programme's projects are achieving value for money
- Identify opportunities for other donor support and coordination to support the programme (e.g. Australia, New Zealand, EU, USAID,) and linkages to other peacebuilding initiatives and programmes in PNG.
- Assess the suitability of current governance arrangements to ensure programme integrity and accountability
- Determine if programme's projects Risks are identified and managed appropriately.

4. Sustainability

- Provide an assessment on whether the Government of Papua New Guinea has maintained the required investment to support the programme's successful delivery, and identify whether this support is likely to be maintained in the next 5 years.
- Assess whether the programme's projects promotes engagement with other areas of national and provincial government, and provides necessary support to ensure future



programme activities engage more with other areas of national and provincial Government activity, e.g. other Government Departments or authorities.

- Review and design whether there's scope for greater private sector engagement in Peace Building in the Highlands Region.

5. Gender Equality & Social

- Does the programme's interventions build consensus and address gender issues?
- Is this investment making a difference to gender equality and empowering women and girls as key agents in local peacebuilding processes?

6. Monitoring and Evaluation

- Is the programme's M&E and reporting system generating credible information that can be used for management decision making, learning and accountability purposes?
- Do the programme's interventions provide good evidence on outputs and overall progress toward intermediate and longer-term outcomes? Does the current system/mechanism facilitate lesson-learning and continuous improvement of programme's project activities?
- To what extent is the existing monitoring and evaluation mechanism relevant to this programme providing timely, reliable and valid insights into programme's interventions progress and achievement of key outcomes and objectives?

7. Additional Areas

- Do the programme's interventions encourage and promote engagement and coordination with other donors and agencies in peacebuilding and resilience? What opportunities exist for any future engagement and/or interaction?
- The review and design report should communicate any unanticipated but important issues that emerge during consultations with stakeholders.

The review and design should provide recommendations of the current phase and incorporate the same recommendations in the design of the second phase of the programme, including the drafting of the revised joint progr